



CORPORATE PROCEDURES DOCUMENT

ON

THE REGULATION OF INVESTIGATORY POWERS ACT 2000 (RIPA)

**Head of Law and Governance
Stratford-on-Avon District Council**

NOTE: The Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 ('RIPA') refers to 'Designated Officers'. For ease of understanding and application this Corporate Procedures Document refers to 'Authorising Officers'. For the avoidance of doubt, therefore, all references to duly certified Authorising Officers refer to 'Designated Officers' under RIPA.

Acknowledgements:

The Council wishes to acknowledge the work of Birmingham City Council and Southwark Council in this area. This procedure is based upon their precedent policies.

CONTENTS PAGE

	Page No
A Introduction and Key Messages	2
B Authorising Officer Responsibilities	3
C General Information on RIPA	4
D Types of Surveillance	5
E Covert Human Intelligence Source (CHIS)	10
F Acquisition of Communications Data	12
G Authorisation Procedures	13
H Working with / through Other Agencies	16
I Directed Surveillance – Social Media Policy	17
J Record Management	18
K Reporting of relevant errors to IPCO	19
L Concluding Remarks	20

Appendix 1 – List of Authorising Officers

Appendix 2 - RIPA training and Updates

Appendix 3 – Magistrate’s Authorisation Procedure

A INTRODUCTION AND KEY MESSAGES

1. This Corporate Policy & Procedures Document is based upon the requirements of The Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 ('RIPA') as amended by The Protection of Freedom Act 2012 and the Home Office's Codes of Practice for Directed Surveillance, Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS) and Acquisition and Disclosure of Communications Data. Links to the above documents can be found at:-

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/23/contents>

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpqu/2012/9/contents>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/regulation-of-investigatory-powers-act-2000-draft-codes-of-practice>

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/covert-surveillance-and-covert-human-intelligence-sources-codes-of-practice>

2. Where reference is made in this document to the Senior Responsible Officer (SRO) this means the Head of Governance and Democracy, whose duties are to:-
 - (a) ensure the integrity of the Council's RIPA processes
 - (b) ensure compliance with RIPA legislation and codes of practice
 - (c) engage with the IPCO (formerly OSC) inspector during an inspection
 - (d) implement post-inspection recommendations
 - (e) exercise oversight of all authorisations
 - (f) ensure Authorising Officers are trained to an appropriate standard
 - (g) issue regular reminders and updates on RIPA to all staff (see **Appendix 2**)
 - (h) review and report on the operation of the RIPA policy annually to the Audit and Standards Committee and to report levels of activity on a quarterly basis
3. Councillors have a role to play in reviewing the Council's use of RIPA to ensure that it is being used consistently with this procedure document. They will also ensure that the policy is fit for purpose. However, councillors will not be involved in making decisions on individual authorisations.
4. Where reference is made in this document to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer this means the team leader in the legal team with responsibility for contentious matters, or an officer/officers designated by him/her to perform that role, the duties being to:-
 - (a) maintain the Central Register of authorisations
 - (b) collate original applications, reviews, renewals and cancellations
 - (c) oversee submitted RIPA documents
 - (d) raise RIPA awareness in the Council
 - (e) advise applicants and issue a unique reference number
 - (f) devise and implement a training programme (see **Appendix 2**)

5. The authoritative position on RIPA is, of course, the Act itself and any officer who is unsure about any aspect of RIPA should, if unsure, **contact, at the earliest possible opportunity the SRO or the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer.**
6. Appropriate training and development (including refresher training) will be provided or arranged by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer for Authorising Officers and Investigating Officers.
7. The RIPA Co-ordinating Officer will maintain and check the Central Register of all RIPA Authorisations, Reviews, Renewals, Cancellations and rejections. It is the responsibility of the relevant Authorising Officer, however, to ensure the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer receives the originals of the relevant Forms within 1 week of authorisation, review, renewal, cancellation or rejection.
8. RIPA and this Policy are important for the effective and efficient operation of the Councils' actions with regard to covert investigations. This Policy will, therefore, be kept under annual review by the SRO. **Authorising Officers must bring any suggestions for continuous improvement of this Policy to the attention of the SRO at the earliest possible opportunity.** If any of the Home Office Codes of Practice change, this Policy will be amended in light of these changes.
9. In terms of internal monitoring of e-mails and internet usage, it is important to recognise the important interplay and overlaps with the relevant Council's e-mail and internet policies, the Telecommunications (Lawful Business Practice) (Interception of Communications) Regulations 2000, the Data Protection Act 1998 and its Codes of Practice. Under normal circumstances, the Council's e-mail and internet policies should be used, as any surveillance is likely to be more relevant under the contract of employment terms as opposed to RIPA.
10. This April 2018 update includes changes brought about by the Investigatory Powers Act 2016 which introduced a new oversight commissioner – The Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office (IPCO). In addition the update refers to new draft Codes of Practice for surveillance and CHIS published in November 2017. These new codes contain substantial changes, in particular in relation to social media and online research, as well as employee surveillance, drones and Non-RIPA covert surveillance. There is also a statutory process of error reporting.
11. **At no time should the Council undertake any surveillance that interferes with any private property. Placing tracking devices on a subject's vehicle or person is not authorised for local authorities and must not be used.**
12. **The Council takes seriously its statutory responsibilities and will, at all times, act in accordance with the law and take necessary and proportionate action in investigation matters.**

