

	Site Code	ALC.12 [A	LC.A]		
	Address	Alcester			
Site details	Area	3.32 hecta	res		
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residentia			
	Location of site within catchment	This site is located in the south west of Alcester, just west of the urbanised area. Spittle Brook flows just beyond the southern boundary and then joins the River Arrow just south of Alcester. Alcester and the site are located in the western half of the Stratford-on-Avon district, in a topographic low area, as part of the River Arrow catchment. The site is in part of the lower catchment for both Spittle Brook and within the lower catchment of the River Arrow.			
Sources of flood risk	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows an unnamed drain joining Spittle Brook 250m west of the site. Spittle Brook then flows slightly south east, flowing within 10m of the southern boundary before flowing south after Evesham Street to join the River Arrow, 1.2km south east of the site. There are no further drainage features within the site.			
			Proportio	n of site at ris	sk
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1
		6%	6%	7%	93%
	Election	Highest 2	one of risk (Ris	sk of Flooding f Sea)	rom Rivers and
	Fluvial			Medium	
		from that percentage FZ2 includ	t particular Floor e of the site at floor	ood Zone/event ood risk at a higl	e site at flood risk t, including the ner risk zone, e.g. ning area outside



	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A]			
	Address	Alcester			
Site details	Area	3.32 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		Available data:  The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment, which is based on 2D generalised modelling as no detailed models are available for the site.  Flood characteristics:  The Flood Zone data for this site indicates flood risk at this site is as a result of Spittle Brook. Fluvial Flood Zones 2 and 3 are all present within the site, Flood Zone 3b is indicative whereby Flood Zone 3a is used as an indication. Flood Zone 2 and 3a reach across the entire width of the lower end of the site, with Flood Zone 2 reaching on average a further 10m beyond Flood Zone 3a. The extent of the Flood Zones appear to be restricted by the topography as the site slopes upwards away from the bank of Spittle Brook/ southern boundary of the site.  As there is no detailed hydraulic model of the Spittle Brook and the broadscale modelled extent does not account for the			
			n a detailed site-speci	the Flood Zone extents fic study.	
			rtion of site at ris		
		<b>30-year</b> 1%	100-year 1%	1,000-year	
		1 %0	Max depths (m)	3%	
		Below 0.3m	0.3m-0.9m	Over 0.9m	
	Surface Water		Max velocity (m/		
		Over 0.25m/s	Over 0.25m/s	Over 0.25m/s	
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at s water risk from that particular event, including the perce of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 10 includes the 30-year %)			



	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A]
	Address	Alcester
Site details	Area	3.32 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		Risk of surface water flow paths: Risk of surface water flooding is present during every event at the site. The extents for these vary as do depths; however, the maximum velocity of surface water flow paths is constant over the events, being greater than 0.25m/s. In the 30-year event there is localised ponding just beyond the bank of Spittle Brook, along the eastern boundary. In the 100-year event, the extent of surface water flow extends in the floodplain, through the southern boundary and towards the eastern boundary, extending 5m further into the site than the location of ponding experienced during the 30-year event. During this event, surface water depths are generally between 0.3m-0.9m. In the 1,000-year event, surface water flows extend across the entire width of the site and largely reach to the same point within the site (approximately 50m as measured from the south eastern corner). The majority of the site experiences surface water depths between 0.3m-0.9m during this event, the highest depths being over 0.9m closest to the boundary. At the maximum extent the flows are below 0.3m. Generally, the surface water flood extents align with the fluvial flood extents, following the topography of the Spittle Brook floodplain.  There is some further isolated ponding in the centre of the site during the 1,000-year event.
	Reservoir	The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from the available online maps.



	ı	1			
	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A	1		
	Address	Alcester			
Site details	Area	3.32 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have between 50% and 75% susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows for the majority of the lower third groundwater is very close to the surface, less than 0.025m below the surface. A third of the site, in a semioval shape from the north eastern corner to the centre of the site, at a higher topography, has groundwater levels between 0.5m and 5m below the surface. This suggests groundwater can emerge locally within the site. In the remaining third of the site, groundwater is between 0.025m and 0.5m below the surface.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.			
	Flood history			Flood Map shows one	
	_	incidence of recorded flooding in 2012 at the site.  Defence Standard of Condition Type Protection			
	Defences	- , , , ,	-	-	
		This site is not n	rotected by any forma	I flood defences.	
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	This site is not protected by any formal flood defences.  There is a culvert under the A435 road, within 25m of the site However, this road is embanked as so if it were to block, it i unlikely that the impacts would be felt at the site, rather would pond on the upstream side of the road embankment Shortly downstream of the site, the watercourse goes into culvert under Hadrian's Walk. As this is a short reach betwee two topographic constraints (the raised A435 and the raise land at the culvert, there could be potential for backwate effects here in the event of a blockage. A site-specific ris assessment is recommended to investigate these potential risks further.			



	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A]		
Site details	Address	Alcester		
	Area	3.32 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
	Flood warning	The site is partially covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service.  The site is covered by the 'River Arrow and River Alne' Flood Alert. This flood alert covers the lower third of the site, along the southern boundary, and is attributed to the site being on the banks of the Spittle Brook. There are no flood warning areas present at the site.		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site are possible via Allimore which makes up the northern boundary of the site. This has not been shown to be vulnerable to surface water or flooding, therefore is accessible.  Consideration is needed however to the east due to wider risk in Alcester, where vehicles would approach the site A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertake valuate accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles at access point.	fluvial fluod from. ken to	



	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A]
Site details	Address	Alcester
	Area	3.32 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and therefore Flood Zone 2 has been used as a conservative indication of flood risk from climate change. This extends across the southern boundary. A detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances, which may refine risk across the site.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>

Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation  Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS  Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS  The survey of the	ggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of ch options should be assessed as part of a site ecific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner aybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. e site is considered to have a moderate sceptibility to groundwater. Detention and tenuation features should be designed to prevent bundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic pacity and structural integrity. Additional site vestigation work may be required to support the tailed design of the drainage system. This may clude groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above a highest occurring groundwater level. Below bound development such as basements are not propriate at this site." is option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping ggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of ch options should be assessed as part of a site ecific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should located where the depth to the water table is >1m, ditionally a liner maybe required to prevent the ress of groundwater.  forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable, here the slopes are >5% features should follow intours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner aybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.  This site has areas within its boundary signated by the Environment Agency as being a addill site. A thorough ground investigation will be quired as part of a detailed FRA to determine the tent of the contamination and the impact this may ve on SuDS. As such proposed SuDS should be scussed with the relevant stakeholders (LPA, LLFA d EA) at an early stage to understand possible instraints.  e site is not located within a Groundwater Source of the contamination techniques with regard to boundwater quality.  Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line and guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be
	fore the Exception Test is applied.



	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A]	
Site details	Address	Alcester	
	Area	3.32 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
NPPF and planning implications		Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.  It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site. Development should not be placed in the southern third of the site where the Flood Zones are present.  The Exception test will need to be applied if:  • More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.  • Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b.  • More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3b.	

## Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

#### **Flood Risk Assessment:**

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site falls under these requirements.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Residual risk from the culvert under Hadrian's Walk will need to be investigated and modelled in a FRA to confirm impacts and help inform finished flood levels.
- A more detailed hydraulic model may be required at Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk and flow paths, FZ3b and climate change extents as the site does not have current modelling.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan'</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031'</u>.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk flow routes on the southern boundary, preserving this as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Development in FZ3b along the boundaries should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF.
- Development in FZ3 along the boundaries may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on Salford Road (access point).
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor

Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures. The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates. On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate. Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS. All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff. SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving. Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space. The flood risk element of the Exception Test is likely to be Key messages passed subject to: More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2. Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure

should not be permitted within FZ3b.

The site is likely to be suitable for development if:

Further detailed modelling is undertaken at sitespecific FRA stage to verify flood risk, though it would still be confined to the southern portion of the site due



	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A]
Site details	Address	Alcester
	Area	3.32 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		to the topography sloping away from the watercourse to the north.  Residual risk from the culvert at Hadrian's Walk is investigated and mitigated against.  If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another).  Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.  A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.  Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site
	Ma	pping Information

#### Mapping Information

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.



	Site Code	ALC.12 [ALC.A]	
Site details	Address	Alcester	
	Area	3.32 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage	
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.	
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for th 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is take Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.	



	Site Code	ALC.13 [AL	C.B]			
	Address	Alcester				
Site details	Area	6.26 hectare	es			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential			
	Location of site within catchment	This site is located in the south west of Alcester, just west of the urbanised area. The site's southern boundary is largely made up of Spittle Brook, which joins the River Arrow just south of Alcester. Alcester and the site are located in the western half of the Stratford-on-Avon district, in a topographic low area, as part of the River Arrow catchment. The site is in part of the lower catchment for both Spittle Brook and within the lower catchment of the River Arrow.				
Sources of flood risk	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows an unnamed drain joining Spittle Brook 320m west of the site. Spittle Brook then flows slightly south east, flowing directly along most of the south western facing boundary of the site before flowing south after Evesham Street to join the River Arrow, 900m south east of the site. There are no further drainage features within the site.				
		_	Proportion	of site at ris	k	
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		26%	26%	29%	71%	
	Florid	Highest zo	one of risk (Ris	k of Flooding fi Sea)	om Rivers and	
	Fluvial			edium		
		from that pa of the site a	rticular Flood Zoi t flood risk at a f	ne/event, includi nigher risk zone,	e site at flood risk ng the percentage e.g. FZ2 includes e FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1	



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]			
	Address	Alcester			
Site details	Area	6.26 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		Available data:  The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment, which is based on 2D generalised modelling as no detailed models are available for the site.  Flood characteristics:  The Flood Zone data for this site indicates flood risk at this site is as a result of Spittle Brook. Fluvial Flood Zones 2 and 3 are all present within the site, Flood Zone 3b is indicative using Flood Zone 3a as a proxy. Flood Zone 2 and 3a reach across the entire width of the lower third of the site, with Flood Zone 3a reaching on average a further 10m beyond Flood Zone 2. The extent of the Flood Zones appear to be restricted by the topography as the site slopes upwards away from the bank of Spittle Brook/ southern boundary of the site.  As there is no detailed hydraulic model of the Spittle Brook and the broadscale modelled extent does not account for the channel dimensions or A435 structure, the Flood Zone extents may be refined in a detailed site-specific study.			
		-	tion of site at ris	k (RoFfSW)	
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year	
		5%	7%	18%	
		Max depths (m)			
	Surface Water	0.3m-0.9m	0.3m-0.9m	Over 0.9m	
	Surface water	1	Max velocity (m	· ·	
		Over 0.25m/s	Over 0.25m/s	Over 0.25m/s	
	The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site water risk from that particular event, including the position of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. includes the 30-year %)				



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]	
	Address	Alcester	
6'' 1 1 1	Area	6.26 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		The extents for these vary maximum velocity of surface every event, being greater that highest along the eastern half central dip in the site. In the flooding paths are present in within 5m of the banks of Spit the centre of this southern bo and the Brook meander south east and finally east again. In surface water flows follow the and do not appear in new loc extend further into the site, p. Brook meandering back north water flows are generally bet year event, surface water flow of the site and largely reach (approximately 50m as mea corner). The majority of the depths between 0.3m-0.9m depths being over 0.9m clomaximum extent the flows are Generally, the surface water flood extents, following the floodplain.	is present at all events at the site. as do depths; however, the water flow paths is constant over an 0.25m/s. Maximum depths are of the southern boundary and the ne 30-year event surface water the site where the boundary is tle Brook. This extent is largest in undary where both the boundary neast before flowing back north the 100-year event, the extent of same trend as the 30-year event eations in the site. These instead particularly at the point of Spittle neast. During this event, surface tween 0.3m-0.9m. In the 1,000-year event within the site experiences surface water during this event, the highest posest to the boundary. At the experiences align with the fluvial topography of the Spittle Brook
	Reservoir	The site is not shown to be at available online maps.	risk of reservoir flooding from the



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]		
	Address	Alcester		
Site details	Area	6.26 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have between 50% and 75% susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows there is no risk from ground water in the dip at the southern boundary. For the majority of the lower third however, groundwater is very close to the surface, less than 0.025m below the surface. There is a small 25m stretch of the site beyond this where across the entire width of the site groundwater is between 0.025m and 0.5m below the surface. In the remaining majority of the site, at a higher topography, groundwater is between 0.5m and 5m below the surface. This suggests groundwater can emerge locally within the site.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA)		
	Flood history		t Agency's Historic F ded flooding in 2012 a	Flood Map shows one it the site.
	Defences	Defence Type  - This site is not pro-	Standard of Protection  - otected by any formal	Condition  - flood defences.
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	This site is not protected by any formal flood defences.  There is a culvert under the A435 road. However, this road is embanked as so if it were to block, it is unlikely that the impacts would be felt at the site, rather it would pond on the upstream side of the road embankment. Shortly downstream of the site, the watercourse goes into culvert under Hadrian's Walk. As this is a short reach between two topographic constraints (the raised A435 and the raised land at the culvert, there could be potential for backwater effects here in the event of a blockage. A site-specific risk assessment is recommended to investigate these potential risks further.		



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]	
Site details	Address	Alcester	
	Area	6.26 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
	Flood warning	The site is partially covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service.  The site is covered by the 'River Arrow and River Alne' Flood Alert. This flood alert covers the lower third of the site, along the southern boundary, and is attributed to the site being on the banks of the Spittle Brook. There are no flood warnings present at the site.	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site is possible via Allimore Lane, which makes up the northern boundary of the site. This road has not been shown to be vulnerable to surface water or fluvial flooding, therefore is accessible.  Consideration is needed however to the east due to wider flood risk in Alcester, where vehicles would approach the site from. A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles at this access point.	



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]		
	Address	Alcester		
Cita dataila	Area	6.26 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and therefore Flood Zone 2 has been used as a conservative indication of flood risk from climate change. This extends across the southern boundary. A detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances, which may refine risk across the site.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>		

		Geology at the site consists of:	
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Bedrock - Mercia Mudstone - mudstone         <ul> <li>Superficial - Boundary</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater. Mapping also suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is considered to have a moderate susceptibility to groundwater. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is &gt;1m, additionally a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to sl</li></ul>	
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site. Development should not be placed in the southern third of the site where the Flood Zones are present. The Exception test will need to be applied if:  • More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.	



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]		
	Address	Alcester		
City details	Area	6.26 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		<ul> <li>Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b.</li> <li>More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3b.</li> </ul>		

## Requirements and guidance for sitespecific Flood Risk

**Assessment** 

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site falls under these requirements.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Residual risk from the culvert under Hadrian's Walk will need to be investigated and modelled in a FRA to confirm impacts and help inform finished flood levels.
- A more detailed hydraulic model may be required at Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk and flow paths, FZ3b and climate change extents as the site does not have current modelling.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan'</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> Strategy 2011 to 2031'.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk flow routes on the southern boundary, preserving this as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Development in FZ3b along the boundaries should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF.
- Development in FZ3 along the boundaries may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

## Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on Salford Road (access point).
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.

- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
   On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's <u>Surface Water Management Plan</u>, Stratford on Avon District's <u>Core Strategy 2011 to 2031</u> and the <u>Level 1 SFRA</u> for information on SuDS.
- All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.
- SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.
- Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.
- New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:
  - Reducing volume and rate of runoff
  - Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk
  - Creating space for flooding.
- Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.

#### **Key messages**

The flood risk element of the Exception Test is likely to be passed subject to:

- More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.
- Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b.
- More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3b.

The site is likely to be suitable for development if:

 Further detailed modelling is undertaken at site-specific FRA stage to verify flood risk, though it would still be confined to the southern portion of the site due to the topography sloping away from the watercourse to the north.



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]		
	Address	Alcester		
6'' 1 1 1	Area	6.26 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		<ul> <li>Residual risk from the culvert at Hadrian's Walk is investigated and mitigated against.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another).</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties</li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>		
Manning Information				

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environme Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on a generalised modelling in this area as there is no detail hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a modetailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Floralisk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk.	
Climate change	Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.	



	Site Code	ALC.13 [ALC.B]		
	Address	Alcester		
Cita dataila	Area	6.26 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		



	Site Code	BID.8A [BI	D.A]			
	Address	Bidford-on-A	von, B50 4EZ			
<b>6</b>	Area	1.31 hectare	1.31 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	Stratford-on Avon. The Road/B139, The Crawfor roundabout A46. At its confrom the sou the middle of confluence district.	-Avon district, r northern bound directly opposite of Hall. This site on Salford Road of osest point, the lath eastern corne of the River Avon with the Severn	near the right be dary of the site Howard Close as is just to the but of Bidford-on-River Avon is lesser of the site. This catchment, as it is for some dist	n boundary of the pank of the River elies on Salford and to the west of west of the main Avon towards the sthan 250m aways site is located in does not reach its ance beyond the	
Sources of flood risk  Existing drainage features  Existing drainage features  The closest drainage feature is the River Avits closest point is less than 250m south eariver flows towards the site before meander that it is then over 500m away from the sour The Avon continues in this south westerly directly west of the site, just under 500m appoint, in a southerly direction until it meets to					ns within the site. on itself, which at st of the site. The ing south west so the western corner. The ection towards its Brook also flows way at its closest	
			Proportion	of site at ris	k	
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		0%	0%	7%	93%	
		Highest z			rom Rivers and	
Fluvial				Sea) Low		
		The % Floor	Zones auoted s		e site at flood risk	
from that particular Flood Zone/event, including of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e. the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside (= 100%)			ng the percentage e.g. FZ2 includes			



	Site Code	BID.8A [BID.A]		
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon,	B50 4EZ	
<u> </u>	Area	1.31 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		Available data:  The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment, which incorporates the River Avon modelling.  Flood characteristics:  The Environment Agency's fluvial Flood Zone 2 is present along the western boundary of the site. This covers a relatively small proportion of the site and no other Flood Zones are shown to impact the site. The extent of Flood Zone 2 at the site is at its outer extremity, governed by the meander of the River Avon, in so much that the flood risk is modelled to extend north west from the bank at the point of the river flowing south west. The only point at which the flood extent does cross into the site is at the western boundary. This extent is restricted by higher topography at the southern boundary and the south western corner of the site, diverting flow along the western boundary to a low point, at which point Flood Zone 2 is present in the site. Flood Zones 3a and 3b do not reach the site. This site is therefore at marginal risk of flooding.		
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)		
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year
		0%	0%	0%
			Max depths (m	
		N/A	N/A	N/A
			Max velocity (m/	(s)
		N/A	N/A	N/A
	Surface Water	water risk from the of the site at floor includes the 30-yes Description of su	% of the site at surface including the percentage isk zone (e.g. 100-year paths:	
		only some ponding on land to the west where Flood enters the site, and on the B439 to the north-east of the		
	Reservoir	The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from the available <u>online</u> maps; however, the maximum extent from reservoir flooding does reach within 10m of the site.		



