

Appendix 2 –Infrastructure Submission Assessments for inclusion on the 2022/23 IFS ‘Infrastructure List’

Key:

	Not to be included within the IFS
	To be included within the IFS

Projects underlined are new projects

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
1.	<u>Redevelopment of Harbury Scout and Guide Hut</u> Funding Gap of £505,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
2.	<u>Alcester Rock Academy Upgrade</u> Funding Gap of £17,323	Desirable	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive contribution	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	No as the project is not essential to support new development in the district.
3.	<u>Alcester Academy - Top Field Renovation</u> Funding Gap of £35,244.33	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive contribution	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
4.	<u>Installation of Solar Panels on Eric Payne Community Centre</u> Funding Gap of £30,400	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
5.	<u>New social housing for Alcester- Alcester United Almshouse Charity</u> Funding Gap of £450,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
6.	<u>Replacement of Steps at The Yew Tree pub, Avon Dassett</u> Funding gap of £1,750	Desirable	Local	None	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	No, the project does not satisfy all of the criteria required for inclusion.

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
7.	<u>Provision of Adult Exercise Equipment in the Avon Dassett Village Playground</u> Funding Gap of £1,347	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
8.	<u>Broom to Bidford Health Centre - Two Shires Greenway project</u> Funding Gap of £202,000.00	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive contribution	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
9.	<u>Bishopton Community Centre Secret Garden*</u> <small>This may be funded through the shared prosperity fund and therefore may be removed.</small> Funding Gap of £19,444	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive contribution	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
10.	<u>Hideaway Log Cabin in a forest garden</u> Funding gap of £35,014	Desirable	Local	Moderate	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	No as the project is not essential to support new development in the district.
11.	<u>Luddington Village Hall extension and improvements</u> Funding Gap of £93,41	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
12.	<u>Ratley Village Hall Roof Repair</u> Funding Gap of £3,500	Important	District-wide objectives	District-wide objectives	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
13.	<u>Stour and Dene NFM+</u> <i>Funding Gap of £85,000</i>	Important	District wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
14.	<u>The Learning and Community Hub Henley Street</u> Funding Gap of £650,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate Positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
15.	MUGA outdoor sports facility at Shipston-on-Stour High School front field <i>Funding Gap of £1,120,742</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Moderate	Yes
16.	<u>South Warwickshire Community Diagnostic Centre (CDC)</u> Funding Gap of £1,000,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Significant alignment	Minor	Yes
17.	<u>Stockton Playing Field Equipment</u> Funding Gap of £40,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
18.	<u>Improvement and Safety Enhancements to the Entrance and Cricket facilities at Stratford Sports Club</u> Funding Gap of £82,200	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
19.	<u>Stratford -upon - Avon Table Tennis Club Birmingham 2022 Legacy Project</u> Funding Gap of £18,800	Important	District-wide objectives	None	Yes	Moderate Alignment	No	No as uncertainty over deliverability. Once a more detailed plan on how the project is shown to be deliverable it may be eligible for inclusion

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
20.	<u>Stratford Youth Collective - The Ministry - Stratford Youth Hub, Birmingham Road</u> Funding gap of £149,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate Positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
21.	<u>Stratford-upon-Avon Rugby Club - New Clubhouse and 4-G Playing Pitch</u> Funding Gap of £1.1 million	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
22.	<u>Oxford Road to Fields Farm Lane section of Lias Line*</u> *A small part of the larger Lias Line project Funding Gap of £200,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
23.	<u>Solar Panels at The Greig Hall</u> Funding Gap of £37,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
24.	<u>Tredington Playground Refurbishment and Upgrade</u> Funding Gap of £20,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
25.	<u>Ullenhall - Replacement of existing street lighting with LED units and lamps</u> Funding Gap of £17,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
26.	<u>Daventry Road, Southam cycling scheme</u> Funding Gap of £340,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
27.	Southam to Long Itchington Cycle Route <i>Funding Gap of £800,000</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
28.	South Stratford-upon-Avon – Town Centre Cycle / Pedestrian Improvements <i>Funding Gap uncertain but estimate of £2.5m</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Moderate	Yes
29.	JLR/Lighthorne Heath – Leamington Spa Cycle Scheme <i>Funding Gap of £500,000</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Moderate	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
30.	<u>Resurfacing Stratford Greenway</u> Funding Gap of £17,600	Important	District-wide objectives	Significant positive contribution	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
31.	<u>Snyder Meadow Teenage and adult recreation development</u> Funding Gap of £50,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
32.	<u>Welford on Avon Primary School – New School Cooking Kitchen</u> Funding Gap of £100,000	Desirable	Local	Moderate positive contribution	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	No as the project is not essential to support new development in the district.
33.	<u>Welford on Avon Primary School – Key Stage 2 Boys Toilet Refurbishment</u> Funding Gap of £25,000	Desirable	Local	None	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	No as the project is not essential to support new development in the district.