B AUTHORISING OFFICER RESPONSIBILITIES

1. It is essential that Authorising Officers take personal responsibility for the effective and efficient operation of this Policy. Authorising Officers are listed in **Appendix 1**. They can be added to or substituted by the SRO.
2. The SRO has and will ensure that a sufficient number of Authorising Officers are, after suitable training on RIPA and this Policy, duly authorised to take action under this Policy.
3. **It will be the responsibility of the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer to ensure that investigating officers are suitably trained as 'Applicants' so as to avoid common mistakes appearing on RIPA Forms.**
4. **Authorising Officers must ensure that staff who report to them follow this Policy and do not undertake or carry out any form of surveillance without first obtaining the relevant authorisations in compliance with this Policy.**
5. Authorising Officers must also pay particular attention to Health and Safety issues that may be raised by any proposed surveillance activity. Under no circumstances should an Authorising Officer approve any RIPA form unless, and until they are satisfied the health and safety of Council employees/agents are suitably addressed and/or risks minimised, so far as is possible, and proportionate to/with the surveillance being proposed. If an Authorising Officer is in any doubt, they should obtain prior guidance on the same from the Council's Health & Safety Manager and/or the SRO.
6. Authorising Officers must acquaint themselves with the relevant Codes of Practice issued by the Home Office regarding RIPA and ensure that the original forms are sent to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer in a **sealed** envelope marked '**Strictly Private & Confidential**'. Forms must be provided to the RIPA co-ordinating Officer within 1 week of signing by the Authorising Officer. Any failure to comply exposes the Council to unnecessary legal risks and criticism from the Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office. Any cancellations must be dealt with promptly.
7. The likelihood of obtaining **confidential information** during surveillance must be given prior thought before any authorisation forms are signed, as failure to do so may invalidate the admissibility of any evidence obtained. Furthermore, thought must be given before any forms are signed to the retention and disposal of any material obtained under a RIPA Authorisation.
8. The Authorising Officer must ensure proper regard is had to **necessity and proportionality** of the surveillance before any forms are signed. 'Stock phrases' or cut and paste narrative must be avoided at all times as the use of the same may suggest that insufficient detail had been given to the particular circumstances of any person likely to be the subject of the surveillance. Any **equipment** to be used in any approved surveillance must also be properly controlled, recorded and maintained for audit purposes.

C GENERAL INFORMATION ON RIPA

The Human Rights Act 1998 requires the Council, and organisations working on its behalf, pursuant to Article 8 of the European Convention, to respect the private and family life of citizens, their home and their correspondence.

1. The European Convention did not, however, make this an absolute right, but a qualified right. Accordingly, in certain circumstances, the Council may interfere in the citizen's right mentioned above, if such interference is:-
 - (a) **in accordance with the law;**
 - (b) **necessary for the prevention and detection of crime or preventing disorder; and**
 - (c) **proportionate** (as defined in this Policy).
2. RIPA provides a statutory mechanism (i.e. 'in accordance with the law') for authorising **covert surveillance** and the use of a '**covert human intelligence source**' ('**CHIS**') – e.g. undercover agents. However, this Council is reluctant to use CHIS as an investigatory tool, and if any such application is contemplated prior advice must be sought from the SRO or the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer. RIPA also permits local authorities to compel telecommunications and postal companies to obtain and release communications data to themselves, in certain circumstances. It seeks to ensure that any interference with an individual's right under Article 8 of the European Convention is **necessary** and **proportionate**. In doing so, the RIPA seeks to ensure both the public interest and the human rights of individuals are suitably balanced.

The Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 requires all RIPA authorisations to obtain judicial approval by a court order before they can take effect. The Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Directed Surveillance and Covert Human Intelligence Sources) (Amendment) Order 2012 limits the authorisation of directed surveillance to criminal offences which carry a custodial sentence of at least six months or relate to the sale of tobacco, alcohol and knives to children ("the directed surveillance crime threshold").

3. Directly employed Council staff and external agencies working for the Council are covered by RIPA for the time they are working for the Council. All external agencies must, therefore, comply with RIPA and the work carried out by agencies on the Council's behalf must be properly authorised by one of the Council's Authorising Officers.
4. If the correct procedures are not followed, evidence may be disallowed by the courts, a complaint of maladministration could be made to the Local Government Ombudsman, and/or the relevant Council could be ordered to pay compensation. Such action would not, of course, promote the good reputation of the Council and will, undoubtedly, be the subject of adverse press and media interest.

It is essential, therefore, that all involved with covert investigations comply with this Policy and any further guidance that may be issued, from time to time, by the SRO.

5. The Council treats the powers given to it under RIPA very seriously and expects Authorising Officers and Investigating Officers to do so. Failure to adhere to this Policy by Authorising Officers or Investigating Officers may result in disciplinary action being taken against them by the Council.
6. A flowchart of the procedure for Magistrates' approval of surveillance operations is at **Appendix 3**.

D TYPES OF SURVEILLANCE

1. **'Surveillance'** includes

- monitoring, observing, listening to persons, watching or following their movements, listening to their conversations and other such activities or communications.
- recording anything mentioned above in the course of authorised surveillance.
- surveillance, by or with, the assistance of appropriate surveillance device(s).

Surveillance can be overt or covert.

2. **Overt Surveillance**

Most of the surveillance carried out by the Council will be done overtly – there will be nothing secretive, clandestine or hidden about it. In many cases, officers will be behaving in the same way as a normal member of the public (e.g. in the case of most test purchases), and/or will be going about Council business openly (e.g. parking wardens walking through town centres).

3. Similarly, surveillance will be overt if the subject has been told it will happen e.g. where a noisemaker is warned (preferably in writing) that noise will be recorded if the noise continues, or where an entertainment licence is issued subject to conditions, and the licensee is told that officers may visit without notice or identifying themselves to the owner/proprietor to check that the conditions are being met.

4. **Covert Surveillance**

Covert Surveillance is carried out in a manner calculated to ensure that the person subject to the surveillance is unaware of it taking place (Section 26(9) (a) of RIPA). It cannot, however, be "necessary" if there is reasonably available an overt means of finding out the information desired.