	Cit- C- I-	DID 04 [DID 41			
	Site Code	BID.8A [BID.A]			
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon, B50 4EZ			
Cita dataila	Area	1.31 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential		
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have a 75% or greater susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the whole site has groundwater levels just below the ground surface, up to a maximum of 0.025m below. This suggests groundwater is likely to emerge at the surface locally.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.  This site is not shown to be within the reaches of the Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map. There is one incidence of recorded flooding within 200m, just to the east of the site.			
	Flood history			Map. There is one	
		Defence	Standard of	Condition	
	Defences	Туре	Protection		
Flood risk management	Detenices	-	-	-	
infrastructure	Residual risk	This site is not protected by any formal flood defences.  There are no obvious residual risks present at the site. A site-specific risk assessment is recommended to investigate potential risks further.			
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The site is partially covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service.  The site is covered by the 'Middle Avon Rugby to Bidford' Flood Alert. This flood alert covers part of the site, along the south western and western boundary, and is attributed to low-lying land and roads in the area between Rugby and Bidford. There are no flood warnings present at the site.			



	Site Code	BID.8A [BID.A]		
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon, B50 4EZ		
Site details	Area	1.31 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site are possible via a gateway along Salford Road/B439, which bounds the northern boundary. Entry can be made at any point along this northern boundary; however, it is worth noting that the 1,000-year event does impact the eastern portion of this northern boundary although this is a low risk event and the impact is minimal. A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate the overall accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles.		
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>Detailed fluvial modelling available at the site as part of climate change models for the River Avon, indicate flooding to the site as part of the Upper End scenario. The flow extends northwards towards the southern boundary of the site from the River Avon, although does not surpass the boundary at this point. Instead, the it flows west and at crosses the boundary along the entire length of the western boundary. The extent is minimal in the south western corner however, increases further north so that the northern quarter of the site is entirely inundated.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>		

		Geology:
control and a	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Bedrock - Mercia Mudstone - mudstone</li> <li>Superficial - Bretford Sand and Gravel member - sand and gravel.</li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."</li> <li>This feature is probably suitable provided site slopes are &lt;5% and the depth to the water table is &gt;1m. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are n</li></ul>
	exception Test	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site.  The Exception test will need to be applied if:  • Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.
R	Requirements and guidance or site-specific	<ul> <li>Flood Risk Assessment:</li> <li>At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site falls under these requirements.</li> </ul>

### Flood Risk Assessment

- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031</u>.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk flow routes on the western boundary, preserving this as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on Salford Road (access point).
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.



	Site Code	BID.8A [BID.A]
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon, B50 4EZ
	Area	1.31 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		<ul> <li>Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.</li> <li>All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.</li> <li>SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.</li> <li>Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.</li> <li>New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:         <ul> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk</li> <li>Creating space for flooding.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	BID.8A [BID.A]		
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon, B50 4EZ		
	Area	1.31 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Key messages		<ul> <li>The flood risk element of the Exception Test is likely to be passed subject to: <ul> <li>Highly vulnerable development is located in Flood Zone 2.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development if: <ul> <li>Safe access and egress need to be considered and the impacts of surface water flooding to Salford Road are assessed.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>		

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on the 2010 River Avon hydraulic model.	
Climate change	Climate change mapping was available as part of a detailed River Avon hydraulic model.	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	The hydraulic model is 1D-only and therefore there is no available fluvial depth, velocity or hazard data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage	



	Site Code	BID.8A [BID.A]		
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon, B50 4EZ		
Cita dataila	Area	1.31 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		



	1					
	Site Code	BID.9 [BID.C]				
	Address	North of Salfo	North of Salford Road, Bidford-on-Avon			
City des "	Area	5.88 hectares				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential			
	Location of site within catchment	The site is located on the western edge of Bidford-on-Avon in the western half of the Avon - Tramway Bridge Stratford to Workman Bridge Evesham catchment. The River Avon is approximately 500m southeast of the site, flowing through the catchment in a south westerly direction towards its confluence with the River Severn.				
	Existing drainage features	A watercourse named Small Brook flows southwards along the western boundary of the site. At the southern point of the site, it flows under Salford Road and continues southwards for approximately 1km, where it joins the River Avon.				
			Proportion	of site at risk	<b>C</b>	
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		0%	0%	0%	100%	
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)				
		Very Low				
Sources of flood risk		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood ris from that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 include the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1 100%)				
		Available data:				
	Fluvial	The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has be used in this assessment. The River Avon modelling is 500m sou of the site.				
		In this location, the catchment is <3km², and hence is n represented in the EA's Flood Zones. Having said that, there is small reach of the Small Brook from Broom Court Lodge to the northern tip of the site that has been included in the Flood Zone. This is likely to be due to a flood event which occurred here 1998 according to the EA's Recorded Flood Outlines dataset.				
		sources. This has a catchme Flood Zones. upstream fror Jacksons Mea	shown to be curr is because the ent <3km², and h However, the se m the site, stret dow, is represer	drain along the section of Small Ection of Small Eching approximated in the EA's	pooding from fluvial western boundary esented in the EA's Brook immediately ately 600m up to Flood Zones; this ent which affected	

		a localised reach only and hence has not continued south past this site.  This site has been flagged for its marginal risk and potential for			
				r into the site. It could	
		be inferred from the Flood Zone extents further upstream and the similar terrain, that Flood Zones at the site could look similar.			
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)			
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year	
		0%	1%	13%	
			Max depths (m)		
		N/A	<0.3	0.3-0.9	
			Max velocity (m/s)		
		N/A	<0.25	>0.25	
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)			
Surface \	Water	Description of surface water flow paths:  The main surface water flow path is associated with Small Brook, a watercourse that flows along the western boundary of the site. This does not pose a flood risk to the site during the 30-year event. During the 100-year event, surface water accumulates around the Small Brook and its floodplain, to depths between 0.3m and 0.9m but remains confined to the area around the			
		around the Small Brook and its floodplain, to depths between			
		of the access road amount of isolated the site, to depths surface water flooreaching depths be more than 0.25m/s	age Hill Farm. A small of the northern corner of west corner of the site, is more significant, with velocities reaching to the site affecting the re the access road turns		
		onto the farm.	diford Road up to write	re the decess road turns	
Reservoi	r	The site is not show available online ma		ervoir flooding from the	
		provided as 1km gr	id squares, shows the old emergence. The follo	vater Flooding dataset, susceptibility of an area owing comments can be	
		<ul> <li>The western half of the site is shown to have between a 50% and 75% susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence.</li> </ul>			
Groundw	Groundwater		n half of the site has a ty to groundwater floo	_	
		the site down towal levels between 0.0 suggesting grounds western boundary in This assessment appropriate assess	ards the western bour 025m and 0.5m below water may emerge at t s designated as no risl does not negate the ment of the groundw	ws that the majority of ndary has groundwater w the ground surface, the surface locally. The k.  requirement that an ater regime should be kisk Assessment (FRA)	
Flood his	story	This site is not shown to be within the EA's Historic Flood Map however the stretch of Small Brook immediately upstream i included from Broom Court Lodge to the northern tip of the site. The EA's Recorded Flood Outlines dataset shows this stretch			

		flooded in April 1998 due to the channel capacity being exceeded. This event does not appear to have affected the site.	
	Defences	The site is not protected by any formal flood defences.	
Flood risk management infrastructure Residual risk		At the southwest corner of the site, Small Brook flows under Salford Road. It is unclear whether this is via a culvert or bridge; however, if this structure became blocked, flooding could extend upstream and onto the development site. A site-specific FRA should investigate the impacts of a blockage here on the climate change scenario and confirm risk to the site, as well as help inform finished floor levels in-site.	
	Flood warning	The southwest corner of the site is covered by the Environment Agency's Middle Avon Rugby to Bidford Flood Alert Area (033WAF204).	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress for the site can occur via an access road which runs northwards from Salford Road, just outside the western boundary of the site. This access road crosses Small Brook into the site approximately half-way up the site boundary. The site may also be accessed from the southeast corner, through a gate that leads off Salford Road.  Access and egress are not likely to be affected by fluvial flooding, though as this watercourse is not modelled and the access route may cross it, this should be confirmed in a detailed site-specific assessment. In terms of surface water flood risk, the access road remains unaffected by flooding during the 30-year and 100-year events. During the 1,000-year event, most of the access road along the western boundary of the site is flooded to between 0.3m and 0.9m with velocities reaching more than 0.25m/s in some places.	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Whilst the site is not currently shown to be at risk of flooding due to lack of data in this small catchment, the site's close proximity to the unmodelled watercourse poses potential risk. LiDAR data at the site shows the topography around Small Brook is fairly flat, which may indicate that increased flooding resulting from climate change could extend further onto the western side of the site. It is recommended that developers undertake further modelling at the site-specific FRA stage to determine whether the site may be at risk of flooding in the future. The detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances in a hydraulic model, to ascertain the impact at the site.</li> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>	
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	Geology at the site consists of:     Bedrock – Mercia Mudstone Group - Mudstone.     Superficial – Wasperton Sand And Grave Member - Sand And Gravel.	

features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater. Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration. Detention options may be feasible provided site slopes are < 5% at the location of the detention feature. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Filtration features is probably suitable provided site slopes are <5% and the depth to the water table is >1m. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site, however the site neighbours a historic landfill site near its southern boundary. The site is not located within any Environment Agency designated Source Protection Zone. The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test must be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. As the entire site is shown to be in Flood Zone 1, the Exception Test is not required for the site. However, development should be steered away from the western boundary by the Small Brook where there is a risk of fluvial and surface water flooding. **Exception** Test If detailed modelling of the Small Brook shows the site to be in requirements Flood Zone 3, the Exception test will need to be applied if More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in NPPF and FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2. planning implications Development will not be permitted for the following scenarios: Highly Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b. More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b. Flood Risk Assessment: Requirements At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk and guidance Assessment will be required for this site as development for siteborders a watercourse and may be subject to other sources specific Flood of flooding and the development may introduce a more Risk vulnerable use. It will also be required where development Assessment sites:

- are 1 hectare or more in size;
- contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
- contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future.
- A detailed hydraulic model may be required at FRA stage, to confirm flood risk, flow paths and climate change extents.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific FRA.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Blockage residual risk will need to be investigated and modelled in a FRA to confirm impacts and help inform finished flood levels.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of surface water flood risk in the Small Brook, preserving this space as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and Flood Zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) 'Surface Water Management Plan' and 'Stratford on Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031'.
- If a detailed model shows the western boundary to be in Flood Zone 3, development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF. Development in FZ3 may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. Whilst the site is not currently at risk of flooding, no assessment of future flood risk with regard to climate change has been made. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG)
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site

- layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- All development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's <u>Surface Water Management Plan</u>, Stratford on Avon District's <u>Core Strategy 2011 to 2031</u> and the <u>Level 1 SFRA</u> for information on SuDS.
- SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.
- Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.
- New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:
  - o Reducing volume and rate of runoff
  - o Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk
  - Creating space for flooding.
- Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development.

#### Key messages

The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to:

- A detailed hydraulic model may be needed at site-specific stage to confirm the flood risk to the impacts of climate change in the Small Brook catchment.
- Safe access and egress need to be considered as the main access point is significantly affected by surface water flooding in the 1,000-year event.
- If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another).
- Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.
- A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of surface water flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.

Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site.

#### **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

#### Flood Zones

Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic

	model is constructed at the site-specific FRA stage, to confirm flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific FRA.
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	There is no available fluvial modelling data, therefore the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.
Surface Water	The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping	The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]					
	Address	East of Vic	toria Road, Bidfo	rd-on-Avon			
Site details	Area	5.43 hecta	res				
one details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	the wester Workman approxima catchment	The site is located on the northern edge of Bidford-on-Avon in the western half of the Avon - Tramway Bridge Stratford to Workman Bridge Evesham catchment. The River Avon is approximately 900m south of the site, flowing through the catchment in a south westerly direction towards its confluence with the River Severn.				
	Existing drainage features	A watercourse named Small Brook flows westwards along northern boundary of the site. Downstream of the site bends slightly southwards and flows approximately 2 before it joins the River Avon.			am of the site, it		
Sources of			Proportio	n of site at ris	sk		
flood risk		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1		
		0%	0%	0%	100%		
	Fluvial	Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from R Sea)					
	Fiuviai			ery Low			
		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at floof from that particular Flood Zone/event, including percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone FZ2 includes the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area of FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1 = 100%)			t, including the ner risk zone, e.g.		



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]					
	Address	East of Victoria F	Road, Bidford-on-	Avon			
Site details	Area	5.43 hectares					
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed land use	Residential					
		Available data:  The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment. The River Avon modelling is 900m south of the site. In this location, the catchment is <3km², and hence is not represented in the EA's Flood Zones. Having said that, there is a small reach of Small Brook, stretching approximately 600m downstream of the site, that has been included in the Flood Zones. This is likely to be due to a flood event which occurred here in 1998 according to the EA's Recorded Flood Outlines dataset.  Flood characteristics:  The site is not shown to be currently at risk of flooding from fluvial sources. This is because the drain along the northern boundary had a catchment <3km², and hence is not represented in the EA's Flood Zones. However, there is likely to still be some risk along the northern boundary, and the section of Small Brook immediately downstream of the site, stretching approximately 600m down to Marriage Hill Farm, is represented in the EA's Flood Zones; this appears to be derived from a historic flood event which affected a localised reach only and hence did not affect the site. This site has been flagged for its marginal risk and potential for climate change impacts to extend further into the site.					
		Propo	rtion of site at	t risk (F	RoFfSW)		
		30-year	100-year		1,000-year		
		0%	0%		1%		
			Max depths	s (m)			
	Surface Water	N/A	N/A		0.3-0.9		
	Surface Water	Max velocity (m/s)					
		N/A	N/A		>0.25		
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)					



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]
	Address	East of Victoria Road, Bidford-on-Avon
Site details	Area	5.43 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		Description of surface water flow paths:  The main surface water flow path is associated with Small Brook which flows along the northern boundary of the site. During the 30-year and 100-year events, surface water accumulates around Small Brook to depths between 0.3m and 0.9m, along the eastern half of the northern boundary of the site. During the 1,000-year event, depths remain the same, but surface water accumulates along the entirety of Small Brook along the northern boundary. For all scenarios, surface water remains confined to the area around the channel, having a minimal impact on the site.
	Reservoir	The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from the available online maps.
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have a 75% or greater susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence.  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the majority of the site has groundwater levels between 0.025m and 0.5m below, the ground surface suggesting groundwater may
		below the ground surface, suggesting groundwater may emerge at the surface locally. The eastern point is designated as no risk.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.
	Flood history	This site is not shown to be within the reaches of the Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map, however the stretch of Small Brook immediately downstream is included from Broom Court Lodge to Marriage Hill Farm. The EA's Recorded Flood Outlines dataset shows this stretch flooded in April 1998 due to the channel capacity being exceeded. This event does not appear to have affected the site.
	Defences	The site is not protected by any formal flood defences.



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]		
	Address	East of Victoria Road, Bidfor	d-on-Avon	
Site details	Area	5.43 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Near the west corner of the site, Small Brook flows under Jacksons Meadow road through a culvert. If this structure became blocked, flooding could extend upstream and onto the development site.  A site-specific FRA should investigate the impacts of blockage to this culvert on the climate change scenario and confirm risk to the site as well as help inform finished floor levels in-site.		
	Flood warning  The site is not located in an Environment Warning or Alert Area.			
Emergency planning	Access and egress	There is one point of access and egress for the site which an access road that leads on from Jacksons Meadow, runn approximately halfway along the northern boundary of site, adjacent to Small Brook. Access and egress are not lik to be significantly affected by fluvial flooding along Sm Brook, although as this watercourse is not modelled and access road is very close, this should be confirmed in detailed site-specific assessment. Access and egress may impeded by fluvial flooding of Jacksons Meadow road and adjoining Victoria Road, which are in Flood Zone 3. Surfawater flooding may also impact access and egress along the roads, with a 1,000-year event causing flooding to depibetween 0.3m and 0.9m at velocities above 0.25m/s.		



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]
	Address	East of Victoria Road, Bidford-on-Avon
Site details	Area	5.43 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Whilst the site is not currently shown to be at risk of flooding due to lack of data in this small catchment, the site's close proximity to the unmodelled watercourse poses potential risk. It is recommended that developers undertake further modelling at the site-specific FRA stage to determine whether the site may be at risk of flooding in the future. A detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances in a hydraulic model, to ascertain the impact at the site.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment.</li> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>

control and as	road scale ssessment of ossible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:</li> <li>Bedrock - Mercia Mudstone Group - Mudstone.</li> <li>Superficial - Wasperton Sand and Gravel Member - Sand And Gravel.</li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater. Mapping also suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration.</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site.</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is &gt;1m, additionally a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are l</li></ul>
nianning	xception Test equirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test must be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. As the entire site is shown to be in Flood Zone 1, the Exception Test is not required for the site. However, development should be steered away from the northern boundary by Small Brook where there is a risk of fluvial and surface water flooding.  The Exception test will need to be applied if More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]	
	Address	East of Victoria Road, Bidford-on-Avon	
Site details Area		5.43 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		<ul> <li>Development will not be permitted for the following scenarios:         <ul> <li>Highly Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b.</li> <li>More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required for this site as the development borders a watercourse and may be subject to other sources of flooding and the development may introduce a more vulnerable use. It will also be required where development sites:
  - o are 1 hectare or more in size;
  - contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future.
- A detailed hydraulic model may be required at FRA stage, to confirm flood risk, flow paths and climate change extents from the unmodelled Small Brook watercourse along the northern boundary, using channel topographic survey.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific FRA.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Blockage residual risk will need to be investigated and modelled in a FRA to confirm impacts and help inform finished flood levels.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of surface water flood risk and Small Brook along the northern boundary, preserving this space as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and Flood Zone compatibility of the
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan'</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031'</u>.
- If a detailed model shows the northern boundary to be in Flood Zone 3, development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF. Development in FZ3 may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### **Guidance for site design and making development safe:**

• The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. Whilst the site is not currently shown to be at risk of flooding, no assessment of future flood risk with regard to climate change has been made. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and

Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

- maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- All development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's <u>Surface Water Management Plan</u>, Stratford on Avon District's <u>Core Strategy 2011 to 2031</u> and the <u>Level 1</u> SFRA for information on SuDS.
- SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving. Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.
- New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:
  - o Reducing volume and rate of runoff
  - Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk
  - Creating space for flooding.
- Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development.