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
34.	<u>Welford on Avon Primary School – Phase 1 refurbishment works to convert existing hygiene room into a new staff wc</u> Funding Gap of £18,000	Desirable	Local	None	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	No as the project is not essential to support new development in the district.
35.	<u>Welford on Avon Primary School – Phase 2 - refurbishment works to the school office</u> Funding Gap of £100,000	Desirable	Local	None	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	No as the project is not essential to support new development in the district.
36.	Construction of a 3 badminton sized sports hall onto Wellesbourne Sports and Community Centre <i>Funding Gap of £1,00,000</i>	Importance	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
37.	Extension and resurfacing to the current external all weather floodlit tennis & netball courts area and update the floodlights to led energy saving lighting at Wellesbourne Sports and Community Centre (WSCC).	Importance	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
38.	Southam – Napton Cycleway <i>Funding Gap of £846,000</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Significant	CIL funding can not be used to fund feasibility study. Once the feasibility study has been completed, it may be eligible for inclusion on the list.
39.	Dodwell B439 Road Safety improvement <i>Funding Gap of £85,833</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Moderate	Yes
40.	A3400 Shipston Road roundabout improvements, Stratford-upon-Avon <i>Funding Gap of £5,400,000</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Moderate	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
41.	Redevelopment of Fenny Compton Sports and Play Area. Funding Gap of £130,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
42.	A435 Corridor - Studley and Mappleborough Green Junction Improvements <i>Funding Gap uncertain</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Moderate	No as uncertainty over deliverability. Once feasibility work has been undertaken and the project is shown to be deliverable it may be eligible for inclusion

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
43.	1 Evesham Road Funding Gap of £380,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate Positive	Yes	Significant alignment	Minor	Yes
44.	Studley Skatepark Funding Gap of £202,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
45.	Canal Quarter Bridge Funding Gap of £500,000	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
46.	Major bus infrastructure enhancement measures in the Stratford-upon-Avon area <i>Funding Gap of £135,000</i>	Important	District-wide importance	Significant positive	Yes	Significant alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
47.	A4390 / B439 Evesham Place Roundabout, Stratford-upon-Avon <i>Funding Gap uncertain, total cost of project £1,340,700</i>	Essential	District-wide importance	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
48.	Improving access to GP services in Southam – Improvement works to St. Wulfstan and Southam Surgeries and Southam Clinic <i>Funding Gap of £917,979</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Significant alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
49.	Improving access to GP services in Studley – Improvement works to Pool Medical Centre <i>Funding Gap of £425,000</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Significant alignment	Minor	Yes
50.	Henley-in-Arden Flood Risk Management Scheme <i>Funding Gap of £9,234k</i>	Essential	District-wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Significant alignment	Moderate	Yes
51.	Lias Line Greenway – converting the disused railway line between Leamington Spa, Rugby and Stockton into a multi user greenway <i>Funding Gap of £12,475,400</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
52.	Improving pedestrian/cycle access to Cress Hill from Welford on Avon <i>Funding Gap of £37-38K</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
53.	Natural Flood Management on the Alne and Arrow River Catchments	Importance	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes
54.	'Crossroads' structural conversion at Alcester Academy. Gerard Road, Alcester <i>Funding Gap of £45,882</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	No	Yes

Submission		Criteria						Does the submission meet the criteria for inclusion within the IFS?
		1. Is the infrastructure essential or important to support new development within the District? <i>(Options: Essential, Important, Desirable)</i>	2. Is the infrastructure of district-wide importance and / or deliver district-wide objectives? <i>(Options: District-wide, Local)</i>	3. Does the infrastructure contribute to climate change adaptation and / or mitigation? <i>(Options: Significant positive contribution, Moderate positive contribution, None or negative contribution)</i>	4. Is the infrastructure project consistent with the delivery of the Development Plan? <i>(Options: Yes, No)</i>	5. Does the infrastructure align with other Council strategies and partner investment plans? <i>(Options: Significant alignment, Moderate alignment, No alignment)</i>	6. Are there any constraints to delivery of the infrastructure? <i>(Options: No, Minor, Moderate, Significant)</i>	
55.	Clopton Bridge / Tiddington Road Junction Traffic Signalisation <i>Funding Gap of £250,000-£500,000</i>	Essential	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes
56.	Provision of additional permanent accommodation for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople <i>Funding Gap of £100,000</i>	Important	District-wide objectives	Moderate positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Moderate	Yes
57.	Canal Quarter District Heating Centre <i>Funding Gap of £5m</i>	Essential	District-wide importance	Significant positive	Yes	Moderate alignment	Minor	Yes