5. RIPA regulates three types of covert surveillance: Directed Surveillance, Intrusive Surveillance and the use of Covert Human Intelligence Sources (CHIS).
6. **Directed Surveillance** is surveillance which:-
 - is covert;
 - is not intrusive surveillance (see definition below – the Council must not carry out any intrusive surveillance or any interference with private property);

- is not carried out in an immediate response to events which would otherwise make seeking authorisation under the Act unreasonable, e.g. spotting something suspicious and continuing to observe it;
 - is pre-planned; and
 - is undertaken for the purpose of a **specific investigation** or operation in a manner **likely to obtain private information** about an individual whether or not that person is specifically targeted for purposes of an investigation (Section 26(10) of RIPA).
7. **Private information** in relation to a person includes any information relating to their private and family life, their home, their correspondence and their business relationships. The fact that covert surveillance occurs in a public place or on business premises does not mean that it cannot result in the obtaining of private information about a person. Prolonged surveillance targeted on a single person will undoubtedly result in the obtaining of private information about them and others that they come into contact, or associate, with.
 8. Similarly, although overt town centre CCTV cameras do not normally require authorisation, if the camera(s) are to be directed for a specific purpose to observe particular individual(s), authorisation will be required. The way a person runs their business may also reveal information about their private life and the private lives of others.
 9. **For the avoidance of doubt, Authorising Officers for the purpose of RIPA can authorise 'Directed Surveillance' if, and only if, the RIPA authorisation procedures detailed in this Policy are followed. Authorisation can only be granted if it is necessary for the purposes of investigating serious crimes (as defined in Section G – paragraph 9).**
 10. **CCCTV and directed surveillance**

The use of CCTV must be accompanied by clear signage in order for any monitoring to be overt. If it is intended to use CCTV for covert monitoring, for example by using either hidden cameras or without any signs warning that CCTV is in operation, then RIPA authorisation is likely to be required.

In addition, where overt CCTV cameras are used in a covert and pre-planned manner as part of a specific investigation or operation for the surveillance of a specific person or group of people a directed surveillance authorisations should be considered.

Note 272 of the OSC's 2016 Procedures & Guidance document recommends that a law enforcement agency should obtain a written protocol with a local authority if the latter's CCTV system is to be used for directed surveillance. Any such protocol should be drawn up centrally in order to ensure a unified approach. The protocol should include a requirement that the local authority should see the authorisation (redacted if necessary to prevent the disclosure of sensitive information) and only allow its equipment to be used in accordance with it.

To comply with the requirements of the current Codes of Practice for directed surveillance under RIPA the Senior Responsible Officer/Single Point of Contact to oversee surveillance capabilities across the entirety of the authority to include CCTV is the SRO responsible for RIPA.

11. **Intrusive Surveillance**

This is when the surveillance:-

- is covert;
- relates to residential premises and / or private vehicles; and
- involves the presence of a person in the premises or in the vehicle or is carried out by a surveillance device in the premises/vehicle. Surveillance equipment mounted outside the premises will not be intrusive, unless the device consistently provides information of the same quality and detail as might be expected if they were in the premises/vehicle.

Surveillance of a place ordinarily used for legal consultation; at a time when they are being used for such consultations is also a form of intrusive surveillance.

Areas of a building that are readily visible and accessible to the public are not residential premises. For example, a communal stairway, canteen, reception area, driveway, front garden and so on.

Intrusive Surveillance cannot be carried out or approved by the Council. Only the police and other law enforcement agencies are permitted to use such powers. Likewise, the Council has no statutory powers to interfere with private property.

12. **“Necessity”**

RIPA requires that the person authorising surveillance to consider it to be necessary in the circumstances of the particular case.

Therefore, Applicants and Authorising Officers must consider why directed surveillance is necessary. In addressing the issues of necessity, information should include:

- Why directed surveillance is needed to obtain information that is sought from the operation?
- Why is it necessary to interfere with an individuals’ privacy using covert Surveillance?
- Why covert surveillance is the best option to obtain the information having considered other alternatives?
- What other methods of obtaining the information has been considered and why they have been discounted?

Authorising Officers may not authorise directed surveillance unless:

It is for the purpose of preventing or detecting a criminal offence AND meets the ‘crime threshold’ set out in regulation 7A of the 2010 Order. The ‘crime threshold’ is met if the purpose of the directed surveillance is to detect or prevent criminal offences for which the punishment on conviction is a term of imprisonment of not less than 6 months or the offences or the activity subject to directed surveillance constitute an offence under sections 146, 147 or 147A of the Licensing Act 2003 or section 7 of the Children and Young Persons Act 1933 (offences involving sale of alcohol and tobacco to underage children).

The crime threshold applies to directed surveillance, not to CHIS or Communications Data authorisations.

13. "Proportionality"

Proportionality involves balancing the intrusiveness of the activity on the target subject and others who might be affected by it against the need for the activity in operational terms. Consider the expected benefit to the investigation of the surveillance. The activity will not be proportionate if it is excessive in the circumstances – each case will be judged and be unique on its merits – or if the information which is sought could be reasonably be obtained by other less intrusive means. All such activity must be carefully managed to meet the objective in question and must not be arbitrary or unfair. Extra care should also be taken over any publication of the product of the surveillance.

When authorising covert surveillance, the following elements of proportionality should therefore be considered:

- balancing the size and scope of the proposed activity against the gravity and extent of the perceived crime or offence;
- explaining how and why the methods to be adopted will cause the least possible intrusion on the subject and others;
- considering whether the activity is an appropriate use of the legislation and a reasonable way, having considered all reasonable alternatives, of obtaining the necessary result including overt methods of evidence gathering;
- evidencing, as far as reasonably practicable, what other methods had been considered and why they were not implemented.