#### **Key messages**

The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to:

- Development is avoided near the northern boundary where Small Brook poses a potential fluvial and surface water flood risk. A detailed hydraulic model may be needed at site-specific stage to confirm the flood risk to the site and the impacts of climate change.
- Safe access and egress need to be considered as the access road is located along the Small Brook.



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]		
	Address	East of Victoria Road, Bidford-on-Avon		
Site details	Area	5.43 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		<ul> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of surface water flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site.</li> </ul>		
Manustru Tufanna Man				

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific FRA stage, to confirm flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific FRA.
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	There is no available fluvial modelling data, therefore the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.
Surface Water	The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.



	Site Code	BID.11[BID.D]		
	Address	East of Victoria Road, Bidford-on-Avon		
Site details	Area	5.43 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the surface surface in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		



	Site Code	BID.13 [B	BID.13 [BID.B]				
	Address	Bidford-on	-Avon				
	Area	2.85 hecta	res				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed land use	Residential					
	Location of site within catchment	The site is located on the south-western edge of Bidford-on-Avon in the western half of the Avon - Tramway Bridge Stratford to Workman Bridge Evesham catchment. It lies on the eastern bank of Small Brook, approximately 950m upstream of its confluence with the River Avon. The ridge of high ground passing from north to south through the centre of the site acts as a drainage boundary. The western side of the site will drain into the topographically confined Small Brook whilst lower ground sloping onto the eastern part of the site will drain directly onto the wider floodplain of the River Avon which lies approximately 250m to the southeast, flowing through the catchment in a south westerly direction towards its confluence with the River Severn.  The Small Brook flows from north to south along the site's western boundary. Approximately 950m downstream of the site the Small Brook reaches a confluence with the River Avon.					
Sources of flood risk	Existing drainage features						
				n of site at ris			
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1		
		0%	4%	15%	85%		
		Highest 2	one of risk (Ris	sk of Flooding f Sea)	rom Rivers and		
	Fluvial		N	1edium			
					e site at flood risk		
					t, including the		
	the state of the s						
					ner risk zone, e.g. ning area outside		

#### Available data:

The Environment Agency's Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment.

The Small Brook catchment is <3km², and hence is not represented in the EA's Flood Zones. There is a small reach of the Small Brook from Broom Court Lodge to a location 630m upstream of the site that has been included in the Flood Zones. This is likely to be due to a flood event which occurred here in 1998 according to the EA's Recorded Flood Outlines dataset. The site is however affected by the River Avon's flood extents, for which there is a 2010 1D hydraulic model incorporated into the Flood Zones.

#### Flood characteristics:

The centre of the site is a topographic ridge, sloping downhill to the east and west towards the watercourse floodplains.

Flood Zones 2 and 3, associated with fluvial flooding from the River Avon, encroach onto the low-lying topography at the eastern edge of the site. Flood Zone 3a remains confined to an area in the southeast corner of the site and Flood Zone 2 extends across the entire length of the eastern boundary, reaching a maximum distance of approximately 42m into the site from the border. Flood Zone 3b does not reach the site from the River Avon.

Fluvial flood risk from Small Brook along the western boundary is not included in the EA's Flood Zones as the catchment is <3km² in size. A section upstream of the site is represented in the EA's Flood Zones; this appears to be derived from a historic flood event which affected a localised reach only and hence has not continued south along the whole watercourse. It could be inferred from the Flood Zones further upstream and the similar terrain that the Flood Zones at the site could look similar with flood risk confined mostly to the low-lying areas directly surrounding the channel which would pose minimal risk to this site. At a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, a detailed hydraulic model of this watercourse should be developed to confirm fluvial flood risk to the site from the Small Brook.

In the absence of any detailed models along the Small Brook, the surface water flood risk datasets can be used as an indication of extents, depths and velocities for smaller ordinary watercourses.

Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)							
30-year	100-year	1,000-year					
0%	0%	5%					
	Max depths (m)						
N/A	N/A	<0.3					
Max velocity (m/s)							
N/A	N/A	>0.25					

#### **Surface Water**

The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)

#### **Description of surface water flow paths:**

There is minimal surface water flood risk at this site, and this is confined to the western and eastern boundaries. A flow path encroaches onto the site into low-lying ground at the western boundary in the 1,000-year event, in the Small Brook floodplain. Velocity of surface water flow in this location is estimated to be >0.25m/s; however, maximum depth is



	Site Code	BID.13 [BID.B	]			
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon				
Site details	Area	2.85 hectares	2.85 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential				
		estimated to be <0.3m and therefore shallow. A very small area of surface water flooding is present on the eastern boundary in the 1,000-year event.				
	Reservoir	The floodplain of the River Avon is indicated to be at risk from flooding from overtopping of reservoirs. The area at reservoires into the eastern boundary of the site, remaining the areas of lowest-lying topography.			oirs. The area at risk	
			The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:			
		<ul> <li>The majority of the site is shown to have &gt;75% susceptibility to groundwater emergence.</li> <li>A small section of the site at the western edge has a 50-75% susceptibility to groundwater emergence.</li> <li>JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the low-lying</li> </ul>				
	Groundwater	areas of the site are designated higher ground groundwater lev small areas show 0.025m of the	at the easte as at no ris through the els 0.025-0.5 ving groundw ground sur	ern and we k of grou centre o om below vater level face. This	estern edges of the site indwater flooding. The f the site mostly has the ground surface with a sestimated to be withing shows that there is at the surface locally	
		This assessment does not negate the requirement appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime s carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessme stage.				
	Flood history	The site is shown to be largely unaffected by historic flood incidents. The Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map extends across the River Avon floodplain and encroaches onto the eastern edge of the site. The Recorded Flood Outlines associate this historic extent with flooding that occurred in July 2007.				
Flood risk		Defence	Standa		Condition	
management	Defences	Туре	Protec	tion		
infrastructure	Defences	_	-		-	
astructure		This site is not p	rotected by	any forma	I flood defences.	



	Site Code	BID.13 [BID.B]
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon
a	Area	2.85 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
	Residual risk	Mapping does not indicate the presence of any hydraulic structures in the vicinity of the site that could pose additional risk if they were to become blocked. It is likely that a bridge or culvert is present along the western boundary in order to allow site access from the unnamed track across the Small Brook and onto the site.  If there are any hydraulic structures within the site or directly downstream, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should investigate the impacts of blockage in the climate change scenario and confirm risk to the site to help inform finished floor levels.
	Flood warning	The site is partially covered by the Environment Agency's Middle Avon Rugby to Bidford Flood Alert Area (033WAF204). The site does not fall within the Environment Agency Flood Warning Areas.
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access to the site can be gained from the unnamed road at the western boundary of the site which is connected to Salford Road to the north. An alternative access route could be gained from Arrow Way in the centre of the northern boundary.  Access and egress to the site is possible in all surface water events. There is a surface water flow path running from north to south close to the western boundary in the 1,000-year event, but maximum depths are estimated to be 0.3m therefore it is likely that emergency services vehicles will be able to gain access to the site.  Available mapping data indicates that it is possible to gain access to the site in all fluvial flood events. The route along Salford Road from the northeast does not fall within the EA Flood Zones and therefore access should be steered eastwards away from the A46 junction which is flooded by the Avon and Arrow. A detailed hydraulic model should be developed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage to investigate the potential flooding from Small Brook which could hinder access along the unnamed roadway if it were to indicate severe flood risk in this area.



	Site Code	BID.13 [BID.B]
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon
	Area	2.85 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed modelling data currently available for the Small Brook; usually Flood Zone 2 would be used as an indication of climate change but as this is not covered by Flood Zones, the 1,000-year surface water extent may be used to infer risk from climate change at the western boundary.</li> <li>Detailed fluvial modelling available in the eastern half of the site as part of climate change models for the River Avon. This indicates flooding to the site in all scenarios (Central, Higher Central and Upper End). The flow extends westward and increases with worsening scenarios. The Upper End extent is limited by the topography of the site and does not reach further than 75m into the site.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The 1,000-year surface water extent covers 5% of the site, indicating a flow route through the low topography on the western boundary.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the potential impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA which would be required to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>A detailed fluvial model should be developed as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment to investigate the fluvial flood risk along the Small Brook in climate change scenarios.</li> </ul>

control and	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Mercia Mudstone group - mudstone</li> <li>Superficial - Boundary</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater. Mapping also suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is &gt;1m, additionally a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwat</li></ul>
nianning	Exception Test requirements	with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be



	Site Code	BID.13 [BID.B]	
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon	
	Area	2.85 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site, a therefore away from the eastern and western boundaries.	
		<ul> <li>The Exception test will need to be applied if:</li> <li>More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.</li> <li>Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b.</li> </ul>	

### Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

#### **Flood Risk Assessment:**

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare.
- The development may introduce a more vulnerable use. A detailed site-specific FRA will also be required where development sites:
  - Contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - Contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in the future.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- A detailed model for the Avon exists for the eastern boundary however, the western boundary which falls within the Small Brook catchment is not modelled. At the site-specific level, a detailed fluvial model is recommended to ascertain flooding risk to this side of the site. All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific FRA.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's <u>Surface Water Management Plan</u>, Stratford on Avon District's <u>Core Strategy 2011 to 2031</u> and the <u>Level 1 SFRA</u> for information on SuDS.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes, particularly in the low-lying topography at the east and west boundary of the site, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Development in FZ3 in the southeast of the site may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.



	Site Code	BID.13 [BID.B]
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon
6'' 1 1 "	Area	2.85 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		<ul> <li>The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates and no increase in downstream discharge from the site.</li> <li>On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourses to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.</li> <li>New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.</li> <li>Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.</li> <li>SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.</li> <li>New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:         <ul> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Redocating development to zones with lower flood risk</li> <li>Creating space for flooding.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	BID.13 [BID.B]			
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon			
	Area	2.85 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to:         <ul> <li>Development is preferentially located in areas at lower risk of fluvial flood risk. Flood risk across this site is primarily located in areas of lower-lying topography at the east and west boundaries. Development should be steered towards land at a higher elevation in the centre of the site which is less susceptible to fluvial and surface water flood risk.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another) and will not be detrimental to flood risk downstream of the site.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure or storage of flood water should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>			
	Ма	pping Information			
	The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.				
Flood Zones  Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environ Agency's Flood Map for Planning. This incorporate modelling from the River Avon.  It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic materials.		modelling from the River Avon.  It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific FRA stage, to confirm flood risk			
Climate change		Climate change was modelled for the River Avon using the 3no. 2080s allowances on the 100-year event. Climate change mapping was unavailable for the Small Brook area. In			

areas that are not covered by Flood Zone 2, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic

model as part of a site-specific FRA.



	Site Code	BID.13 [BID.B]	
	Address	Bidford-on-Avon	
Cito deteile	Area	2.85 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial modelling data as the River Avon model is 1D-only, therefore the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.	
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.	
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.	



	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]				
	Address	Bishop's Itch	nington			
au	Area	2.27 hectares				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	Itchington. I middle reac northwards the floodpla	t lies on the west hes of the catcl to its confluence in of the River into the surround	ern bank of the I hment as it flow with the River L Itchen is relat	edge of Bishop's River Itchen in the ws approximately eam. In this area, ively narrow and flanked by higher	
	Existing drainage features	The River Itchen flows from south to north close to the eastern boundary of the site. The site slopes steeply down from higher ground on its western boundary towards the river in the east.				
			Proportion of site at risk			
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		8%	8%	11%	89%	
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)				
			ledium			
Sources of flood risk		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at floof from that particular Flood Zone/event, including the perce of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 includes the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 = 100%)				
	Fluvial	Available data:  The Environment Agency's Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment. In the absence of a detailed model all this part of the River Itchen, the Flood Zones are based on generalised modelling.  Flood characteristics:  The EA mapping datasets indicate that fluvial flood rist confined to the areas of the site at low topography close to River Itchen. Flood Zones 3a and 2 extend across Ladbr Road and encroach onto the eastern edge of the site. Flood Z 2 extends slightly further onto the site than Flood Zone 3, which is shown in the similar extents. Flood Zone 3b is based on Flood Z 3a as an indication of risk, as there is no detailed modelling the 20-year flood event.			railed model along is are based on 2D uvial flood risk is raphy close to the di across Ladbroke ne site. Flood Zone ood Zone 3, which sed on Flood Zone	
		The current Ladbroke Rothe channel	mapping is a ad, where it follo	ows lower topog ere detailed mode	downstream of raphy rather than el in a site-specific	



	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	]		
	Address	Bishop's Itchingto	n		
Site details	Area	2.27 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		Propoi	rtion of site at ris	sk (RoFfSW)	
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year	
		4%	8%	20%	
			Max depths (m	)	
		>0.9	>0.9	>0.9	
		Max velocity (m/s)			
		<0.25	>0.25	>0.25	
		water risk from th	nat particular event, od risk at a higher i	% of the site at surface including the percentage risk zone (e.g. 100-year	
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths:  Surface water flooding is primarily associated with the Riv Itchen floodplain, encroaching onto the eastern boundary of the site and ponding into the areas of lowest topography in the northeast. The deepest area of surface water flooding occurs the northeast corner of the site, with depths of >0.9m estimate in the 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year events. Deeper water encroaches further onto the site in the larger return periodevents.  There are two isolated surface water flow paths flowing from west to east across the site; one is located at the northern encof the site and the other at the southern end. These are one present in the 1,000-year event and have an estimated depend of <0.3m so fairly shallow. Surface water flow paths are all present in the 1,000-year event running along Ladbroke Roacon the southern boundary and extending along the norther boundary.			
	Reservoir	The site is not at available online m	_	reservoirs according to	



	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	BISH.1 [BISH.A]				
	Address	Bishop's Itchingto	n				
Site details	Area	2.27 hectares					
	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential				
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have less than 25% susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows for the entire site					
		there is 'no risk', indicating that groundwater is more than 5m below the surface. This suggests groundwater is unlikely to emerge locally within the site.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.  The Environment Agency's historic flood map does not indicate any evidence of flooding on the site.  Warwickshire County Council hold a dataset that indicates the number of flooding events within a 500m grid square. This site is located within a grid square where 1 historic incident has occurred.					
	Flood history						
		Defence	Standard of	Condition			
	Defences	Туре	Protection				
		-	-	-			
			otected by any formal				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	There is minimal residual risk from flood risk management infrastructure at this site. Mapping does not indicate the presence of any hydraulic structures in the vicinity of the site that could pose additional risk. Although, just north east of the site, the River Itchen flows under Ladbroke Grove therefore, is possible that changes to this area could pose a risk to the low lying eastern quarter of the site. A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment is recommended to ascertain this and further potential risks.					
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The River Leam and River Itchen Flood Alert Area (033WAF203) encroaches across the eastern boundary of the site and covers the low-lying area of the site. The site is not covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Areas.					



Site details	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	
	Address	Bishop's Itchington	
	Area	2.27 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
	Access and egress	Access and egress to the site can be gained from Ladbroke R which runs along the southern and eastern boundary of the or alternatively can be gained from an unnamed single-tr lane along the western boundary of the site.  In all surface water and fluvial flooding events, the section Ladbroke Road along the eastern site boundary is inundated to the proximity to the River Itchen. However, more deta modelling may refine the flood extents in this area of the roas the current mapping is also misaligned downstream Ladbroke Road, where it follows lower topography rather to the channel alignment. Surface water ponding in this are >0.9m even in the 30-year event which is quite deep.  In all fluvial and surface water events, access to the site can gained from the west where access routes originate from an of higher elevation. There are isolated areas of surface water ponding through Bishop's Itchington in the 30-year and 1 year surface water events, extending into flow paths in 1,000-year event. However, maximum depths are estimated be <0.3m in all events on key access routes so it is likely to emergency services will still be able to gain access.  A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaked evaluate accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles to this sit all surface water and fluvial events. It is advised that acces	



Site details	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	
	Address	Bishop's Itchington	
	Area	2.27 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling currently available at the site, therefore Flood Zone 2 has been used as a conservative indication of fluvial flood risk from climate change. This extends into the eastern area of the site, confined within the areas of lowest topography, but is not significantly different to Flood Zone 3a.</li> <li>It is recommended that developers undertake further modelling at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage to determine whether the site may be at risk of flooding in the future. The detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances in a hydraulic model to ascertain the impact at the site.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events due to climate change. The 1,000-year surface water extent covers 20% of the site with the majority of the risk caused by ponding on low topography at the eastern boundary.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>	



	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	
Site details	Address	Bishop's Itchington	
	Area	2.27 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Rugby Limestone member-mudstone and limestone, interbedded</li> <li>Superficial - None recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is &gt;1m.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt; 5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li> </ul>	



Site details	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	
	Address	Bishop's Itchington	
	Area	2.27 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site. The Exception test will need to be applied if:  • More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.  • Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a and FZ3b.  • More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3b.	

## Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

#### **Flood Risk Assessment:**

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare.
- The development may introduce a more vulnerable use.
   A detailed site-specific FRA will also be required where development sites:
  - Contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - Contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in the future.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- A more detailed hydraulic model may be required at Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk and flow paths, and climate change extents associated with the River Itchen.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's <u>Surface Water Management Plan</u>, Stratford on Avon District's <u>Core Strategy 2011 to 2031</u> and the <u>Level 1 SFRA</u> for information on SuDS.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes primarily in low lying topography along the eastern boundary, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Development in FZ3 in the eastern area of the site may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.



	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	
Site details	Address	Bishop's Itchington	
	Area	2.27 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		<ul> <li>The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates and that discharge rates from the site do not increase downstream flood risk.</li> <li>On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.</li> <li>New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.</li> <li>SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.</li> <li>New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:         <ul> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk</li> <li>Creating space for flooding.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.</li> </ul>	



Site details	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]	
	Address	Bishop's Itchington	
	Area	2.27 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to:         <ul> <li>Development is preferentially located in areas at lower risk of fluvial flood risk. Flood risk across the site is located at the eastern boundary in low lying topography close to the River Itchen. Development should be steered onto the 89% of the site located in FZ1, lying at higher elevation on the western side of the site. This area is less susceptible to fluvial and surface water flood risk.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another) and will not be detrimental to flood risk downstream of the site.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure or storage of flood water should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>Access to the site is preferentially gained from the west.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site.</li> </ul>	
Mapping Information			

#### Mapping Information

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. Flood Zones 2 was used as an indicative climate change extent, in addition to the 1,000-year surface water flood extent. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific FRA.
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.



