

Community Grant Scheme 2025 - Scoring Mechanism for scored questions

Section	Question	Scoring Mechanism					
Funding Objectives	Please select which of the Fund Objectives your project meets and provide a short description of how your project will meet these: Improving resilience Improving accessibility Improving diversity	Excellent - direct, strategic alignment with the funding objective - 5	Strong - very good alignment with a few areas needing stronger justification - 4	Adequate - basic alignment, but with limited depth or clarity - 3	Weak - some links but mostly tangential or unclear - 2	Poor - very little alignment or relevance - 1	No answer - 0

	<p>Maximising utilisation</p> <p>Building community</p> <p>Reducing environmental impact</p> <p>Creation of a new community asset</p>						
Community Need	Why is the project required and what evidence do you have?	Excellent - strong, relevant and well-documented evidence - 5	Strong - evidence is solid with minor gaps or limitations - 4	Adequate - some evidence provided but lacks depth or clarity - 3	Weak - minimal or unclear evidence; questionable relevance - 2	Poor - evidence is anecdotal or lacks accuracy - 1	No evidence provided - 0
	Once completed, what impact will this project have on the local community?	High, sustained impact - 5	Strong, measurable impact - 4	Moderate impact - 3	Limited impact - 2	Minimal or unclear impact - 1	No impact - 0

	How will you monitor the impact of your project?	Excellent - comprehensive plan with clear indicators and methods - 5	Strong - good plan with minor weaknesses or missing details - 4	Adequate -basic monitoring in place but lacks clarity or depth - 3	Weak - limited plan with unclear indicators or vague tracking strategy - 2	Poor - very little thought given to measuring the impact - 1	No monitoring plan submitted - 0
Project Details	Project Costs – Project Costs tab in Project Workbook	Excellent - clear, justified, realistic and aligned budget - 5	Strong - mostly clear and reasonable with minor improvements needed - 4	Adequate - acceptable but lacks detail or contains a few questionable items - 3	Weak - unclear or contains unrealistic or misaligned items - 2	Poor - incomplete. Vague or largely unrealistic budget - 1	No project costs spreadsheet submitted - 0
	Supplier Choice – Project Costs tab in Project Workbook	Justified supplier choice - 5	Non-Justified supplier choice - 0				
	Project Delivery – Timescales – Project GANT Chart tab in Project Workbook	Excellent - clear, realistic aligned and proactive timeline - 5	Strong - good timeline with minor gaps or minor adjustments needed - 4	Adequate - Reasonable but lacks detail or misses some alignment - 3	Weak - timeline is vague, overly ambitious, or inconsistent with proposal - 2	Poor - timeline is unrealistic, generic or incomplete - 1	No timeline submitted - 0

	Project Delivery – Risk management – Project Risk Register tab in Project Workbook	Excellent - all risks identified have robust mitigating actions - 5	Good - most risks identified have robust mitigating actions - 4	Adequate - most risks identified have sufficient mitigating actions - 3	Weak - most risks identified do not have sufficient mitigating actions - 3	Poor - risks not sufficiently assessed	No risk assessment submitted - 0
	Project Delivery – Project Management Approach – Project GANT Chart tab in Project Workbook	Excellent - comprehensive plan with defined approach, good previous experience of project management/delivery - 5	Strong - good plan with minor weaknesses or missing details, some experience of project management/delivery - 4	Adequate - plan in place but lacks clarity or depth and/or experience of project management/delivery - 3	Weak - basic plan in place with limited project management/delivery - 2	Poor - inadequate plan in place and no project management/delivery - 1	No monitoring plan submitted - 0
Priority Areas	Projects that are in one of the identified priority areas, will score higher in their	In priority area - 5	Not in priority area - 0				

	<p>application . Projects outside of these areas should not be deterred from applying, if they can demonstra te a genuine need within their community .</p>						
--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--