14. Examples of different types of Surveillance

Type of Surveillance	Examples
Overt	Police Officer or Parks Warden on patrol Signposted Town Centre CCTV cameras (in normal use). Recording noise coming from outside the premises after the occupier has been warned that this will occur if the noise persists. Most test purchases (where the officer behaves no differently from a normal member of the public).
<u>Covert</u> but not requiring prior authorisation	CCTV cameras providing general traffic, crime or public safety information.
<u>Directed</u> must be RIPA authorised	Covert CCTV cameras at a fly-tipping hotspot. Covert and targeted following of a benefit claimant who is suspected of failing to declare earnings from a job

<p><u>Intrusive</u> or interfering with private property – the Council cannot do this!</p>	<p>Planting a listening or other electronic device (bug) or camera in a person’s home or in / on their private vehicle or on their person.</p> <p>Surveillance of a place used for legal consultations.</p>
---	---

15. **Further Information** on different types of surveillance can be found in the Home Office Code of Practice on Covert Surveillance:

[Covert surveillance and covert human intelligence sources codes of practice - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](http://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/282222/Covert_surveillance_and_covert_human_intelligence_sources_codes_of_practice_-_GOV.UK.pdf)

16. **Confidential Information**

Special safeguards apply with regard to confidential information relating to legal privilege, personal information, journalistic material and confidential constituent information. Only one of the Authorised Officers, or in their absence an appointed deputy, can authorise surveillance likely to involve confidential information. The Investigating Officer must understand that such information is confidential and cannot be obtained.

Further guidance is available in the Home Office Codes of Practice:

[Covert surveillance and covert human intelligence sources codes of practice - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](http://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/282222/Covert_surveillance_and_covert_human_intelligence_sources_codes_of_practice_-_GOV.UK.pdf)

17. **Collateral Intrusion**

Before authorising surveillance, the Authorising Officer should also take into account the risk of intrusion into the privacy of persons other than those who are directly the subjects of the investigation or operation (known as collateral intrusion). Measures should be taken, wherever practicable, to avoid or minimise unnecessary intrusion into the lives of those not directly connected with the investigation or operation.

18. Those carrying out the surveillance should inform the Authorising Officer if the investigation or operation unexpectedly interferes with the privacy of individuals who are not covered by the authorisation. If the original authorisation is sufficient, consideration should be given to whether the authorisation needs to be amended and re-authorised or a new authorisation is required. Further guidance is available in the Home Office Code of Practice.

19. **Restrictions on the use of RIPA**

The Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 (in particular a statutory instrument made under the Act) restricts the use of RIPA to conduct that would constitute a criminal offence which is punishable by a maximum custodial sentence of 6 months or more. This effectively restricts the use of RIPA to circumstances when the conduct is considered to be serious criminal conduct, by reference to sentencing powers.

There are some limited exceptions to the 6-month rule, set out in statutory instrument. These are:

- a. The sale of alcohol to children (S.146 of the Licensing Act 2003)
- b. Allowing the sale of alcohol to children (S.147 of the Licensing Act 2003)
- c. Persistently selling alcohol to children (S.147A of the Licensing Act 2003)
- d. The sale of tobacco to persons under 18 years of age (S.7 Children and Young Persons Act 1933)

If RIPA does apply then the investigation will only be lawful if the authorisation procedures set out below are followed.

20. **Retention and destruction of product of surveillance**

Where the product of surveillance could be relevant to pending or future criminal or civil proceedings, it should be retained in accordance with established disclosure requirements for a suitable period and subject to review.

There is nothing in RIPA which prevents material obtained from properly authorised surveillance from being used in other investigations. Authorising Officers must ensure, therefore, that arrangements are in place for the handling, storage and destruction of material obtained through the use of covert surveillance. Authorising Officers must also ensure compliance with the appropriate data protection requirements and any relevant codes of practice produced by the Council relating to the handling and storage of material.

E COVERT HUMAN INTELLIGENCE SOURCE (CHIS)

1. **Who is a CHIS?**

This is someone who establishes or maintains a personal or other relationship for the covert purpose of using that relationship to obtain information. This would include, for example, a situation where a Council officer establishes a relationship with another person through social media, even where there is no physical contact with the CHIS. However, a CHIS does not apply in circumstances where members of the public volunteer information to the Council as part of their normal civic duties, or to contact numbers set up to receive information (e.g. benefit cheat hotlines).

THE COUNCIL IS RELUCTANT TO USE CHIS, AND IF AN OFFICER IS CONTEMPLATING THE USE OF THIS TYPE OF SURVEILLANCE, HE/SHE MUST OBTAIN PRIOR ADVICE FROM THE SRO OR RIPA CO-ORDINATING OFFICER. HOWEVER, THE COUNCIL DOES RECOGNISE THAT CIRCUMSTANCES MAY ARISE THAT MAKE THE USE OF A CHIS NECESSARY AS AN INVESTIGATIVE TOOL.

In order to mitigate the risk of a CHIS arising inadvertently during the course of an investigation the Council will ensure that Authorising and Investigating Officers are trained in the identification of a CHIS as part of corporate training on RIPA.

Management of a CHIS

Always seek advice from the SRO or the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer prior to authorising a CHIS. In all cases, prior to authorising a CHIS a risk assessment must be undertaken in relation to the source. A CHIS may only be authorised if there will at all times be an officer (referred to as the handler) within the Council who will have day to day responsibility for dealing with the source on behalf of the Council, in order to protect both the security of the source. The handler is normally the Investigating Officer. In addition, another officer must be appointed (known as the controller) who will have general oversight of the use made of the source. This person is normally the Investigating Officer's line manager. Lastly, an officer must be identified to maintain certain prescribed records (as specified in the codes of practice) of the use made of the source.

Special requirements apply to the use of a vulnerable individual or a juvenile as a CHIS. Before considering the authorisation of such a person the Authorising Officer must seek legal advice from the RIPA Co-ordinator or the SRO.

Becoming a CHIS and 'status drift'

A CHIS may be a member of the public or an officer acting with authority to do so. Common uses of CHIS are the infiltration of a gang e.g. football gangs or an undercover police officer being recruited into a drugs operation/conspiracy.

There may be circumstances where a less obvious CHIS exists. Care must be taken to identify that this person is a CHIS, and thereafter follow the correct procedure. An example is where a member of the public has given information, albeit not tasked to do anything with it. Such a person may be a CHIS if the information that s/he has covertly passed to SDC has been obtained in the course of (or as a consequence of the existence of) a personal or other relationship.