Site details	Site Code	BISH.1 [BISH.A]		
	Address	Bishop's Itchington		
	Area	2.27 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		



	Site Code	BISH.02[I	BISH.B]			
	Address	North of Ha	ambridge Road			
	Area	2.21 hecta	res			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	western pa	art of the Itchen hment. The Rive	– source to der Itchen flow	p's Itchington, in the confluence with River s northwards through e east of the site.	
	Existing drainage features	The River Itchen passes approximately 100m to the southeast of the site. It then meanders eastwards up to 400m away from the site, passing under Hambridge Road, before bending back to within 10m of the northern tip of the site. As it heads northwards away from the site, it passes under Ladbroke Road.				
		Proportion of site at risk			: risk	
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		0%	0%	0%	100%	
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)				
		Very Low				
Sources of flood risk		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood rist from that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e. FZ2 includes the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outsing FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1 = 100%)  Available data:				
	Fluvial	in this ass	sessment. Whe	re there is r	apping has been used no detailed hydraulid sed on 2D generalised	
		Flood cha	racteristics:			
		The site is fluvial sour Zones asso east of the restricted I away towa present wit	not shown to cures. However, the ciated with the esite. The Flood by the river valled rids the same control of the same control of the same control.	ne site is in clo River Itchen I Zone data i ey of the Rive uvial Flood Z Ifined topogra	risk of flooding from ose proximity to Flood which passes to the indicates flood risk is er Itchen, which rises ones 2 and 3 are al aphic extents, passing	
			e very northern c point in the site		e, which is the lowest e channel.	
			roportion of s			
	Surface Water	30-yea	•	year	1,000-year	



	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B	]	
	Address	North of Hambridge Road		
	Area	2.21 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		0%	1%	8%
			Max depths (m)	
		N/A	0.3-0.9	0.3-0.9
			Max velocity (m/s	s)
		N/A	>0.25	>0.25
		water risk from tha	at particular event, ir d risk at a higher ris	% of the site at surface ncluding the percentage sk zone (e.g. 100-year
		Risk of Flooding from flow path in the 1 through the midd drains from a res Itchen. During the disconnected with 1,000-year event, and wider flow path below 0.3m with juvelocities are grea For all scenarios, so Itchen and its flood 1,000-year event, site.	non-year and 1,000-le of the site from sidential area of the sidential area of the sidential area revent, to depths mostly belong the extent increases of the across the site. The sust a small area reacter than 0.25m/s. Surface water accumpled plain to depths about this encroaches onto	ata for this site shows a year event. This flows west to east as water village into the River the extent is small and ow 0.3m. During the standard ow forming a continuous Depths largely remain hing up to 0.9m. Flood ulates around the River tove 0.9m. During the othe northern tip of the
	Reservoir	The site is not sho the available onlin		reservoir flooding from
	Groundwater	provided as 1km of area to groundwork comment can be not a susceptibil.  JBA's Groundwater has been designat. This assessment appropriate assess.	grid squares, shows vater flood emerge made about groundwe site is shown to hity to groundwater floor Mapping dataset shed as no risk. does not negate the sment of the groundwater floor was not negate the sment of the groundwater floor was not negate the sment of the groundwater floor was not negate the sment of the groundwater floor was not negate the sment of the groundwater floor was not negate the groundwater floor was negated	ater flood risk: have less than a 25%



	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]
	Address	North of Hambridge Road
6'' 1 1 1	Area	2.21 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
	Flood history	This site is not shown to be within the reaches of the EA's Historic Flood Map.  Warwickshire County Council may hold additional records which are not available at this time. These records detail historical flood incidents from all sources, whereas the EA dataset only records incidents of fluvial, tidal or coastal flooding. The Lead Local Authority should be contacted to obtain further details.
	Defences	The site is not protected by any formal flood defences.
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	Just to the north of the site, the River Itchen flows under a bridge for Ladbroke Road. If this became blocked, flooding could extend upstream and onto the development site. The impact would likely be low because the site's topography rises quite steeply away from this northern corner, and the River Itchen floodplain is also very wide, which would dissipate some of the effect before rising into the site. A site-specific FRA should investigate the impacts of a blockage here on the climate change scenario and confirm risk to the site, as well as help inform finished floor levels in-site for this northern corner.
	Flood warning	The northern tip of the site is covered by the EA's River Leam and River Itchen Flood Alert Area (033WAF203).



	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]		
	Address	North of Hambridge Road		
6	Area	2.21 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at the site are possible via Hambridge Road on the southern boundary of the site, or via Ladbroke Road on the northern boundary of the site.  For both Hambridge Road and Ladbroke Road, the roads to the east are covered by the EA's Flood Zone mapping, therefore consideration is needed for access and egress in this direction during a fluvial flood event. This is likely because the 2D generalised modelling does not account for channel survey or structures, so in reality it may not flood over the roads as much. Until more detailed modelling is available, caution should be applied to considering the risk posed by the River Itchen to these roads.  In terms of surface water flood risk, there is Very Low risk in the vicinity of the gate off Hambridge Road. On Ladbroke Road, there is surface water ponding around the gateway to the site during a 1,000-year event, but depths are below 0.3m so are unlikely to significantly impact access and egress. As with fluvial risk, the surface water flood extents are shown to cross these roads in all events. During the 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year events, flood depths across both roads to the east are over 0.9m and velocities are greater than 0.25m/s, so may cause access and egress issues. It would therefore be preferential for access and egress to be in the opposite direction along Ladbroke Road and Hambridge Road, to the west.		



	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]
	Address	North of Hambridge Road
6	Area	2.21 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Developers may need to undertake further modelling at the site-specific FRA stage to determine whether the site may be at risk of flooding in the future.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and therefore Flood Zone 2 has been used as a conservative indication of flood risk from climate change. This touches the northern tip of the site, suggesting the potential impact from climate change would only affect this small area of the site, given the constrained topography. The detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances in a hydraulic model, to ascertain the impact at the site.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment. As the topography is confined in the northern corner, this is likely to have minimal impact on the site.</li> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]
	Address	North of Hambridge Road
	Area	2.21 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Rugby Limestone Member - Mudstone and Limestone, Interbedded.</li> <li>Charmouth Mudstone Formation - Mudstone.</li> <li>Superficial - none recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> </ul> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is &gt;1m.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li>



Site details	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]
	Address	North of Hambridge Road
	Area	2.21 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
NPPF and planning implications		The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test must be passed before the Exception Test is applied.
		Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. As the entire site is shown to be in Flood Zone 1, the Exception Test is not required for the site. However, development should be steered away from the northeast corner by the River Itchen where there is a risk of fluvial and surface water flooding.
	Exception Test requirements	If detailed modelling of the River Itchen shows the northern tip of the site to be in Flood Zone 3, the Exception test will need to be applied if More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.
		<ul> <li>Development will not be permitted for the following scenarios:         <ul> <li>Highly Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b.</li> </ul> </li> <li>More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.</li> </ul>

### Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk

**Assessment** 

#### **Flood Risk Assessment:**

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required for this site as development is in close proximity to a watercourse and may be subject to other sources of flooding and the development may introduce a more vulnerable use. It will also be required where development sites:
  - are 1 hectare or more in size;
  - contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future.
- A detailed hydraulic model may be required at FRA stage, to confirm flood risk and climate change extents from the River Itchen at the northern tip of the site, using channel topographic survey.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific FRA.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk near the north east corner, and the surface water flow path bisecting the site in the 1,000-year event, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) 'Surface Water Management Plan' and 'Stratford on Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031'.
- If a detailed model shows the north east corner to be in Flood Zone 3, development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
  - Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
  - Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor



	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]
	Address	North of Hambridge Road
	Area	2.21 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.  The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.  On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.  All development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.  Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.  SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving. Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.  New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:  Reducing volume and rate of runoff  Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk  Creating space for flooding.



	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]
	Address	North of Hambridge Road
	Area	2.21 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>Development is avoided near the north east corner where the River Itchen poses a potential fluvial and surface water flood risk. A detailed hydraulic model may be needed at site-specific stage to confirm the flood risk to the site and the impacts of climate change.</li> <li>Safe access and egress need to be considered as the two access points are affected by fluvial and surface water flooding from the east. Access would be better directed to the west.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of surface water flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site.</li> </ul>
Mapping Information		

#### Mapping Information

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change was based on Flood Zone 2 to serve as an indication of possible extents. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific FRA.



Site details	Site Code	BISH.02[BISH.B]
	Address	North of Hambridge Road
	Area	2.21 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]				
	Address	Clifford Char	mbers			
Cita dataila	Area	2.06 hectare	es			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
Sources of flood risk	Location of site within catchment  Existing drainage features	This site is located north west of the urbanised area of Clifford Chambers. Campden Road/ the B4632 makes up the western boundary for the site. The site is in the lower catchment of the River Stour, 2.5km south east of where the Stour meets the River Avon. The site is 2 miles south of Stratford-upon-Avon town and centrally located within the district. This area is within the middle Avon catchment.  The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows River Stour flowing to the north east of the site. Just south east of the site at Riverbank House, the river splits in two, with one channel meandering north east for Clifford Mill before re-joining the main channel north of the site at the B4632. At the point of bifurcation, the main channel continues to flow north west, close to the north eastern corner of the site. The Stour then flows west for 2.5km to join the River Avon which flows south west from this confluence towards the Severn. There are no existing				
			Proportion	of site at ris	k	
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		18%	21%	29%	71%	
		Highest zo	one of risk (Ris		om Rivers and	
	Fluvial			<b>Sea)</b> Iedium		
		The % Floor			e site at flood risk	
		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood from that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percent of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 inclu the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 + 1 = 100%)		ng the percentage e.g. FZ2 includes		



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]			
	Address	Clifford Chambers			
a	Area	2.06 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		Available data: The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment, which incorporates the River Stour 1D-2D 2010 modelling.			
		Flood characteristics: Flood Zone data for this site indicates flood risk through this site is as a result of the River Stour, the banks of which are near to the north east corner of boundary of site. Flood Zones 2 and 3 are shown to be in the northern quarter of the site, spanning the entire breadth of the site, and are restricted by the topography which slopes upwards away from the Stour floodplain. Flood Zone 3b is shown to reach into the site for up to 85m, from the banks of the Stour. Flood Zone 3a has a slightly larger extent and Flood Zone 2 larger still but is limited by a sudden increase in topography. Flood Zone 3 does not extend much further east or south beyond its extent in the site; however, Flood Zone 2 extends along the eastern border on Campden Road, with a very small re-entry into the site further south.  Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea data shows that a similar area to Flood Zone 3a is modelled as being at medium risk. The 100-year modelled flood extent as Flood Zone 3a. Depths vary and are highest in the north eastern corner, are between 2-3m. This depth decreases both east and south from the corner. The majority of the site has depths between 0.5-1.5m. The 100-year velocities, however, are low between 0-0.35m/s. The resulting hazard varies, following the depth pattern and is			
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)			
		30-year	100-yea	r	1,000-year
	Surface Water	0%	0%	hc (m)	2%
	Surface Water	N/A	Max depti N/A	ns (m)	Below 300mm
		IN/A	Max velocit	tv (m/s)	PEIOM 200111111
		N/A	N/A	(111/3)	Over 0.25m/s
				l l	•



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]				
	Address	Clifford Chambers				
<u> </u>	Area	2.06 hectares				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)				
		-	urface water flow p	aths:		
		Surface water flows only impact the site in the 1,000-year events. In these, there is two areas with minimal localised ponding. The first is in the north eastern corner and the second area is near the lower western boundary. There are, however, surface water flows in all events on the B4532. In the 30-year event this extends for half the length of the site; however, in both the 100-year and 1,000 year these flows extend the entire length of the site.  The site is shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from the available online maps. The extent from this flooding reaches to the same point as the fluvial 100-year modelled risk and Flood Zone 2, again being limited by the topography of the site.  The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:				
	Reservoir					
		The entire site is shown to have greater than 75% susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence				
	Groundwater	JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the souther third of the site has no risk from groundwater flooding. The remaining two thirds have groundwater between 0.5m and 50 below the surface. This suggest groundwater flooding risk is not as high as suggested by the EA's Areas Susceptible Groundwater Flooding dataset.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA stage.				
	Flood history	square grids, sho	w the southern quar	od Map, provided as 1km ter to have 1 recorded he site has no history of		
		Defence	Standard of	Condition		
	Defences	Туре	Protection			
		-	-	-		



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]	
	Address	Clifford Chambers	
	Area	2.06 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		This site is not protected by any formal flood defences. However, there are some flood defences present on the right bank of the right-hand channel bifurcation adjacent to Clifford Mill, but the area benefitting from this defences is the Mill, from the sluice to the road.	
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	The flood defence mentioned above may have an effect of flood levels at the site if flood water is then spread over a reduced width in this part of the floodplain, up to the B4632, but it is not anticipated that the site is at residual risk from these flood defences.  There is a chance that blockage of the B4632 could increase water levels and depths upstream, and therefore at the site. It is deemed unlikely to have a significant impact due to the site being located on the outer edge of the wide floodplain, and the structure is likely to be a suitable size given the width of the channel as the 2 branches re-merge and the function of the Mill directly upstream, but a site-specific FRA is recommended to investigate potential risks further.	
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service.	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site are possible via the B4632, Campden Road which makes up the western boundary for the site. This road is affected by fluvial flooding and is covered by Flood Zone 2 for the majority of the western boundary extent therefore, this should be considered. The road is also vulnerable to surface water flows in all events, though the 30-year extent is negligible. The 100-year and 1,000-year events spread the full length of the site boundary. For most of the 100-year event, the depth of these flows are below 0.3m although some areas are up to 0.6m deep. This may still be suitable for emergency vehicles.  A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles at this access point.	



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]
	Address	Clifford Chambers
	Area	2.06 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change are modelled for the 2080s epoch in the Stour model (100-year +25%, 35% and 70%). Results show no increase in the extent of fluvial flooding to the site, due to the confined topography at the edge of the floodplain, although this may affect depth, velocity and therefore the hazard. Climate change may also affect surface water and groundwater related vulnerability.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>

	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Mercia Mudstone Group - mudstone</li> <li>Superficial - Boundary</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater. Mapping also suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is considered to be highly susceptible to</li> </ul>
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation  Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."  • This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is >1m, additionally a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.  • All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.  • The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site  • The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.
NPPF and planning implications  Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site. Development should be steered away from the northern quarter of the site where the Flood Zones are present. The Exception test will need to be applied if:



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]
	Address	Clifford Chambers
Cita dataila	Area	2.06 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		<ul> <li>More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.</li> <li>Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted within FZ3a.</li> </ul>

### Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk

**Assessment** 

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site falls under these requirements.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Residual risk from potential structure blockages may need to be investigated and modelled in a FRA to confirm impacts and help inform finished flood levels.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031</u>.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and Flood Zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Development in FZ3 should be avoided and may require floodplain compensation; this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk and fluvial risk on Campden Road.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]
	Address	Clifford Chambers
Site details	Area	2.06 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		<ul> <li>On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.</li> <li>New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.</li> <li>Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.</li> <li>Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.</li> <li>All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.</li> <li>SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.</li> <li>Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.</li> <li>New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:  <ul> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Redocating development to zones with lower flood risk</li> <li>Creating space for flooding.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]		
	Address	Clifford Chambers		
Site details	Area	2.06 hectares		
	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Key messages		Greenfield		

### **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on detailed modelling from the 1D-2D hydraulic modelling of the River Stour.
Climate change	Climate change extents were available for the 2080s central, higher central and upper end scenarios uplifted from the 100-year event, from the River Stour model
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	There is fluvial depth, velocity and hazard data available for the River Stour model as it is a 1D-2D model. The 100-year outputs are shown in the interactive mapping.



	Site Code	CLIF.1 [CLIF.C]
	Address	Clifford Chambers
	Area 2.06 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.
Surface water of and hazard map		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.



	Site Code	CLIF.2 [CLIF.D]					
	Address	Clifford Chambers	5				
	Area	3.41 hectares					
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed land use	Residential					
	Location of site within catchment	Chambers. The si just over 2.5km s Avon. The site is 2	te is in the lowe south east of wh 2 miles south of ated within the	r catchment nere the Sto the Stratfor	ed area of Clifford c of the River Stour, our meets the River rd-upon-Avon town This area is in the		
	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows th River Stour flowing to the north of Clifford Chambers. At it closest point the river is 330m north of the site. It flows nort west, diverging into two flows for several hundred meter before re-joining at Campden Road. The Stour then flows eas for 2.5km to join the River Avon which flows south west from this confluence towards the Severn. There are no existin drainage features within the site.					
		F	sk				
		FZ3b FZ3a FZ2 FZ					
		0% 0% 0% 1000  Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers  Sea)					
Sources of		N/A  The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood from that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percer of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 including the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 + 100%)  Available data:  The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has used in this assessment, which incorporates the River Smodelling.					
flood risk	Fluvial						
			od Zone data f		show no fluvial risk RA based on surface		
		Propo	rtion of site	at risk (R	oFfSW)		
	_	30-year	100-yea	ar	1,000-year		
	Surface Water	<b>30-year</b>	18%		<b>1,000-year</b> 57%		
	Surface Water	-	-	ths (m)	•		



	Site Code	CLIF.2 [CLIF.D]
	Address	Clifford Chambers
	Area	3.41 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		Max velocity (m/s)
		Over 0.25m/s
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)
		Description of surface water flow paths:  This site has been highlighted by the Parish Council for surface water flooding concerns.  Risk of surface water flooding is present during every event at the site. The extents for these vary; however, the maximum velocity and depths of surface water flow paths is constant over the events, being greater than 0.25m/s and over 0.9m. For each event, flows get larger as does the proportion of the depth which is over 0.9m and flowing over 0.25m/s.  For the 30-year event, the surface water flows are localised, in streams of ponding or smaller ponds across the north of the site. The greatest area of ponding is in the northern corner. The maximum depth is below 0.3m on the 30-year event, so fairly shallow. Overall, the 100-year extent and velocity are only slightly increased than the 30-year event, and the depth remains similar. The 1,000-year event, however, shows that the majority of the site will experience surface water flows below 0.3m in depth, with the entire western half being vulnerable to surface water flows, from a flow path originating to the southwest. The deepest point of these flows are shown in the northern corner.
	Reservoir	The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from the available online maps.



	Site Code	CLIF.2 [CLIF.D]			
	Address	Clifford Chambers			
Cita dataila	Area	3.41 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		provided as 1km area to groundwa	grid squares, shows	vater Flooding dataset, the susceptibility of an The following comments isk:	
		susceptibi • The south	lity to groundwater foo	site has less than 25%	
	Groundwater	JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset provides a more detailed understanding of groundwater risk. At this site, it shows for the entire site to have groundwater between 0.5m and 5m below the surface. This suggest groundwater flooding risk is not as high as suggested by the EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset.			
		This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.			
	Flood history	The main risk from this site and the driver for a Level 2 assessment is historic flooding at the site and nearby. The Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map, provided as 1km grid squares, shows one incidence of recorded flooding in the eastern portion of the site and 7 in the western half. Only the lower south east quarter has no recorded history of flooding.			
		Defence	Standard of	Condition	
Flood risk	Defences	Туре	Protection		
management		This site is not pro	tected by any formal	flood defences	
infrastructure	Residual risk	This site is not protected by any formal flood defences.  There are no obvious residual risks to the site. A site-specif risk assessment is recommended to investigate these potential risks further.			
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service as it is not at fluvial risk.			



	Site Code	CLIF.2 [CLIF.D]	
	Address	Clifford Chambers	
6'' 1 1 ''	Area	3.41 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site are possible via The Nashes (a residential road). This road is shown to have some vulnerability to surface water flows during the 1,000-year event (low risk). Consideration should be given to crossing the surface water flow path from the east of the site to reach the access roads, though this is most severe in the 1,000-year extreme event. A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles at this access point.	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Climate change modelling shows that there is no effect on fluvial flooding to the site.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This shows that a significant proportion of the site could be susceptible to surface water flood risk accounting for climate change. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>	

Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock – Mercia Mudstone – Mudstone</li> <li>Superficial – Boundary</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that permeable paving may have to use non-infiltrating systems given the possible risk from groundwater.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."</li> <li>This feature is probably suitable provided site slopes are &lt;5% and the depth to the water table is &gt;1m. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is not located within</li></ul>
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.  It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site.  There is no Exception Test requirement are there are no Flood Zones present at the site. The Council should consider the surface water risk posed to the site though, in the sequential approach to site planning.
	Requirements and guidance for site-specific	At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site falls under these requirements.

#### Flood Risk Assessment

- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) 'Surface Water Management Plan' and 'Stratford on Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031'.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water risk on The Nashes.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's <u>Surface Water Management Plan</u>, Stratford



	Site Code	CLIF.2 [CLIF.D]
	Address	Clifford Chambers
a	Area	3.41 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		<ul> <li>on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.</li> <li>All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.</li> <li>SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.</li> <li>Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.</li> <li>New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:         <ul> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk</li> <li>Creating space for flooding.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using as public open space.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	CLIF.2 [CLIF.D]		
	Address	Clifford Chambers		
	Area	3.41 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>Development can be steered away from the northern portion of the site, which is at risk in all surface water events, and is the deepest part of the site for ponding.</li> <li>Consideration is given to the 1,000-year surface water extent representing climate change impacts.</li> <li>Access and egress is investigated where surface water affects all nearby small roads and half of the site in the 1,000-year event, though the 30-year and 100-year event show access to be more suitable.</li> <li>Flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>		
	Mapping Information			

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on detailed modelling 1D-2D hydraulic modelling of the River Stour. This site is not at fluvial flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change extents were available for the 2080s central, higher central and upper end scenarios using the River Stour model. The site is not at fluvial flood risk. The 1,000-year surface water flood extent has been used as an indication of climate change impacts.



	Site Code	CLIF.2 [CLIF.D]	
Site details	Site code	C11.12 [C11.15]	
	Address	Clifford Chambers	
	Area	3.41 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping			and hazard data available for the 0-2D model, though this does not
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.	
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping			city and hazard mapping for the 1 ed to be medium risk) is taken Flooding from Surface Water.



	T						
	Site Code		FEN.2				
	Address	Fenny Comp	ton				
Site details	Area	0.69 hectares					
	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential					
	Location of site within catchment	Stratford-on site lies bet Compton's u	This site is located close to the south eastern boundary of the Stratford-on-Avon District, south west of the Oxford Canal. The site lies between the M40 and A423, to the north of Fenny Compton's urbanised area. The site falls within the middle of the River Avon catchment.				
	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows ar ordinary watercourse flowing 100m from the eastern border of the site. This flows north to join another unnamed watercourse before flowing north west. There are no further drainage features in the site.					
			Proportion	of site at	t risk		
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
		0%	0%	0%		100%	
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)					
		N/A					
Sources of flood risk		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the from that particular Flood Zone/event, include of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outsite = 100%)				the percentage g. FZ2 includes	
	Fluvial	Available d					
		The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has used in this assessment. There are no Flood Zones at the as the catchment is <3km2.					
		Flood characteristics:  There are no Flood Zones present at the site. unknown whether the ordinary watercourse to site would affect the site in terms of fluvial floodplain elevations are lowest in the eastern A detailed hydraulic model, assessing the fluviunnamed ordinary watercourse is recommende site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.				the east of the bood risk. The end of the site. I risk from the	
		Pr	oportion of si	te at risk	(RoFfS	SW)	
		30-yea	r <b>100</b> -	year	1,0	000-year	
	Surface Water	2%	12	%		52%	
				depths (m)			
		0.3-0.9m	າ 0.3-0	).9m	0	.3-0.9m	



				100
	Site Code	FEN.2		
	Address	Fenny Compton		
Cito dotoilo	Area	0.69 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
			Max velocity (m/s	)
		Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s
		water risk from that of the site at flood includes the 30-year	t particular event, in I risk at a higher ris r %)	o of the site at surface ecluding the percentage sk zone (e.g. 100-year
		This site has been edue to surface water by the Parish Counce Risk of Flooding from paths in all 30, 100 are largely in the 1,0 surface water risks extend beyond the corner, which is a fl shortly upstream (so topographic route not at Station Road. In slightly further into corners. In the 1,00 with a central section any risk.  In all events the hig year event, this is decreases for the 10 parts of the flow all maximum depths for site has depths between the proportion of the ponding in the 30-years.	er related risk concertil.  In Surface Water data and 1,000-year extents only only exist along the boundary line towards the steep orth-east towards the 100-year event, the site in the north on and a section in the long the boundary line towards the site in the north on and a section in the long the boundary line towards the sacross the entire long the boundary line boundary line boundary line boundary line section in the line a similar trend, ween 0.3-0.9m in the this decreases to a line and the line line decreases to a line section.	the Level 2 assessment rns as brought forward for this site shows flow nts. Surface water risks y. In the 30-year event, e eastern boundary and ards the north eastern onfluence of two drains of flowing along a narrow e watercourse meander this flow path extends in-eastern and southern the of the site is at risk, e north west not having 2.5m/s. For the 1,000-e site, the proportion in the 30-year event only have this velocity. The as the majority of the e 1,000-year event and very small section of
	Reservoir	The site is not show available online map		ervoir flooding from the



	Site Code	FEN.2				
	Address	Fenny Compton				
o.,,	Area	0.69 hectares	0.69 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential			
		provided as 1km area to groundwa	grid squares, shows	vater Flooding dataset, the susceptibility of an The following comment isk:		
			e site is shown to ha ter flood emergence	ve no susceptibility to		
	Groundwater	JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows has 'no risk' and therefore, groundwater is the surface. This suggests groundwater is the surface locally.  This assessment does not negate the reappropriate assessment of the groundwater carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk		is more than 5m below is unlikely to emerge at e requirement that an vater regime should be		
	Flood history	stage.  This site has been highlighted for its flood history and ris surface water flooding concerns. There are 10 incide recorded at the site in the Environment Agency's Historic Flooding, provided as 1km squares.				
		Defence	Standard of Protection	Condition		
	Defences	Type	-	_		
		This site is not pro	otected by any formal	flood defences.		
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	This site is not protected by any formal flood defences.  There are several culverts on the unnamed watercourse just east of the site, with several further upstream. As the topography of the site is very low from the culverts at Memorial Road and Brook Street and the surface water flow path shows a low route along these roads to the site boundary, if these were to block, there could be an impact at the site. A site-specific flood risk assessment is recommended to firstly understand fluvial flood risk posed to the site from the watercourse, and secondly to investigate potential residual risks further.				
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service.				



	Site Code	FEN.2	
	Address	Fenny Compton	
Cito detaile	Area	0.69 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site is possible via two unnamed roat that lead off of Northend Road towards a commercial area. These roads form the eastern and western boundary for the site Northend Road itself is affected by surface water flows in the 100-year and 1,000-year events; however, the unnamed road on the western border remains unaffected in all events. The eastern boundary should be avoided as this is the main surface water flow path in all events and access should be steered to the north west. A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate accessibility from Northend Road.	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and the catchment is not represented by the EA's Flood Zones due to its small size. This would need to be investigated in a site-specific FRA. For this assessment, the 1,000-year surface water extent can be used as an indication.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This shows that a large proportion of the site could be susceptible to risk with climate change. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>	



	Site Code	FEN.2
	Address	Fenny Compton
6	Area	0.69 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock – Charmouth Mudstone formation – mudstone</li> <li>Superficial – None recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>All forms of source control are likely to be suitable. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that the site slopes are suitable for all forms of detention.</li> <li>All filtration techniques are likely to be suitable. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	FEN.2	
Site details	Address	Fenny Compton	
	Area	0.69 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site, which at present allows the site to pass the Exception Test as there is no data available. Fluvial flood risk to the site will need to be confirmed in a detailed hydraulic model, to determine whether the site would actually be at risk. The Council should also consider the surface water risk to the site given this is potentially the higher risk.	

## Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site does not fall under these requirements.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Fluvial flood risk to the site should be confirmed at the site-specific FRA stage, by detailed hydraulic modelling. This would confirm the Flood Zones, impacts from climate change and any residual risks from blockages to nearby culverts, given the nature of the specific topographic flow routes around the site.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan'</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031'</u>.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.

## Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on Northend Road (access point).
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.



	Site Code	FEN.2
	Address	Fenny Compton
	Area	0.69 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		<ul> <li>On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.</li> <li>New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.</li> <li>Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.</li> <li>Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.</li> <li>All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.</li> <li>SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.</li> <li>Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.</li> <li>New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:         <ul> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk</li> <li>Creating space for flooding.</li> <li>Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>



	Site Code	FEN.2
	Address	Fenny Compton
Site details	Area	0.69 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>Fluvial flood risk is confirmed in a hydraulic model, and the development is steered away from any risk shown to the site, likely to be around the eastern boundary.</li> <li>Development should be steered towards the centre and west fo the site, away from the main surface water flow path along the eastern boundary. If development is placed within a flow path/ conveyance route, this can displace water elsewhere in the site or to third party land.</li> <li>The 1,000-year extents are an indication of climate change impacts.</li> <li>Safe access and egress needs to be considered and the impacts of surface water flooding to Bridge Street and Brook Street (steering access to Northend Road from the western/ northern ends of the site).</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>
Manning Information		

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; there are no Flood Zones at
	the site as the catchment is <3km2. A detailed model should be constructed at site-level FRA stage to confirm risk.



	Site Code	FEN.2	
	Address	Fenny Compton	
Cito detaile	Area	0.69 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Climate change		Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represent the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explore further at site-specific stage.	
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.	
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.	



	Site Code	FEN.4	FEN.4				
	Address	Fenny Compt	on				
	Area	0.31 hectares					
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	Stratford-on- site lies betw Compton's u	This site is located close to the south eastern boundary of the Stratford-on-Avon District, south west of the Oxford Canal. The site lies between the M40 and A423, to the north of Fenny Compton's urbanised area. The site falls within the middle of the River Avon catchment.				
	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows an ordinary watercourse forming the western border of the site. This flows north to join another unnamed water course before flowing north west. There are no further drainage features in the site.					
			Proportion	of site at	risk		
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1		
		0%	0%	0%	100%		
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)					
				N/A			
Sources of flood risk		from that par of the site at	ticular Flood Zoi flood risk at a l	how the % of ne/event, incl higher risk zo	f the site at flood risk luding the percentage one, e.g. FZ2 includes tside FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1		
		Available data:					
	Fluvial	The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping used in this assessment. There are no Flood Zones at as the catchment is <3km2.					
		Flood chara	cteristics:				
		There are no is smaller th however, as the site's we	Flood Zones pro an those repre the ordinary wa estern boundary	sented in the stercourse's ri y, there will	e EA's Flood Zones; ight bank flows along be some flood risk		
		left bank alor model, asses watercourse Risk Assessm	ng the boundary sing the fluvial is recommended	y of the site. risk from th d as part of t ce water datas	eds into this channel's A detailed hydraulic ne unnamed ordinary the site-specific Flood set can be used as an ta.		
	Surface Water			ite at risk (			
					(NOT 15 TT)		
	Surface Water	30-year	<u> </u>	year	1,000-year		



	Site Code	FEN.4		
	Address	Fenny Compton		
	Area	0.31 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		5%	11%	43%
			Max depths (m)	
		0.3-0.9m	0.3-0.9m	0.3-0.9m
			Max velocity (m/s	5)
		Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)  Description of surface water flow paths:		
		This site has been due to surface wa Risk of Flooding from the In the 30-year even orthern boundar north eastern converted are slightly extend 1,000-year event at risk, with surfasite from the we flooding the western all events the bounded by the chand in the 30-ye boundary have the similar trend, as 0.3-0.9m in the	n evaluated as part of ter related risk concer om Surface Water data 0 and 1,000-year extended, surface water risk and extend beyond to properly which is from plain. In the 100-year ded but remain around a significantly larger ace water flows extended but remain around a significantly larger ace water flows extended but remain around a significantly larger ace water flows extended but remain around a significantly larger ace water flows extended but remain around a significantly larger ace water flows extended but remain around a significantly larger ace water flows extended but remain around a significantly larger ace water flows extended but remain around a significantly larger ace water boundary when the site of t	the Level 2 assessment rns. a for this site shows flow ents. Surface water risks
	Reservoir	The site is not sho available online m		ervoir flooding from the



	Site Code	FEN.4	FEN.4			
	Address	Fenny Compton				
Cito deteile	Area	0.31 hectares	0.31 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential			
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding datas provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comm can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have no susceptibility groundwater flood emergence  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the whole shas 'no risk' and therefore, groundwater is more than 5m be the surface. This suggests groundwater is unlikely to emerge the surface locally.		the susceptibility of an The following comment isk: ave no susceptibility to ows that the whole site is more than 5m below is unlikely to emerge at		
	Flood history	This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA stage.  This site has been highlighted for its flood history. There is one incident of recorded flooding at the site in the Environment				
		Agency's Historic Flood Map, provided as 1km squares.		s 1km squares.  Condition		
	Defences	Defence Type	Standard of Protection	Condition		
		-	-	-		
Flood risk		This site is not pro	otected by any formal	flood defences.		
management infrastructure	Residual risk	There is a small culvert on the south western corner of the sit As the topography of the site is very low and adjacent to the channel, a blockage to this culvert could increase flood risk the site in the south-western corner.  A site-specific risk assessment is recommended to investigate potential risks further.				
	Flood warning	The site is not of Warning Service.	overed by the Enviro	onment Agency's Flood		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site are possible via High Street, whi forms the eastern border of the site. High Street is affected surface water flows to differing extents in all events. A sit specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken evaluate accessibility from High Street.		gh Street is affected by s in all events. A site-		



	Site Code	FEN.4	
	Address	Fenny Compton	
6	Area	0.31 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and the catchment is not represented by the EA's Flood Zones due to its small size. This would need to be investigated in a site-specific FRA. For this assessment, the 1,000-year surface water extent can be used as an indication.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This shows risk to the western half of the site. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>	

control and	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock – Charmouth mudstone formation - mudstone</li> <li>Superficial – none recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site</li> </ul>
		investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.  "This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.  The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."  This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is >1m.
		<ul> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li> </ul>
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site, which at present allows the site to pass the Exception Test as there is no data available. Fluvial flood risk to the site will need to be confirmed in a detailed hydraulic model, to determine the Flood



	Site Code	FEN.4	
	Address	Fenny Compton	
Cite detaile	Area	0.31 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		Zones and impacts given the western site boundary is a watercourse. The Council should also consider the surface water risk to the site given this is potentially the higher risk in the 1,000-year event.	

### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site does not fall under these requirements.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Fluvial flood risk to the site should be confirmed at the site-specific FRA stage, by detailed hydraulic modelling. This would confirm the Flood Zones, impacts from climate change and any residual risks from blockages to nearby culverts, given the nature of the specific topographic flow routes around the site.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031</u>.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- If a detailed model shows the western boundary to be in Flood Zone 3, development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF. Development in FZ3 may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on High Street (access point).
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development

## Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment



	Site Code	FEN.4
	Address	Fenny Compton
au	Area	0.31 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.  On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.  New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.  Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.  Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.  All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.  SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.  Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.  New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:  Reducing volume and rate of runoff  Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk  Creating space for flooding.



	Site Code	FEN.4	
	Address	Fenny Compton	
	Area	0.31 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>Fluvial flood risk is confirmed in a hydraulic model, and the development is steered away from any risk shown to the site, which will be along the western side where the channel forms the boundary.</li> <li>Development should be steered towards the eastern half of the site, away from the watercourse and surface water flood risk.</li> <li>The 1,000-year extents are an indication of climate change impacts.</li> <li>Safe access and egress need to be considered and the impacts of surface water flooding to High Street.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented, then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>	
Mapping Information			

### Mapping Information

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment
11004 201100	Agency's Flood Map for Planning; there are no Flood Zones at
	the site as the catchment is <3km2. A detailed model should
	be constructed at site-level FRA stage to confirm risk.



	Site Code	FEN.4
Site details	Address	Fenny Compton
	Area	0.31 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate change		Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.