Although not specifically recruited to be a CHIS, such a person may become one. This situation is referred to by the OSC Procedures & Guidance 2016 as the risk of "status drift." Therefore, when an informant gives repeat information about a suspect or about a family, and it becomes apparent that the informant may be obtaining that information in the course of a family or neighbourhood relationship, it is a strong indication that the informant is in reality a CHIS - to whom a duty of care is owed - if the information is then used. Legal advice must always be taken before using or acting on information received in these circumstances.

Becoming a CHIS gives rise to a duty of care owed to that person by the Council who seeks to benefit from their activity.

2. Test Purchases

Carrying out test purchases will not require the purchaser to establish a relationship with the supplier with the covert purpose of obtaining information and, therefore, the purchaser will not normally be a CHIS. For example, authorisation would not normally be required for test purchases carried out in the ordinary course of business (e.g. walking into a shop and purchasing a product over the counter).

By contrast, developing a relationship with a person in the shop, to obtain information about the seller's suppliers of an illegal product would require authorisation as a CHIS.

3. **Anti-social behaviour activities (e.g. noise, violence, race etc.)**

Persons who complain about anti-social behaviour, and are asked to keep a diary, will not normally be a CHIS, as they are not required to establish or maintain a relationship for a covert purpose. Recording the level of noise (e.g. the decibel level) will not normally capture private information and, therefore, does not require authorisation.

Recording sound (with a DAT recorder) on private premises could constitute intrusive surveillance, unless it is done overtly. For example, it will be possible to record if the noisemaker is warned that this will occur if the level of noise continues. Placing a stationary or mobile video camera outside a building to record anti-social behaviour on residential estates will require prior authorisation.

If the sound recording equipment is so sensitive that it can record conversations as if you were in the room, this would be intrusive surveillance and cannot be authorised under RIPA. The noisemaker shall be warned so that it can be overt surveillance.

F ACQUISITION OF COMMUNICATIONS DATA

1. **Before considering submitting an application for the acquisition of communications data, all officers must first refer the matter to the senior responsible officer or the RIPA Monitoring Officer**

2. Communications Data ('CD') is the 'who', 'when' and 'where' of a communication, but not the 'what' (i.e. the content of what was said or written). Local Authorities are not permitted to intercept the content of any person's communications.

Part 3 of the Investigatory Powers Act 2016 (IPA) replaced part 1 chapter 2 of RIPA in relation to the acquisition of communications data (CD) and puts local authorities on the same standing as the police and law enforcement agencies. Previously local authorities have been limited to obtaining subscriber details (known now as "entity" data) such as the registered user of a telephone number or email address. Under the IPA, local authorities can now also obtain details of in and out call data, and cell site location. This information identifies who a criminal suspect is in communication with and whereabouts the suspect was when they made or received a call, or the location from which they were using an Internet service. This additional data is defined as "events" data.

3. A new threshold for which CD "events" data can be sought has been introduced under the IPA as "applicable crime". Defined in section 86(2A) of the Act this means: an offence for which an adult is capable of being sentenced to one year or more in prison; any offence involving violence, resulting in substantial financial gain or involving conduct by a large group of persons in pursuit of a common goal; any offence committed by a body corporate; any offence which involves the sending of a communication or a breach of privacy; or an offence which involves, as an integral part of it, or the sending of a communication or breach of a person's privacy.

Further guidance can be found in paragraphs 3.3 to 3.13 of CD Code of Practice.

[https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/757850/Communications Data Code of Practice.pdf](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/757850/Communications_Data_Code_of_Practice.pdf)

4. Finally, the IPA has also removed the necessity for local authorities to seek the endorsement of a Justice of the Peace when seeking to acquire CD.

All such applications must now be processed through NAFN and will be considered for approval by the independent Office of Communications Data Authorisations (OCDA). The transfer of applications between local authorities, NAFN and OCDA is all conducted electronically and will therefore reduce what can be a protracted process of securing an appearance before a Magistrate or District Judge (see local authority procedures set out in paragraphs 8.1 to 8.7 of the CD Code of Practice).

Finally, the IPA has also removed the necessity for local authorities to seek the endorsement of a Justice of the Peace when seeking to acquire CD. All such applications must now be processed through NAFN and will be considered for approval by the independent Office of Communications Data Authorisations (OCDA). The transfer of applications between local authorities, NAFN and OCDA is all conducted electronically and will therefore reduce what can be a protracted process of securing an appearance before a Magistrate or District Judge (see local authority procedures set out in paragraphs 8.1 to 8.7 of the CD Code of Practice).

G AUTHORISATION PROCEDURES

1. Directed surveillance can only be lawfully carried out if properly authorised, and in strict accordance with the terms of the authorisation.
2. RIPA directed surveillance authorisations must be approved by a Magistrate before they take effect.

Authorising Officers:

3. RIPA Forms can only be signed by Authorising Officers.
4. Authorisations under RIPA are separate from delegated authority to act under the relevant Council's Scheme of Delegation. All RIPA authorisations are for specific investigations only, and must be reviewed, renewed or cancelled once the specific surveillance is complete or about to expire. **The authorisations do not lapse with time! The Authorising Officer must ensure that an authorisation is cancelled as soon as it is no longer required.**

Training Records:

5. Appropriate training will be given (or approved) by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer before Authorising Officers are certified to sign any RIPA Forms.
6. If the SRO feels that an Authorising Officer has not complied fully with the requirements of this Policy, or the training provided to them, he/she is duly authorised to retract that officer's authorisation until they have undertaken further approved training.

Application Forms:

7. Only the Home Office approved RIPA forms must be used. Any other forms used, will be rejected by the Authorising Officer and/or the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer. All the RIPA forms can be found at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/home-office/series/ripa-forms--2>

Grounds for Authorisation:

8. Acquisition of communications data can only be authorised by the Council on the grounds of preventing/detecting crime/disorder. No other grounds are available to local authorities.
9. Directed Surveillance can only be authorised for investigating serious criminal offences. 'Serious' means criminal offences that are punishable, whether on summary conviction or on indictment, by a maximum term of at least 6 months of imprisonment. Serious criminal offences would include dangerous waste dumping and serious or serial benefit fraud. We cannot carry out Directed Surveillance for offences that would only result in a fine or less than sixth month's imprisonment, such as littering or dog fouling.

Assessing the Application Form:

10. Before an Authorising Officer signs an application form, they must:-
 - (a) Be mindful of this Policy, the training provided or facilitated by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer and any other guidance issued, from time to time, by the SRO or the Home Office on such matters.
 - (b) Satisfy themselves that the RIPA authorisation is:-
 - (i) **in accordance with the law;**
 - (ii) **necessary** in the circumstances of the particular case and on the grounds of preventing or detecting crime or preventing disorder;
 - (iii) for directed surveillance, it must be necessary for the investigation of a serious criminal offence; **and**
 - (iv) **proportionate** to what it seeks to achieve (see comments in Section D).
 - (c) **In considering necessity, remember that the surveillance must be necessary for the purpose of preventing or detecting crime or of preventing disorder. There should be details of the crime(s) relied upon in the application form. In addition you need to ensure that the crime attracts a custodial sentence of a maximum of 6 months or more, or involves an offence under section 146, 147 or 147A of the Licensing Act 2003. Authorising Officers also need to demonstrate that there were no other means of obtaining the same information in a less intrusive way.**
 - (d) In assessing whether or not the proposed surveillance is proportionate, an Authorising Officer should consider the following:-
 - (i) balance the size and scope of the proposed surveillance against the gravity and extent of the perceived crime or offence;

- (ii) will the surveillance method to be used cause the least possible intrusion on the target and others?
 - (iii) is the surveillance an appropriate use of RIPA and a reasonable way, having considered all reasonable alternatives, of obtaining the evidence? and
 - (iv) what other methods of evidence gathering have been considered and why were they not used?
- (e) Always remember that the **least intrusive method will be considered proportionate by the courts.**
- (f) Take into account the risk of intrusion into the privacy of persons other than the specified subject of the surveillance (**Collateral Intrusion**). Measures must be taken wherever practicable to avoid or minimise (so far as is possible) collateral intrusion and the matter may be an aspect of determining proportionality.
- (g) Set a date for review of the authorisation and review on that date using the relevant form. Authorisations for directed surveillance should be reviewed at least once a month.
- (h) Ensure that the originals of all RIPA forms (applications, review, renewal and cancellation) are forwarded to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer, **within 1 week of the relevant authorisation, review, renewal, cancellation or rejection.**
- (i) In the case of notices relating to communications data, these will be kept by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer.
- (j) **If unsure on any matter, obtain advice from the SRO or the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer before signing any forms.**

Magistrate's Approval:

11. After the Authorising Officer has signed the RIPA application form, it must be approved by a Magistrate before the operation can commence. The Investigating Officer should liaise with the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer to seek this authorisation.
12. The RIPA Co-ordinating Officer will arrange a hearing with the court to seek the Magistrate's approval. They should provide the court with the RIPA application form (signed by the Authorising Officer) and supporting information.

The Investigating Officer and Authorising Officer will be required to attend court with the Council's Solicitor to seek the Magistrate's approval.

13. Guidance on the procedure for seeking Magistrate's approval can be found at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/changes-to-local-authority-use-of-ripa>

Duration:

14. The RIPA authorisation **must be reviewed or renewed in the time stated or cancelled** once it is no longer needed. Authorisation to carry out Directed Surveillance lasts for a maximum of 3 months from the date of approval by the Magistrates.

However, whether the surveillance is carried out/conducted or not, in the relevant period, does not mean the authorisation is 'spent'. In other words, **the authorisation does not expire!** The authorisation has to be reviewed, renewed and/or cancelled by the authorising officer once it is no longer required.

15. Magistrate's approval is required to renew an authorisation. There is no requirement for Magistrates to consider either cancellations or internal reviews.
16. Notices/Authorities issued under s22 compelling disclosure of communications data are only valid for one month but can be renewed for subsequent periods of one month, at any time. Again, Magistrate's approval will be required for a renewal.
17. Authorisations can be renewed in writing before the maximum period in the Authorisation has expired. The Authorising Officer must consider the matter afresh, including taking into account the benefits of the surveillance to date, and any collateral intrusion that has occurred. Magistrate's approval will then be required.
18. An Authorisation cannot be renewed after it has expired. In such event, a fresh application will be necessary.
19. **Non-RIPA Activity:**

It has been acknowledged that there may be occasions when during the course of an investigation that it may become necessary to conduct surveillance of individuals in respect of matters that do not satisfy the crime threshold.

In these circumstances, the IPCO has stated that it would be "good practice" for the investigating officer to go through the RIPA authorisation process in terms of:-

- i. Why there is no other alternative to undertaking the directed surveillance
- ii. Why the surveillance is necessary; and
- iii. How it is proportionate in the circumstances.

Where it is deemed that the above-mentioned criteria have been satisfied, the non-RIPA surveillance should be monitored and reviewed in accordance with the existing Council policy.

H WORKING WITH/THROUGH OTHER AGENCIES

1. When another agency has been instructed on behalf of the Council to undertake any action under RIPA, this Policy and the Home Office approved application forms must be used (as per normal procedure) and the agency advised or kept informed, as necessary, of the various requirements. They must be explicitly made aware what they are authorised to do.