	Site Code	FEN.6			
	Address	Fenny Compton			
	Area	1.46 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential			
	Location of site within catchment	Stratford-on site lies bet Compton's u	This site is located close to the south eastern boundary of the Stratford-on-Avon District, south west of the Oxford Canal. The site lies between the M40 and A423, to the north of Fenny Compton's urbanised area. The site falls within the middle of the River Avon catchment.		
	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows an ordinary watercourse forming the western border of the site. This flows north to join another unnamed watercourse before flowing north west. There are no further drainage features in the site.			
			k		
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1
		0%	0%	0%	100%
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers a Sea)  N/A			
Sources of flood risk		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood riferom that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 included the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ) = 100%)			
		Available d			
	Fluvial	used in this			napping has been Zones at the site
		is smaller the however, as the site's we associated we western condirection. A from the unpart of the sour the surface in the absen	o Flood Zones pro han those repre the ordinary wa vestern boundary with this. The ner, before turni detailed hydraul named ordinary ite-specific Flood water dataset ca ce of any data.	sented in the E tercourse's right y, there will be channel meande ng to flow straig ic model, assess watercourse is Risk Assessmen n be used as ar	indication of risk
		Pr	oportion of si	te at risk (Ro	FfSW)



	Site Code	FEN.6				
	Address	Fenny Compton				
	Area	1.46 hectares				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year		
		5%	8%	49%		
			Max depths (m)			
		0.3m-0.9m	0.3m-0.9m	Over 0.9m		
			Max velocity (m/s	5)		
		Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s		
Surface Water		Description of some control of the site at flow includes the 30-year of this site has been due to surface ware Risk of Flooding from the in all 30, 10 are largely of the In the 30-year events of the soundary path from the soundary further localised provides in the 1,000-year with the largest flow in the largest flow in the largest flow in the soundary flower in the largest flow in th	urface water flow part of ter related risk concer om Surface Water data 0 and 1,000-year extents or ent, surface water risk // unnamed watercounth west joins the way of the site. In the 1 conding in the centre of event, a large proportion wextends from the extends from the site main central flow. Or a corner of the site remains the channel. For all extends from the cience surface water in proportion from the life majority of the site remains and the site remains of the site remains of the site remains of the site remains of the site of the majority of the site remains of the site of the majority of the site remains of the site of the site of the majority of the site of	the Level 2 assessment rns. a for this site shows flow ents. Surface water risks nly. ks exist along the entire urse. An overland flow tercourse at the south- 00-year event, there is		
	Reservoir	flows being betwe of ponding in the	en 0.3-0.9m decrease 30-year events. own to be at risk of res	.9m. The proportion of s to a very small section servoir flooding from the		



	Site Code	FEN.6			
	Address	Fenny Compton			
Cita dataila	Area	1.46 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential		
		provided as 1km area to groundwa can be made abou	grid squares ter flood em it groundwat	s, shows in the sergence. It is a series of the series of	water Flooding dataset, the susceptibility of an The following comment isk: ove no susceptibility to
			ter flood eme		, ,
	Groundwater	JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the has 'no risk' and therefore, groundwater is more that the surface. This suggests groundwater is unlikely the surface locally.  This assessment does not negate the requirem appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime.	is more than 5m below is unlikely to emerge at e requirement that an		
					Risk Assessment (FRA)
	Flood history	This site has been highlighted for its flood history. There is incident of recorded flooding in the half of the site along western boundary/ ordinary watercourse, as shown in Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map, provided as 1 squares.			If of the site along the rse, as shown in the
			Standa	rd of	Condition
	Defences	Туре	Protec	tion	
Flood risk	Defences	-	-		-
management		This site is not pro	•	•	
infrastructure	Residual risk	south of the site the boundary itse	Although, the elf and appe cific risk as	nese culve ar to hav sessment	amed watercourse just erts are not directly on re a low impact on the is recommended to
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by the Environment Agency's Floor Warning Service.			onment Agency's Flood
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Street/Station Ro eastern corner of 1,000-year event Station Road, this on the bend of Hig	ad and fron the site here, and if a avoids an a gh Street/ Sta should be und	n Berry Risk is I ccess is s rea at ris ation Stre dertaken I	le on the corner of High Meadow, in the south largely confined to the teered to the east along sk in the 30-year event et. A site-specific Flood to evaluate accessibility y Meadow.



	Site Code	FEN.6
	Address	Fenny Compton
	Area	1.46 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and the catchment is not represented by the EA's Flood Zones due to the small catchment size. This would need to be investigated in a site-specific FRA. For this assessment, the 1,000-year surface water extent can be used as an indication.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This shows risk to a large proportion of the middle of the site and western edge. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	FEN.6
	Address	Fenny Compton
6	Area	1.46 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Charmouth Mudstone Formation - Mudstone.</li> <li>Superficial - None recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>All forms of source control are likely to be suitable. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that the site slopes are suitable for all forms of detention.</li> <li>All filtration techniques are likely to be suitable. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li> </ul>



	Site Code	FEN.6
Site details	Address	Fenny Compton
	Area	1.46 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.  It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site, which at present allows the site to pass the Exception Test as there is no data available. Fluvial flood risk to the site will need to be confirmed in a detailed hydraulic model, to determine the Flood Zones and impacts given the western site boundary is a watercourse. The Council should also consider the surface water risk to the site given this is potentially the higher risk in the 1,000-year event.

# Requirements

and quidance

Flood Risk

**Assessment** 

for site-specific

### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site falls under these requirements due to its size.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Fluvial flood risk to the site should be confirmed at the site-specific FRA stage, by detailed hydraulic modelling. This would confirm the Flood Zones, impacts from climate change and any residual risks from blockages to nearby culverts, given the nature of the specific topographic flow routes around the site.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) 'Surface Water Management Plan' and 'Stratford on Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031'.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk flow routes on the western boundary, preserving this as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- If a detailed model shows the western boundary to be in Flood Zone 3, development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF. Development in FZ3 may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

## Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on High Street/Station Road and Berry Meadow (access points).
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.

- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's <u>Surface Water Management Plan</u>, Stratford on Avon District's <u>Core Strategy 2011 to 2031</u> and the <u>Level 1 SFRA</u> for information on SuDS.
- All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.
- SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.
- Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.
- New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:
  - o Reducing volume and rate of runoff
  - Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk
  - Creating space for flooding.
- Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.

## **Key messages**

The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to:

- Fluvial flood risk is confirmed in a hydraulic model, and the development is steered away from any risk shown to the site, which will be along the western side where the channel forms the boundary.
- Development should be steered towards the northern half of the site and to the south east, away from the watercourse and surface water flood risk.
- The 1,000-year extents are an indication of climate change impacts.
- Safe access and egress need to be considered and the impacts of surface water flooding to High Street/Station Road and Berry Meadow.
- If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.



	Site Code	FEN.6	
	Address	Fenny Compton	
6	Area	1.46 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		<ul> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul>	
		Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site	

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; there are no Flood Zones at the site as the catchment is <3km2. A detailed model should be constructed at site-level FRA stage to confirm risk.
Climate change	Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	There is no available fluvial data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage
Surface Water	The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping	The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.



	Site Code	FEN.12[FEN.A]				
	Address	Fenny Compt	Fenny Compton			
Site details	Area	0.31 hectares				
	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	Stratford-on- site lies bety Compton's u	Avon District, so ween the M40 a	outh west of and A423,	astern boundary of the f the Oxford Canal. The to the north of Fenny s within the middle of	
Existing ordinary watercourse flowing 300m from the the site. This flows north to join another unnuferatures before flowing north west. There are no features in the site.					the western border of unnamed water course	
		Proportion of site at risk				
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		0%	0%	0%	100%	
		Highest zo		k of Floodi Sea)	ng from Rivers and	
				N/A		
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood risk from that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 includes the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1 = 100%)				
	11001101	Available da	ata:			
		The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment. There are no Flood Zones at the site as the site is not at fluvial risk.				
		mapping and risk as it is si floodplain ele	Flood Zones pre topography, the tuated far from	e site is not the channel base of a	site but from inspecting deemed to be at fluvial and up on higher than hill. The site has been isk,	
		Pr	oportion of s	ite at risk	(RoFfSW)	
		20	100-	year	1,000-year	
		30-year	100			
	Surface Water	3 <b>0-year</b> 0%		%	52%	
	Surface Water	-	2º Max (	% depths (m)	• •	
	Surface Water	-	2º Max o Below	%	52% 0.3m-0.9m	



	Site Code	FEN.12[FEN.A]				
	Address	Fenny Compton				
a	Area	0.31 hectares	0.31 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
		N/A	Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s		
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)				
		of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-y includes the 30-year %)  Description of surface water flow paths:  This site has been evaluated as part of the Level 2 assessment due to surface water related risk concerns as brought forw by the Parish Council.  Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data for this site shows of paths in the 100 and 1,000-year extents. Surface water rise largely in the 1,000-year extents only. In the 100-year, there is a localised, small flow from the north west corner along the northern boundary of the site. This is large below 0.3m, with some small areas having higher depths. In the 1,000-year event more than half of the site is at resurface water flows extend from the south-west (along north-western boundary) and from the hill to the south enflowing in a north-westerly direction through the middle of site bisecting it, converging at the northern tip, before continuing to flow down Ridge Way towards Station Road/floodplain. These flows in the site are mostly below 0.3m, wonly the north western corner having higher depths. All floresent in the site at both 100-year and 1,000-year events		the Level 2 assessment erns as brought forward a for this site shows flow hts. Surface water risks only. In the 100-year from the north western the site. This is largely ring higher depths. alf of the site is at risk. south-west (along the hill to the south east, rough the middle of the e northern tip, before wards Station Road/ the mostly below 0.3m, with higher depths. All flows		
	Reservoir	The site is not sho available online m		servoir flooding from the		



	Site Code	FEN.12[FEN.A]			
	Address	Fenny Compton			
	Area	0.31 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		provided as 1km area to groundwa can be made abou  The entire groundwa	grid squares, shows ter flood emergence it groundwater flood e site is shown to h ter flood emergence	nave no susceptibility to	
	Groundwater	JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the whole site has 'no risk' and therefore, groundwater is more than 5m below the surface. This suggests groundwater is unlikely to emerge at the surface locally.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.			
	Flood history	This site has been highlighted for its flood history and risk of surface water flooding concerns. There are 9 incidents recorded at the site in the Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map, provided as 1km squares.			
Flood wiels	<b>D</b> 6	Defence Type	Standard of Protection	Condition	
Flood risk management	Defences	-	-	-	
infrastructure		This site is not protected by any formal flood defences.			
	Residual risk	There are no obvi	ous residual risks pre	esent at the site.	
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by the Environment Agency's Floo Warning Service.			
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site are only currently possible verified Way, just north of the site. This does not reach to the boundary of the site and is affected by surface water flooding all events, as this street forms a flow path from the site towards the floodplain. Alternatively, access could be gained be joining up to The Lankett to the south-west. This would require access along the westerly surface water flow path, but this only present in the 1,000-year event. In this even consideration needs to be given to accessing both sides of the site where the flow path bisects the site. A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate accessibility from Ridge Way.			



	Site Code	FEN.12[FEN.A]		
	Address	Fenny Compton		
a	Area	0.31 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
-		<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and from the data available it has been found there is no risk.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This shows a significant amount of the site could be at risk, and the extent runs through the centre of the site. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>		

		Geology at the site consists of:
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation  Broad s assessn possible	nent of	<ul> <li>Bedrock - Charmouth Mudstone formation mudstone</li> <li>Superficial - None recorded</li> <li>All forms of source control are likely to be suitable. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is &gt;1m.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt; 5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.</li> <li>The site</li></ul>
NPPF and Exception Test implications requires		with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site. As such, this site passes the Exception Test. The Council should also consider the surface water risk to the site given this is potentially the higher risk.

## Requirements and guidance for sitespecific Flood Risk

Assessment

### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site does not fall under these requirements.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031</u>.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk flow routes on the western boundary, preserving this as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.

## Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on Ridge Way (access point).
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff.



	Site Code	FEN.12[FEN.A]
	Address	Fenny Compton
	Area	0.31 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.  Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.  Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.  All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.  SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.  Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.  New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:  Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk  Creating space for flooding.  Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.



	Site Code	FEN.12[FEN.A]		
	Address	Fenny Compton		
Site details	Area	0.31 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>Development is steered away from the north-western boundary, and where possible, away from the central flow path which bisects the site. Whilst this is only present in the 1,000-year event, development in a flow conveyance route could displace water elsewhere in the site or to third party land.</li> <li>The 1,000-year extents are an indication of climate change impacts.</li> <li>Safe access and egress need to be considered and the impacts of surface water flooding to Ridge Way are assessed, and how both sides of the site may gain access in a 1,000-year event where the site is bisected.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented, then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>		
Manning Information				

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

i ioou zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; there is no fluvial risk to this
	site.



	Site Code	FEN.12[FEN.A]	
Site details	Address	Fenny Compton	
	Area	0.31 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Climate change		Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Ris Assessment.	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been use as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. The should be explored further at site-specific stage	
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to defi areas at risk from surface water flooding.	
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is take Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.	



	Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]			
	Address	Gaydon			
Site details	Area	1.22 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
	Location of site within catchment	of the Dene – s unnamed tributar to the south of the	The site is located to the east of Gaydon in the northern corne of the Dene – source to Butlers Marston catchment. A unnamed tributary of the River Dene flows approximately 1kr to the south of the site, flowing in a south westerly direction for about 3.5km where it joins the River Dene at Kineton.		
	Existing drainage features	There is a drainage channel approximately 250m south west of the site. This carries water southwards away from Gaydon, into the unnamed tributary of the River Dene. There are no drainage features at the site.			
		P	roportion of site a	nt risk	
		FZ3b	FZ3a FZ2	FZ1	
		0%	0% 0%	100%	
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)			
			Very Low		
Sources of		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood risk from that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 includes the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1 = 100%)			
flood risk		Available data:			
	Fluvial	The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has used in this assessment. Where there is no detailed hyd model available, the Flood Zones are based on 2D general modelling.			
		Flood characteristics: The site is not shown to currently be at risk of flooding from fluvial sources. Flood Zones associated with the unnamed tributary of the River Dene are approximately 1km south of the site.			
		The drainage channel approximately 250m to the south west of the site is unlikely to pose a risk as LiDAR data shows the local topography generally slopes down away from the site to the south and south west.			
			ed based on surface w		
		-	rtion of site at risk	1	
	Surface Water	30-year	100-year	1,000-year	
		27%	28%	30%	



water risk from that particular event, including the percent of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-y includes the 30-year %)  Description of surface water flow paths: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surf water flooding poses a significant risk across the western pof the site, with ponding present in the 30-year, 100-year 1,000-year events. The flood extent appears to be confined topography, as there is only a marginal difference between scenarios, with maximum depths remaining between 0.3m a 0.9m for all events. Ponding seems to be the result of surf water building up behind Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite of the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road available online maps.  Reservoir  The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from available online maps.  The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding datas provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comm can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an a						
Area   1.22 hectares		Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]			
Current land use   Residential		Address	Gaydon			
Residential   Residential	6'' I I ''	Area	1.22 hectares			
Max depths (m)	Site details		Greenfield			
0.3-0.9   0.3-0.9   0.3-0.9     Max velocity (m/s)     < 0.25   > 0.25   > 0.25     The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surf water risk from that particular event, including the percent of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-yincludes the 30-year %)    Description of surface water flow paths:   Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surf water flooding poses a significant risk across the western pof the site, with ponding present in the 30-year, 100-year of the site, and present in the 30-year, solve present in the		-	Residential			
Max velocity (m/s)  <0.25 >0.25 >0.25  The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surf water risk from that particular event, including the percent of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-y includes the 30-year %)  Description of surface water flow paths: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surf water flooding poses a significant risk across the western pof the site, with ponding present in the 30-year, 100-year a 1,000-year events. The flood extent appears to be confined topography, as there is only a marginal difference between scenarios, with maximum depths remaining between 0.3m a 0.9m for all events. Ponding seems to be the result of surf water building up behind Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite sof the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from available online maps.  The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding data provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comm can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an a				Max depths (m)		
No.25   No.25   No.25			0.3-0.9	0.3-0.9	0.3-0.9	
The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surf water risk from that particular event, including the percent of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-y includes the 30-year %)  Description of surface water flow paths: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surf water flooding poses a significant risk across the western profit the site, with ponding present in the 30-year, 100-year 1,000-year events. The flood extent appears to be confined topography, as there is only a marginal difference between scenarios, with maximum depths remaining between 0.3m and 0.9m for all events. Ponding seems to be the result of surf water building up behind Banbury Road (B4100) as it draws south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite of the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses of the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses of gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road available online maps.  Reservoir  The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding datas provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following common can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an analysis of the site and surface water flood risk:				Max velocity (m/s	5)	
water risk from that particular event, including the percent of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-y includes the 30-year %)  Description of surface water flow paths: Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surf water flooding poses a significant risk across the western pof the site, with ponding present in the 30-year, 100-year 1,000-year events. The flood extent appears to be confined topography, as there is only a marginal difference between scenarios, with maximum depths remaining between 0.3m a 0.9m for all events. Ponding seems to be the result of surf water building up behind Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite of the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road available online maps.  Reservoir  The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from available online maps.  The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding datas provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comm can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an a			<0.25	>0.25	>0.25	
Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surf water flooding poses a significant risk across the western pof the site, with ponding present in the 30-year, 100-year 1,000-year events. The flood extent appears to be confined topography, as there is only a marginal difference between scenarios, with maximum depths remaining between 0.3m o.9m for all events. Ponding seems to be the result of surf water building up behind Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite sof the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite sof the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite sof the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite sof the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite sof the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses a gardens to the north and a significant stretch of Banbury Road (B4100) as it dra south water flooding to the site of the road. This is causing flooding to the site of the road.			The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year			
available online maps.  The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding datas provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comm can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an a			Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surface water flooding poses a significant risk across the western part of the site, with ponding present in the 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year events. The flood extent appears to be confined by topography, as there is only a marginal difference between the scenarios, with maximum depths remaining between 0.3m and 0.9m for all events. Ponding seems to be the result of surface water building up behind Banbury Road (B4100) as it drains south west towards the drainage channel on the opposite side of the road. This is causing flooding to the site, the houses and			
provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comm can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an a		Reservoir			ervoir flooding from the	
JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset also shows that the en site has been designated as no risk.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should		Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding datase provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comme can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an ar susceptible to groundwater flood emergence.  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset also shows that the entire site is not shows the site is not shows that the entire site is not shows the site is not show the site is not shows the site is not show the site is not show the site is not show the		the susceptibility of an The following comment isk:  to be within an area d emergence.  o shows that the entire e requirement that an vater regime should be	



	T .			
	Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]		
	Address	Gaydon		
Site details	Area	1.22 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
	Flood history	This site is not shown to be within the reaches of the EA's Historic Flood Map.  Warwickshire County Council may hold additional records which are not available at this time. These records detail historical flood incidents from all sources, whereas the EA dataset only records incidents of fluvial, tidal or coastal flooding. The Lead Local Authority should be contacted to obtain further details.		
Flood risk management	Defences	The site is not protected by any formal flood defences.		
infrastructure	Residual risk	The site is considered to not be at a residual risk from flood risk management infrastructure.		
	Flood warning	The site is not within any EA Flood Warning or Alert areas.		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Banbury Road runs along just outside the western boundary so it is likely that access and egress would be added from this side for the development. If this did happen, consideration would have to be given to the impact of surface water flooding which poses a risk to this side of the site, which spans the entire width of the boundary with the road. During the 30-year and 100-year events, surface water accumulates on Banbury Road in front of the site. Depths here remain below 0.3m and velocities below 0.25m/s so are unlikely to have a significant impact on access and egress. However, during the 1,000-year event, depths increase to between 0.3m and 0.9m and velocities increase to over 0.25m/s, which may impede access and egress. It would be preferential to gain access and egress from Banbury Road via the southern corner of the site, as the surface water flood risk is confined to the site with the road here at Very Low risk.		



	Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]		
	Site Code	dat.5 [dat.b]		
	Address	Gaydon		
Area Site details		1.22 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>The site is not shown to be at risk of fluvial flooding; however, climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This accounts for approximately a third of the site and would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>		

		<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Charmouth Mudstone Formation - Mudstone.</li> <li>Superficial - none recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such</li> </ul>
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.  • The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."  • This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is >1m.
		<ul> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li> </ul>
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test must be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. As the entire site is shown to be in Flood Zone 1, the Exception Test is not required for the site. However, as the site is at significant risk of surface water flooding, any developer should undertake a site-specific flood risk



	Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]	
	Address	Gaydon	
	Area 1.22 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		assessment including surface water modelling to demonstrate that the change in land use does not increase the risk of surface water on the site and to nearby properties.	

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- Although the site is not located in a Flood Zone, there
  is a significant risk of surface water flooding. Therefore,
  at the planning application stage, it is recommended
  that a site-specific FRA is undertaken. This is a
  requirement where development sites:
  - are 1 hectare or more in size;
  - o contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in the future.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from the areas of most significant surface water flooding in the western part of the site, preserving this space as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and Flood Zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan'</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031</u>.

and guidance for sitespecific Flood Risk Assessment

Requirements

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and



	Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]
	Address	Gaydon
6	Area	1.22 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.  On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.  All development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.  Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.  SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.  Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.  New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:  Reducing volume and rate of runoff  Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk  Creating space for flooding.  Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development.



	Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]
	Address	Gaydon
Site details	Area	1.22 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>A carefully considered and integrated flood resilient and sustainable drainage design is put forward.</li> <li>Safe access and egress need to be considered as the likely access route, Banbury Road, is significantly affected by surface water flooding in all surface water flood events.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another).</li> <li>A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of surface water flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> <li>A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond current greenfield rates.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>
	M	apping Information

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; there is no fluvial risk to this site.
Climate change	As there is no fluvial flood risk, climate change impacts from surface water sources were assessed, using the 1,000-year surface water flooding event as an indicative climate change scenario.



	Site Code	GAY.3 [GAY.B]		
	Address	Gaydon		
	Area	1.22 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Surface water de and hazard mapp		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		



	Site Code	HAR.4				
	Address	Harbury				
	Area	1.92 hectare	S			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	This site is located in the north east of the Stratford-on-Avon district, just west of the upper catchment area for the River Itchen. This site is located in the middle of the River Avon catchment, as it does not reach its confluence with the Severn for some distance beyond the district.  The southern boundary of the site is bounded by property and road on the northern side of Mill Street, just west of central Harbury.				
	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows no ordinary watercourses, canals or smaller drains within the site. The closest drainage feature is an unnamed ordinary watercourse, directly north and downslope from the site.				
		Proportion of site at risk				
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		0%	0%	0%	100%	
			one of risk (Risl	k of Flooding ( Sea)	100% from Rivers and	
Common of		Highest zo	one of risk (Risl	k of Flooding 1 Sea) N/A	from Rivers and	
Sources of flood risk	Fluvial	The % Flood from that part of the site at the FZ3 %.	one of risk (Risl Zones quoted sl rticular Flood Zor t flood risk at a h	k of Flooding 1 Sea) N/A how the % of the ne/event, includingher risk zone		
	Fluvial	The % Flood from that part of the site at	one of risk (Risl Zones quoted sl rticular Flood Zor t flood risk at a h FZ1 is the remain	k of Flooding 1 Sea) N/A how the % of the ne/event, includingher risk zone	he site at flood risk ling the percentage r, e.g. FZ2 includes	
	Fluvial	The % Flood from that particle of the site at the FZ3 %. I = 100%)  Available different controls and the site at t	Zones quoted sl Zones quoted sl rticular Flood Zor t flood risk at a h FZ1 is the remain ata: ment Agency's (E	k of Flooding 1 Sea) N/A how the % of the ne/event, including hing area outsid	he site at flood risk ling the percentage r, e.g. FZ2 includes	
	Fluvial	The % Flood from that part of the site at the FZ3 %. I = 100%)  Available do The Environment used in this at the site is influvial source a catchment Flood Zones and there are	Zones quoted sharticular Flood Zones flood risk at a harticular Flood Zones flood risk at a harticular shart Agency's (Eassessment.  Incteristics: Inct shown to be less. This is becaut < 3km², and her less. Moreover, as the shown to show the shown the	k of Flooding 1 Sea)  N/A how the % of the selevent, including area outside.  EA) Flood Zone  currently at rise area the drain so the drain is downs upslope of the sea.	he site at flood risk ling the percentage e, e.g. FZ2 includes de FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1	
	Fluvial	The % Flood from that part of the site at the FZ3 %. If a 100%)  Available do The Environt used in this at the site is in fluvial source a catchment Flood Zones, and there are the site will be	Zones quoted sharticular Flood Zones flood risk at a harticular Flood Zones flood risk at a harticular FZ1 is the remainment Agency's (Eassessment.  Interistics:	k of Flooding 1 Sea)  N/A how the % of the leevent, including area outside.  EA) Flood Zone  currently at risuse the drain some is not represented in the leevent and the leevent is downs upslope of the levial flooding.	the site at flood risk fling the percentage of e.g. FZ2 includes the FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1) mapping has been sk of flooding from both of the site has esented in the EA's inslope of the site, he site it is unlikely	
		The % Flood from that part of the site at the FZ3 %. If a 100%)  Available do The Environt used in this at the site is in fluvial source a catchment Flood Zones, and there are the site will the site	Zones quoted slaticular Flood Zones flood risk at a five flood risk at a	k of Flooding (Sea)  N/A  now the % of the lee/event, including area outside (Sea)  EA) Flood Zone  currently at risuse the drain some is not represented in the lee of the lee	the site at flood risk fling the percentage of e.g. FZ2 includes the FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1) mapping has been sk of flooding from both of the site has esented in the EA's inslope of the site, he site it is unlikely	
	Fluvial Surface Water	The % Flood from that part of the site at the FZ3 %. I = 100%)  Available do The Environment used in this at the site is in fluvial source a catchment Flood Zones, and there are the site will be presented.	Zones quoted slaticular Flood Zores flood risk at a frequency is the remainata:  ment Agency's (Eassessment.  Interestics:  mot shown to be es. This is becauted a flood is a fl	k of Flooding 1 Sea)  N/A how the % of the leevent, including area outside.  EA) Flood Zone  currently at riscusse the drain so have is not represented at riscussed the learn is downs upslope of the learn is learned to the learned	the site at flood risk fling the percentage of e.g. FZ2 includes the FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1) the mapping has been seen the site has the seen the site has the site in the EA's malope of the site, the site it is unlikely to FfSW)	



	Site Code	HAR.4		
	Address	Harbury		
	Area	1.92 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		Below 0.3m	Below 0.3m	0.3m-0.6m
			Max velocity (m/s	)
		Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s	Over 2.5m/s
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year		
	Reservoir			ervoir flooding from the



	Site Code	HAR.4		
	Address	Harbury		
a	Area	1.92 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of ar area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comments can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have between 25% and 50% susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence.  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the whole site has no risk, suggesting groundwater is more than 5m below the surface. This suggests groundwater is unlikely to emerge at the surface locally.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that ar appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.		
	Flood history	This site is not shown to be within the reaches of the Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map; however, the Harbury Society has made several comments on historical flooding at the site:  • This land has a history of flooding – a stream ran down what is now Heber Drive.  • It is the site of the Sewerage Pumping Station which has significantly overflowed in the past. In times of heavy rain, this can be overwhelmed by water flowing down Heber Drive.		
		Defence	Standard of	Condition
	Defences	Туре	Protection	
Flood risk		This size is seen	-	
management infrastructure	Residual risk	This site is not protected by any formal flood defences  There are no obvious residual risks present at the seculiverts, except for the Sewerage Pumping Station known to have overflowed in the past. A site-special assessment is recommended to investigate potential further.		resent at the site from mping Station which is st. A site-specific risk
	Flood warning	The site is not covered by the Environment Agency's Flood Warning Service.		



	Site Code	HAR.4		
	Address	Harbury		
	Area	1.92 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site are possible via Binswood End/Mill Street, which bounds the southern boundary. There are other properties on this street, therefore entry can be made in the centre of the site or by the entrance to a footpath. It is worth noting that this road is affected by surface water in all events, although the depths of these flows in all events are below 0.3m. Furthermore, Harbury Society has made several points related to access and egress, regarding use as a throughroad and congestion pinch points.  A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate the overall accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles.		
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no fluvial flood risk at the site, and therefore climate change impacts are assessed based on surface water risk.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% should be considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This shows risk to the eastern half of the site along the flow path running south to north. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>		

		Coolers of the eller of the
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Saltford Shale Member - Mudstone.</li> <li>Rugby Limestone Member - Mudstone And Limestone, Interbedded.</li> <li>Superficial - None recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that there is a medium risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at this site."</li> <li>This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility o</li></ul>
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site and therefore this site passes the Exception Test. The Council



	Site Code	HAR.4
	Address	Harbury
Cita dataila	Area	1.92 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		should consider the risk from surface water on the eastern portion of the site.

#### Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. This site falls under these requirements.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) 'Surface Water Management Plan' and 'Stratford on Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031'.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk flow routes on the western boundary, preserving this as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk on Mill Street.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff.



	Site Code	HAR.4
	Address	Harbury
<b>6</b>	Area	1.92 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.  Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.  Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.  All development should adopt source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post development runoff.  SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.  Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.  New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:  Reducing volume and rate of runoff  Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk  Creating space for flooding.  Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.



	Site Code	HAR.4			
	Address	Harbury			
	Area	1.92 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>Development is steered away from the surface water flow path along the eastern side of the site. Developing in a flow path could displace flood water elsewhere on site or to third party land.</li> <li>Consideration of the historic flooding at the site, in relation to the sewerage pumping station and flows down Heber Drive noted to have occurred.</li> <li>Safe access and egress need to be considered and the impacts of surface water flooding to Mill Street are assessed.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>			
Mapping Information					

#### **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environmen Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this site is not at fluvial floor risk.	
Climate change	Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. The 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario.	



	Site Code	HAR.4		
	Address	Harbury		
	Area	1.92 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is take Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QU	IN.D]				
Site details	Address	Quinton					
	Area	2.19 hectare	s				
	Current land use	Greenfield					
	Proposed land use	Residential	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	Marchfont Br Approximate flows northw	The site is located in Lower Quinton near the centre of the Marchfont Brook – source to conference River Avon catchment. Approximately 1km to the east of the site, Marchfont Brook flows northwards heading towards its confluence with the River Avon at the edge of the catchment.			Avon catchment. Marchfont Brook	
	Existing drainage features	the site, he	Drainage channels flow along the west and east boundaries of the site, heading northwards through Lower Quinton and eventually joining Marchfont Brook.				
			Proportion	of site at	risk		
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2		FZ1	
		0%	0%	0%		100%	
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)					
		Very Low					
Sources of		from that par of the site at	Zones quoted sh ticular Flood Zon	now the % one/event, inc igher risk zo	cluding one, e	.g. FZ2 includes	
flood risk		Available data:					
	Fluvial	The Environment Agency's (EA) Flood Zone mapping has used in this assessment. Where there is no detailed his model available, the Flood Zones are based on 2D general modelling.				etailed hydraulic	
		Flood characteristics: The site is not shown to currently be at risk of flooding fr fluvial sources. Flood Zones associated with Marchfont Brare 1km to the east of the site.  There are small drainage channels flowing along the west a east boundaries of the site. These are not represented in EA's Flood Zones due to having catchments <3km² in s therefore the flood risk they may pose should be assessed the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.				Marchfont Brooking the west and presented in the <3km² in size, be assessed at	
			oportion of si	-		_	
	C	30-year	•		•	,000-year	
	Surface Water	21%	39			94%	
			Max d	epths (m)			



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D	)]			
	Address	Quinton				
a	Area	2.19 hectares				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
		<0.3	<0.3	<0.3		
			Max velocity (m/s	5)		
		>0.25	>0.25	>0.25		
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)				
		Risk of Flooding from Surface Water data shows that surface water flooding poses a significant risk to the site. During the 30-year event, a flow path from south to north bisects the site and this increases in extent on both sides for the 100-year event. For the 1,000-year event, there is a significant increase in extent with nearly the entire site covered. For all events, depths remain below 0.3m and therefore shallow, while velocities are over 0.25m/s.  As this is a large flow path, i.e. conveyance route, rather than ponding, if development is placed in this flow path it could displace flood risk to other parts of the site or third party land. Much of the land surrounding the site is also at risk in this 1,000-year flow path, from the south, to the east and north. Only Goose Lane to the west is at lower risk.				
	Reservoir	The site is not sho available online m		ervoir flooding from the		
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comment can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is not shown to be within an area susceptible to groundwater flood emergence.  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset also shows that the entire site has been designated as no risk.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.				



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D]		
	Address	Quinton		
611 1 11	Area	2.19 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
	Flood history	The site is not shown to be within the reaches of the Historic Flood Map.  Warwickshire County Council (WCC) hold a record of flood the vicinity of the site, which occurred in June-July 2007. may hold additional information on this event and furecords for the area which are not available at this time. Trecords detail historical flood incidents from all southereas the EA dataset only records incidents of fluvial, the coastal flooding. The Lead Local Authority should be contito obtain further details.		
	Defences	The site is not protected by any	y formal flood defences.	
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	management infrastructure, a drainage channels crossing ben	at a residual risk from flood risk Ithough potential risk from the leath the Main Road to the north gated for blockage risk in a FRA,	
	Flood warning The site is not within any EA Flood Warning or Alert ar		ood Warning or Alert areas.	



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D]
	Address	Quinton
<u> </u>	Area	2.19 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Emergency planning	Access and egress	Goose Lane runs just outside the site boundary to the west, so it is likely that access and egress would be added from this side for the proposed development.  Consideration would have to be given to surface water flood risk as surface water accumulates along Goose Lane during the 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year events. Although depths remain low at less than 0.3m for all events, velocities are greater than 0.25m/s so may have an impact on access and egress.  Access may be possible from Corfield Drive which is part of a new housing estate to the north and runs along the northern boundary of the site. However, it is not possible to determine whether there is an access route from this side based on the available online imagery.  Consideration is also needed for how the eastern portion of the site would gain safe access and egress, given the site is bisected by a large surface water flow route in all events.  Access away from the site should be steered away from the north where Goose Lane meets the Main Road, as the flow path continues across this junction area and numerous other local streets.  Therefore, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles.



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D]		
	Address	Quinton		
Site details	Area	2.19 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Whilst the site is not currently shown to be at risk of flooding, the site's close proximity to two unmodelled drainage channels poses potential risk.</li> <li>It is recommended that developers undertake further investigations or modelling of the drainage channels at the site-specific FRA stage to determine whether the site may be at risk of flooding in the future. If a detailed model is constructed, this should test the 2080s climate change allowances in a hydraulic model, to ascertain the impact at the site.</li> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This shows a very significant risk in terms of flood extent, covering the majority of the site. A detailed FRA would be needed to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>		



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D]			
	Address	Quinton			
6" 1 "	Area	2.19 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock – Blue Lias Formation And Charmouth Mudstone Formation (undifferentiated) - Mudstone.</li> <li>Superficial – None recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>All forms of source control are likely to be suitable. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that the site slopes are suitable for all forms of detention.</li> <li>All filtration techniques are likely to be suitable. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li> </ul>			



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D]	
	Address	Quinton	
City details	Area	2.19 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test must be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'. As the entire site is shown to be in Flood Zone 1, the Exception Test is not required for the site. However, development should be steered away from the surface water flow route through the centre of the site, which is significant.  If detailed modelling of the drainage channels shows the site to be in Flood Zone 3, the Exception test will need to be applied if More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.  Development will not be permitted for the following scenarios:  Highly Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b.  More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.	

Requirements and guidance for sitespecific Flood Risk

Assessment

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required for this site as development borders two drainage channels, may be subject to other sources of flooding and may introduce a more vulnerable use. It will also be required where development sites:
  - are 1 hectare or more in size;
  - contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future.
- A detailed hydraulic model may be required at FRA stage, to confirm flood risk, flow paths and climate change extents from the unmodelled drainage channels along the west and east boundaries, using channel topographic survey.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from the drainage channels along the west and east boundaries, but primarily where the central surface water flow path is, preserving this space as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and Flood Zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) 'Surface Water Management Plan' and 'Stratford on Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031.
- If a detailed model shows the west and/or east boundary to be in Flood Zone 3, development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF. Development in FZ3 may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that
future users of the development will not be placed in
danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime.
Whilst the site is not currently at risk of fluvial flooding,
no assessment of the drainage channels and future
flood risk with regard to climate change has been made.
It is for the applicant to show that the development
meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk.
For example, how the operation of any mitigation
measures can be safeguarded and maintained

effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG). Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk. Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures. The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates. On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the drainage channels to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment. All development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects. Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS. SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving. Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk. New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by: Reducing volume and rate of runoff Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk Creating space for flooding. Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development. The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: Key messages Fluvial flood risk is confirmed in a hydraulic model, and the development is steered away from any risk shown to the site. Development is avoided near the west and east boundary where the drainage channels pose a potential

change.

fluvial and surface water flood risk. A detailed hydraulic model may be needed at site-specific stage to confirm the flood risk to the site and the impacts of climate



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D]			
	Address	Quinton			
	Area	2.19 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		<ul> <li>More significantly, the surface water flow path which bisects the site is significant in all three flood events, presents a larger flood risk than fluvial risk, and therefore development should be steered away from this central flow path.</li> <li>Safe access and egress needs to be considered as the likely access route, which is along Goose Road, is affected by surface water flooding in the 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year events. Also, consideration for the eastern portion of the site, where the surface water flow path bisects this and the Goose Road access route.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another).</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of surface water flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties. This is particularly important at this site given how large climate change impacts could be in a surface water event, and also that the flow route would act as a conveyance route, therefore development placed in the middle could displace the risk elsewhere in the site or to third party land.</li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site.</li> </ul>			
		inTufotio			

#### **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.



	Site Code	QUIN.8 [QUIN.D]		
	Address	Quinton		
	Area	2.19 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Flood Zones		Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk.		
Climate change		Climate change mapping was unavailable for this area. In the absence of Flood Zone 2 as an indication of fluvial climate change, the 1,000-year surface water flooding event was used as an indicative climate change scenario. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific FRA.		
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.		
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.		
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.		