2. When another agency (e.g. Police, DWP, Trading Standards, etc):-
 - (a) Wish to use the Council's resources (e.g. CCTV surveillance systems), that agency must use its own RIPA procedures and, before any Officer agrees to allow the Council's resources to be used for the other agency's purposes, they must obtain a copy of that agency's RIPA form for the Council's record (a copy of which must be passed to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer for the Central Register) or relevant extracts from the same which are sufficient for the purposes of protecting the Council and the use of its resources;
 - (b) Wish to use the Council's premises for their own RIPA action, and is expressly seeking assistance from the Council, the Officer should, normally, co-operate with the same, unless there are security or other good operational or managerial reasons as to why the Council's premises should not be used for the agency's activities. Suitable insurance or other appropriate indemnities may be sought, if necessary, from the other agency for the Council's co-operation in the agent's RIPA operation. In such cases, the Council does not require its own RIPA form as the Council is only 'assisting' not being 'involved' in the RIPA activity of the external agency.
 - (c) Prior to any activity, where the Council uses external partners or agents, as advised in OSC 2016 para 112, the Council will seek their written acknowledgement that they:-
 - Will act as an agent of the Council, and
 - Have seen the written Authorisation for the activity they are undertaking, and
 - Will comply with the specific requirements permitted by the Authorisation, and
 - Recognise they may be subject to inspection by the IPCO for RIPA activity.
3. In terms of 2(a) above, if the Police or other Agency wish to use Council resources for general surveillance, as opposed to specific RIPA operations, an appropriate letter requesting the proposed use, extent of remit, duration, who will be undertaking the general surveillance and the purpose of it must be obtained from the Police or other Agency before any Council resources are made available for the proposed use.
4. Any person granting or applying for an authorisation will also need to be aware of particular sensitivities in the local community where the surveillance is taking place and of any similar activities being undertaken by other public authorities which could impact on the deployment of surveillance. It is therefore recommended that where an authorising officer considers that conflicts might arise, they should consult a senior officer within the police force area in which the investigation or operation is to take place.

If in doubt, please consult with the SRO or the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer at the earliest opportunity.

I DIRECTED SURVEILLANCE – SOCIAL MEDIA POLICY

1. With advances in technology making it easier, quicker and increasingly popular for individuals to share personal information on-line, the opportunities to use that information for research, investigative or other official purposes are expanding too.
2. However, it is important to appreciate that the considerations of privacy which arise in the physical world also arise in the on-line world. In other words, there are rules and there are limits.
3. Just because the content of many social media sites and other information on the internet is freely accessible does not mean that officers can openly access such information without careful regard to the constraints and requirements of the law.
4. Repeated or systematic viewing, collecting or recording of private information from 'open' on-line sources (such as Facebook, Twitter, Snapchat and LinkedIn), including information relating to the interests, activities and movements of individuals, and others associated with them, could be regarded as a form of covert surveillance.
5. In addition, it is likely that individuals will have a reasonable expectation that their information is not used for surveillance purposes by public authorities and therefore may complain that their privacy and human rights have been infringed.
6. Initial research of social media to establish or check some basic facts is unlikely to require an authorization for directed surveillance, but repeated visits to build a profile of an individual's lifestyle etc. is likely to do so depending on the particular facts and circumstances. This is the case even if the information is publicly accessible because the individual has not applied any privacy settings.
7. The creation of fake profiles or any attempt to make 'friends' on-line for the covert purpose of obtaining information may constitute directed surveillance or, depending on the nature of the interaction or the manipulation of the relationship, a CHIS. An example would be where officers create fake profiles to investigate someone suspected of selling counterfeit goods.
8. Any officer wishing to deploy such tactics as part of an investigation must remember before seeking internal authorization and judicial approval, any evidence collected may be deemed inadmissible in any subsequent prosecution. Cases should be carefully considered on an individual basis, and the issues of necessity and proportionality always borne in mind. Note 289 of the OSC Procedures and Guidance 2016 contains more practical guidance.
9. It is also important to appreciate that if officers obtain, use or even merely store information about individuals they will have to comply with data protection rules. And, when the General Data Protection Regulation comes into force on 25 May 2018, the information the Council collects about individuals, how and why will have to comply with stricter transparency and accountability rules.

J RECORD MANAGEMENT

1. **The Council must keep a detailed record of all Authorisations, Reviews, Renewals, Cancellations and Rejections for each respective service area. A Central Register of all Authorisation Forms will be maintained and monitored by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer. All original forms (Authorisation, Review, Renewal, and Cancellation) must be sent to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer as soon as practicable.**

2. **Records maintained in the Service Area**

The following documents must be retained by the relevant Head of Service (or their designated administrator) for such purposes:

- a copy of all RIPA forms together with any supplementary documentation and notification of the approval given by the Authorising Officer;
- a record of the period over which the surveillance has taken place;
- the frequency of reviews prescribed by the Authorising Officer;
- a record of the result of each review of the authorisation;
- a copy of any renewal of an authorisation, together with the supporting documentation submitted when the renewal was requested;
- the date and time when any instruction was given by the Authorising Officer; and
- the Unique Reference Number for the authorisation (URN).

3. **Central Register maintained by the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer**

- a) Each form will have a unique reference number (URN). The RIPA Co-ordinating Officer will issue the relevant URN to Applicants. The cross-referencing of each URN takes place within the forms for audit purposes. Rejected Forms will also have URN's. Applicants should obtain a URN from the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer before the draft application is completed.
- b) Authorising Officers must forward a copy of every completed RIPA form to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer for the Central Register, within 1 week of the Authorisation, Review, Renewal, Cancellation or Rejection. The RIPA Co-ordinating Officer will monitor the same and give appropriate guidance, from time to time, as necessary.
- c) The Council will retain records for a period of at least three years from the ending of the Authorisation and a review will take place at the end of the three-year period. The IPCO can audit/review the Council's policies and procedures, and individual Authorisations, Reviews, Renewals, Cancellations and rejections.

4. **Central Register of Covert Surveillance Equipment**

- a) Each department shall keep a record of equipment held and used for the purposes of RIPA. A copy of the list of equipment should be forwarded to the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer in order for the central register of all equipment held by the Council to be maintained and be kept up to date.

- b) The equipment held by the individual departments should be accessible by other departments within the Council in order to carry out the functions under RIPA. Appropriate training must be given to the individual installing and using the equipment to ensure that the equipment is correctly installed and that data recorded is fit for purpose and meets the objectives of the investigation.
- The impact on necessity and/or proportionality will be directed related to the type of equipment used. Any equipment used must be fit for purpose in meeting the objectives of the investigation. It is therefore important for the authorising officer to be informed of the nature of the equipment being used and its capabilities [i.e. range, how the equipment is turned on manually or remotely] on the application form so that due consideration can be given when considering whether or not to grant the authorisation. The authorising officer will also need to give consideration and advise how images will be managed. For example images will not be disclosed without first speaking with the data controller to ensure compliance with the Data Protection Act 2018.
 - When equipment has been installed a check should be undertaken at least every 48 hours if not daily in order to ensure it remains operational. Covert Surveillance Equipment will only be installed with the necessary authorisation of the Council's authorising Officers. It will only be installed in residential premises if a member of the public has requested help or referred a complaint to the Council and such matter can only be investigated with the aid of covert surveillance techniques after all the issues referred to in this corporate procedures document have been considered. Any permission to locate surveillance equipment on residential premises must be obtained in writing from the householder or tenant.
 - Any request by a Council Officer to a resident to keep a video/audio/written diary as part of a covert evidence-gathering exercise will be regarded as a covert surveillance exercise conducted on behalf of the council and must be authorised.

5. Material acquired under RIPA and IPA and RRD (Review Retain Destroy)

- Three months from the date of approval by the Magistrates there will be a post-operation review meeting between the Investigating Officer, their Line Manager and the RIPA Co-ordinating Officer. At this point covert material can be retained if there are 'relevant grounds' for doing so or destroyed if the material acquired is no longer needed. If an initial decision is made to retain the material then the three-year review period referred to above will also be used to consider whether the material should be retained or destroyed.

K REPORTING OF RELEVANT ERRORS TO IPCO

- The Council must report any Relevant Error to the IPC in accordance with the relevant Code of Practice. All reports should be submitted to Errors@ipco.org.uk

- Where any further information or action is required as a result of a Relevant Error report, an IPCO Inspector will make contact with the Council. The Relevant Error will then be assessed to determine whether the circumstances could have a) resulted in serious harm or b) call for any urgent changes to national policy or procedures. If this is the case, an investigation will take place. If not deemed serious, the Relevant Error will be addressed at the next inspection.
- Relevant Errors will routinely be examined at each inspection. The Council will be required to provide records and confirmation that any material obtained in consequence of the error that has no connection or relevance to any investigation or operation has been destroyed.
- The SRO is responsible for oversight of reporting errors to the IPC, and the identification of both the cause(s) of errors and implementation of processes to minimise repetition.

L CONCLUDING REMARKS

1. Where there is an interference with the right to respect for private life and family guaranteed under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, and where there is no other source of lawful authority for the interference, or if it is held not to be necessary or proportionate to the circumstances, the consequences of not obtaining or following the correct authorisation procedure set out in RIPA and this Policy, may be that the action (and the evidence obtained) will be held to be unlawful by the Courts pursuant to Section 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998.
2. Obtaining an authorisation under RIPA and following this Policy will ensure, therefore, that the action is carried out in accordance with the law and subject to stringent safeguards against abuse of anyone's human rights.
3. **Authorising Officers will be suitably trained and they must exercise their minds every time they are asked to consider a RIPA form. They must never sign or rubber stamp forms without thinking about their own personal and the Council's responsibilities.**
4. **Any boxes not needed on the form(s) must be clearly marked as being 'NOT APPLICABLE', 'N/A' or a line put through the same.** Great care must also be taken to ensure accurate information is used and is inserted in the correct boxes. Reasons for any refusal of an application must also be kept on the form and the form retained for future audits.

For further advice and assistance on RIPA, please contact the SRO or the RIPA Co-Ordinating Officer.

APPENDIX 1 – LIST OF AUTHORISING OFFICER POSTS

Chief Executive

Deputy Chief Executive

APPENDIX 2 - RIPA TRAINING, UPDATES AND REMINDERS

Training for staff will take place every 18 months


Reminders of RIPA legislation will be sent to all staff every 6 months

Updates on RIPA legislation will take place as necessary

APPENDIX 3 – Magistrate’s Court Authorisation Procedure

APPENDIX 3 – MAGISTRATE’S AUTHORISATION PROCEDURE

LOCAL AUTHORITY PROCEDURE: APPLICATION TO A JUSTICE OF THE PEACE SEEKING AN ORDER TO APPROVE THE GRANT OF A RIPA AUTHORISATION OR NOTICE



DOCUMENT CONTROL

Document ownership	Senior Responsible Officer
Location of document	Council website and intranet
Document review period	Every 12 months
Distribution	All staff
Document approval	Audit and Standards Committee
Enquiries about this document	RIPA Co-ordinating Officer/Senior Responsible Officer

DOCUMENT HISTORY

V	Description of document or amendment	Date
1	Original document	Unknown
2	Revisions from OSC Procedures and Guidance September 2010	April 2010
3	Revisions from OSC Procedures and Guidance September 2010	January 2011
4	To incorporate recommendations from OSC	December 2011
5	Revisions from OSC Procedures and Guidance September 2010 and to incorporate recommendations from OSC inspection report dated 3 November 2011	January 2012
6	Changes made by Protection of Freedoms Act 2012	September 2014
7	To incorporate recommendations from OSC report following inspection on 4 November 2014	March 2015
8	Changes to Authorising Officers	July 2016
9	Changes made by Investigatory Powers Act 2016 and revisions from OSC Procedures and Guidance 2016	April 2018
10	Changes made to Authorising Officers	May 2020
11	To incorporate amendments from IPCO Following inspection on 12 April 2021	April 2021
12	Changes made by IPC to come into existence on 21 November 2022	November 2022