	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A]					
	Address	Salford Priors					
	Area	1.38 hectares					
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential					
Sources of flood risk	Location of site within catchment	The site is located near the south-west border of the Stratford-on-Avon District boundary on the northern edge of Salford Priors, directly north of Bomford Way. It is 500m west of the main roundabout on the A46, at the junction with Salford Road. At its closest point, the site is approximately 1300m north-west of the River Avon. A ridge which forms a topographic high towards the north-west of the site acts as a drainage boundary and a small watercourse to the north of the site named Ban Brook runs from northwest to southeast. Shortly downstream of the site, this watercourse joins the bottom end of the River Arrow, which then joins the River Avon south of the A46.					
	Existing drainage features	The Environment Agency's Detailed River Network shows no ordinary watercourses, canals or smaller drains within the site. The closest drainage feature is Ban Brook, which at its closest point is 80m from the northern boundary of the site. It flows eastwards from the topographic high northwest of the site before moving southwards directly of the site and then flows south-east to follow along the A46.					
			Proportion	of site at ris	k		
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1		
		0%	0%	30%	70%		
	Fluvial	Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)					
	Fluvidi	Low					
		from that pa of the site a	rticular Flood Zor t flood risk at a f	ne/event, includi nigher risk zone,	e site at flood risk ng the percentage e.g. FZ2 includes e FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1		



	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A	A]			
	Address	Salford Priors				
	Area	1.38 hectares				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
		Available data:  The Environment Agency's Flood Zone mapping has been used in this assessment. No detailed modelled fluvial data is available for this site and therefore the Environment Agency's Flood Zones are based on 2D generalised modelling.  Shortly downstream of the site there is a model for the River Avon and River Arrow.  Flood characteristics:  Flood Zone 2, which is associated with fluvial flooding from the Ban Brook, is present towards the eastern extent of the site area, reaching a maximum distance of 120m in from the most eastern point. The flood extent within the site is constrained by the low-lying topography and Flood Zone 2 reaches the wides extent in the surrounding region.  The section of Ban Brook directly north of the site is represented within the Environment Agency's Flood Zones 2 and 3 which contributes to the Flood Zone 2 extent within the site. The flood path is topographically defined by the ridge to the north-west of the site, flowing in a south-easterly direction. Flood Zone 3 and be are shown to the north-east of the site outside of the extent of the site. The area around the A46 and Station Road roundabout seems to be an area of interaction between the downstream ends and confluences of the Bank Brook, the River Arow and the wider River Avon floodplain. A detailed model of the Ban Brook would help to see if the Flood Zone 2 extent i indeed this wide from this watercourse (or the influence of the confluences) or if this is conservative, as the surface water 1,000-year flood extents which converge towards the watercourse do not reach the site.		If fluvial data is available onment Agency's Flood delling. Is a model for the River fluvial flooding from the tern extent of the site. ately a third of the site 120m in from the most he site is constrained by he 2 reaches the widest of the site is represented if Zones 2 and 3 which within the site. The flow within the site. The flow within the site. The flow within the site outside of the end A46 and Station Road interaction between the end Bank Brook, the River ain. A detailed model of Flood Zone 2 extent is (or the influence of the pass the surface water)		
Proportion of site a		rtion of site at risk	(RoFfSW)			
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year		
	G	0%	0%	0%		
	Surface Water			N1/2		
		N/A	N/A	N/A		
		N/A	Max velocity (m/s N/A	N/A		
		IV/ /\	IN/ A	1 V / ^		



	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A]				
	Address	Salford Priors				
Cita dataila	Area	1.38 hectares				
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield				
	Proposed land use	Residential				
		water risk from th	nat particular event, ir od risk at a higher ris	of the site at surface ocluding the percentage sk zone (e.g. 100-year		
		-	urface water flow pa	aths:		
		There are no modelled surface water flows within the extent of this site. During the 30, 100 and 1,000-year event, surface water accumulates around Ban Brook and its floodplain with no impact to the site. The flood risk extent from the 1,000-year event reaches 50m from the northern edge of the site.				
	Reservoir	The site is not shown to be at risk of reservoir flooding from the available <u>online</u> maps.				
		The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comment can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The site is shown to have a 75% or greater susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence.  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the site ha groundwater levels between 0 and 0.025m below the groundsurface, suggesting groundwater may emerge at the surface locally and indicating some risk.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA stage.				
	Groundwater					
	Flood history	This site is shown to be within the reaches of the Environment Agency's Historic Flood Map. The Recorded Flood outlines dataset shows the site is located within 200m of 3 historic events.				
Flood risk management infrastructure	Defences	Defence	Standard of	Condition		
		Туре	Protection			
		The site is not are	tocted by any formal	flood dofonces		
		The site is not protected by any formal flood defences				



	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A]			
Site details	Address	Salford Priors			
	Area	1.38 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
	Residual risk	The Ban Brook flows under Station Road, to the south-east of the site, but it is deemed that risk from blockage here would be minimal to the site, given the wide floodplain and interaction between the Arrow/ Avon confluence. Site-specific Flood Risk Assessments may need to investigate the impacts of blockages to these structures on the climate change scenario and confirm risk to the site and help inform finished floor levels in-site.			
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The site is partially covered by the Environment Agency's Flo Warning Service.  The site is covered by the 'River Arrow and River Alne' Flo Alert. Downstream of Ban Brook is a Flood Warning Area call 'River Arrow at Salford Bridge', which will receive flo warnings. This area lies directly east of the site.			
	Access and egress	Access and egress at this site is possible via two of the side roads along the northern extent of Bomford Way which leads from Station Road. Access is limited due to few surrounding road networks, therefore this is the only existing point of road access for the site. Access may be made on foot via the footpath at the northern extent of the site but this is not designed for vehicle use. Further investigation on access from Station Road will have to be assessed.  Access from Station Road to the east should be avoided where possible, due to the fluvial flood risk shown on the Station Road/ A46 roundabout area. Clearer access is possible along Station Road to the west or School Road to the north.  A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken to evaluate accessibility to pedestrians and vehicles at these access points, as the northern footpath access point is within the 1,000-year surface flood risk modelled data.			



	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A]		
	Address	Salford Priors		
a	Area	1.38 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed fluvial modelling available at the site, and therefore Flood Zone 2 has been used as a conservative indication of flood risk from climate change. This extends across the eastern half of the site encompassing the lower incline ground. A detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances, which may refine risk in the eastern extent of the site.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. At this site, there is no surface water flood extents shown.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>		

#### Geology at the site consists of: Bedrock - Mercia mudstone ground - mudstone Superficial - Boundary Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding. Mapping suggests that there is a high risk of groundwater flooding at this location, therefore it is likely infiltration techniques will not be suitable. This should be confirmed via site investigations to assess the potential for infiltration. "This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the Requirements detailed design of the drainage system. This may for drainage **Broad scale** include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a control and assessment of sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above impact possible SuDS the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground mitigation development such as basements are not appropriate at this site." This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is >1m, additionally a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. A liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater. This site has areas within its boundary designated by the Environment Agency as being a landfill site. A thorough ground investigation will be required as part of a detailed FRA to determine the extent of the contamination and the impact this may have on SuDS. As such proposed SuDS should be discussed with the relevant stakeholders (LPA, LLFA and EA) at an early stage to understand possible constraints. The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard groundwater quality.



Site details	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A]
	Address	Salford Priors
	Area	1.38 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test will need to be passed before the Exception Test is applied.  Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable' than other forms of development such as Employment development. It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site.  The Exception test will need to be applied if Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.  • Highly Vulnerable infrastructure should not be permitted

## Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

#### **Flood Risk Assessment:**

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required if any development is located within Flood Zones 2 or 3 or is greater than one hectare. The site falls under these conditions.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
- A more detailed hydraulic model may be required at Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk, flow paths and climate change extents.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change .
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) 'Surface Water Management Plan' and 'Stratford on Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031'.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk to the east, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- New or re-development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.



	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A]
	Address	Salford Priors
	Area	1.38 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
		<ul> <li>Betterment on the existing site runoff rate should be sought to ensure that there is no increase in surface water flood risk elsewhere. Ideally, surface water runoff should be fully attenuated to the greenfield rate.</li> <li>Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA information on SuDS.</li> <li>New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:         <ul> <li>Reducing volume and rate of runoff</li> <li>Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk</li> <li>Creating space for flooding.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.</li> </ul>
Key messages		<ul> <li>The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to: <ul> <li>Development is limited to the western region of the site, outside of the Flood Zone 2.</li> <li>Safe access and egress are considered as the likely vehicle access route via Bomford Way is limited.</li> <li>A carefully considered and integrated flood resilient and sustainable drainage design is put forward.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere.</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk in the eastern extent of the site.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site</li> </ul>



Site details	Site Code	SALF.17 [SALF.A]
	Address	Salford Priors
	Area	1.38 hectares
	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential

# **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. There is modelled data in the near vicinity from the River Arrow and River Avon. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk and understand the interactions between the 3 watercourses in the confluence area.
Climate change	Climate change was based on Flood Zone 2 to serve as an indication of possible extents. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage
Surface Water	The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping	The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.



	1					
Site Code		SOU.14				
	Address	Southam				
	Area	31.15 hectares	31.15 hectares			
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential				
	Location of site within catchment	side of the S catchment. Th catchment, pas	The site is located to the north east of Southam on the westerr side of the Stowe – source to confluence River Itcher catchment. The River Stowe flows westwards through the catchment, passing to the south of the site and through Southam towards its confluence with the River Itchen.			
	Existing drainage features	from east to we under Daventry It then joins th	The River Stowe flows along the southern boundary of the site from east to west. It then flows south west away from the site under Daventry Road (A425) and meanders through Southam. It then joins the River Itchen, which is approximately 2km downstream from the site.			
		Proportion of site at risk				
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1	
		4%	4% 4% 5%	95%		
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers an Sea)  Medium				
Sources of flood risk		from that partice of the site at flo	ılar Flood Zon od risk at a h	e/event, in igher risk z	of the site at flood risk ncluding the percentage zone, e.g. FZ2 includes outside FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ1	
		Available data:				
	Fluvial	used in this ass	one mapping has been is no detailed hydraulic ased on 2D generalised			
		Flood characteristics:  Most of the site is in Flood Zone 1 and at Very Low risk of f flooding. However, Flood Zones 2 and 3, associated with f flooding from the River Stowe, encroach onto the low-topography at the southern edge of the site. Almost the southern boundary of the site is covered by the Flood Zwith Flood Zone 2 reaching up to 50m into the site from boundary. The topography here is confined though, as the of the site rises away from the floodplain.			, associated with fluvial ach onto the low-lying site. Almost the entire ed by the Flood Zones, into the site from the ned though, as the rest	
		Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)			(DoEfSW)	
		Plop	ortion of Sit	te at 113k	(KUI 13W)	
	Surface Water	30-year	100-y	/ear	1,000-year	



	Site Code	SOU.14		
	Address	Southam		
	Area	31.15 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
			Max depths (m)	
		>0.9	>0.9	>0.9
			Max velocity (m/s	5)
		>0.25	>0.25	>0.25
		The % SW extents quoted show the % of the site at surface water risk from that particular event, including the percentage of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone (e.g. 100-year includes the 30-year %)		
		Description of surface water flow paths:		
		There are two significant surface water flow paths affecting the site. The main one is associated with the River Stowe which flows along the southern boundary of the site. During the 30-year event, surface water accumulates around the river and its floodplain, to depths greater than 0.9m and velocities over 0.25m/s. The extent increases slightly for the 100-year event, and again for the 1,000-year event. A small flow path also develops during the 1,000-year event, running from the east side of the existing buildings down into the River Stowe. The other major flow route runs southwards along the eastern boundary of the site and into the River Stowe. Velocities along here are greater than 0.25m/s for all events. During the 30-year event, there is a narrow and disconnected extent of flooding along the north eastern and eastern boundaries, with flood depths largely remaining below 0.3m. There is a slight increase in extent for the 100-year event, but depths remain similar. During the 1,000-year event, a continuous flow route is formed, and the extent is no longer confined to the boundary of the site; it encroaches further into the north east corner and down the eastern edge of the site. Depths increase in some places to between 0.3 and 0.9m. There are also a few isolated areas of flooding towards the centre of the site, along small drainage ditches.  The available online maps show that the maximum extent of		
	Reservoir	flooding from rese where the River St	ervoirs affects the sou towe flows along the b , but this risk should	the maximum extent of other athern edge of the site, oundary. Reservoir risk be confirmed in a site-



	Site Code	SOU.14
	Address	Southam
	Area	31.15 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
	Groundwater	The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comment can be made about groundwater flood risk:  • The entire site is shown to have less than a 25%
		susceptibility to groundwater flood emergence.  JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset shows that the entire site has been designated as no risk.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an
		appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.
	Flood history	The site is not shown to be within the reaches of the EA's Historic Flood Map. Warwickshire County Council (WCC) hold records of flooding in the vicinity of the site to the south west. These refer to flood events in 1999 and 2016. WCC may hold further details on these events and additional records which are not available at this time. These records detail historical flood incidents from all sources, whereas the EA dataset only records incidents of fluvial, tidal or coastal flooding. The Lead Local Authority should be contacted to obtain further details.
	Defences	The site is not protected by any formal flood defences.
Flood risk management infrastructure	Residual risk	By the south west corner of the site, the River Stowe flows under Daventry Road (A425). If this bridge became blocked, flooding could back up and extend upstream and onto the development site. As the floodplain topography is fairly constrained to the southern boundary, it is likely that flood depths would increase.  A site-specific FRA should investigate the impacts of blockage to this bridge on the climate change scenario and confirm risk to the site as well as help inform finished floor levels in-site.
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The southern edge of the site is covered by the River Leam and River Itchen Flood Alert Area (033WAF203). This site is not covered by a Flood Warning Area.



	Site Code	SOU.14	
	Address	Southam	
	Area	31.15 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
	Access and egress	There are currently two access and egress points for One is via an access road off Daventry Road which leads a farm and into the fields on the site. However, the significant risk of fluvial and surface water flooding on Road around here, associated with the River Stower floodplain. As depths and velocities are high for all statistic could impede access and egress. It may be the detailed hydraulic model, flood risk is refined when characteristic dimensions are accounted for, as the current Zones used 2D generalised data.  The alternative access and egress point is via a trace A423 road, approximately two thirds of the way up the boundary of the site. In terms of flood risk, this would suitable as there is Very Low risk of fluvial flooding in and there is just a small amount of surface water flot the road to depths below 0.3m during the 100-year ary year events, which are unlikely to significantly impared and egress.	s through here is a Daventry e and its cenarios, at with a annel and ent Flood ck off the e western I be more ear here, ooding on and 1,000-



	Site Code	SOU.14
	Address	Southam
	Area	31.15 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>There is no detailed modelling data currently available at this site, therefore Flood Zone 2 has been used as a conservative indication of potential impacts of climate change on fluvial flooding for the River Stowe running along the southern edge of the site. Fluvial flood risk here does not significantly increase due to climate change due to the topography of the site which inclines away from the river channel.</li> <li>It is recommended that developers undertake further modelling at the site-specific FRA stage to determine whether the site may be at risk of flooding in the future. The detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances in a hydraulic model, to ascertain the impact at the site.</li> <li>At a detailed assessment stage, the impacts of climate change on the functional floodplain may need to be considered. This is likely to resemble the increase in extent from Flood Zone 3b (20-year extent) to Flood Zone 3a (100-year extent), for the purposes of a strategic assessment.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events, which poses some risk along the site's eastern boundary. This would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>

		Geology at the site consists of:		
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Bedrock - Rugby Limestone member - mudstone and limestone, interbedded</li> <li>Superficial - None recorded</li> <li>Most source control techniques are likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests that slopes may be unsuitable for selective source control techniques. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>"This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are &gt; 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible a liner maybe required to prevent the egress of groundwater.</li> <li>The site is considered to be highly susceptible to groundwater flooding. Groundwater flooding could occur at the surface which may flow to and pool within topographic low spots during very wet winters. Detention and attenuation features should be designed to prevent groundwater ingress from impacting hydraulic capacity and structural integrity. Additional site investigation work may be required to support the detailed design of the drainage system. This may include groundwater monitoring to demonstrate that a sufficient unsaturated zone has been provided above the highest occurring groundwater level. Below ground development such as basements are not appropriate at</li> </ul>		
		this site."  • This option is unlikely to be feasible as mapping suggests mean site slopes are > 5%. Feasibility of such options should be assessed as part of a site specific assessment. If this feature is feasible it should be located where the depth to the water table is >1m.		
		All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are >5% features should follow contours or		
		utilise check dams to slow flows.  The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.		
				The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.
NPPF and planning	Exception Test	The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test must be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.		
nianning i -	requirements	It is recommended that proposed development will be sequentially located within Flood Zone 1 areas of the site.		



	Site Code	SOU.14	
	Address	Southam	
	Area	31.15 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		<ul> <li>The Exception test will need to be applied if:</li> <li>More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a.</li> <li>Highly Vulnerable development is located in FZ2.</li> </ul> Development will not be permitted for the following scenarios: <ul> <li>Highly Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b.</li> <li>More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.</li> </ul>	

## Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required for this site as the southern edge is located within Flood Zones 2 and 3 and may be subject to other sources of flooding, and the development may introduce a more vulnerable use. It will also be required where development sites:
  - are 1 hectare or more in size;
  - o contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future
- A detailed hydraulic model may be required at FRA stage to confirm risk and flow paths, FZ3b and climate change extents from the River Stowe along the southern boundary, using channel topographic survey.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific FRA.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- Blockage residual risk will need to be investigated and modelled in a FRA to confirm impacts and help inform finished flood levels.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of fluvial flood risk and surface water flow routes along the southern and eastern boundaries, preserving these spaces as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and flood zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan'</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031</u>.
- Development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF.
   Development in FZ3 may require floodplain compensation and this should be confirmed with the EA at FRA stage.

#### Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface

- water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- All development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.
- SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.
- Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.
- New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:
  - Reducing volume and rate of runoff
  - Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk
  - Creating space for flooding.
- Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.

#### **Key messages**

The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to:

- Development is preferentially located in areas at lower risk of fluvial flooding. Flood risk across this site is primarily located in areas of lower-lying topography along the southern boundary. Development should be steered towards land at a higher elevation which is less susceptible to fluvial and surface water flood risk.
- Surface water risk along the eastern site boundary should also be considered and development steered away from.
- If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another) and will not be detrimental to flood risk downstream of the site.



	Site Code	SOU.14		
	Address	Southam		
	Area	31.15 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		<ul> <li>Space for green infrastructures or storage of flood waters should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> </ul>		
		Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site.		

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change was based on Flood Zone 2 to serve as an indication of possible extents. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping	There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage
Surface Water	The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping	The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.



	Site Code	SOU.15[SOU.B]			
Site details	Address	Southam			
	Area	5.66 hectares			
	Current land use	Greenfield			
	Proposed land use	Residential			
	Location of site within catchment	The site is located to the south of Southam, at the northern edge of the Itchen – source to confluence with River Stowe catchment. The River Itchen flows northwards though the western half of the catchment, passing the site 1km to the west, before leaving the catchment and reaching its confluence with the River Stowe.			
	Existing drainage features	There is a drainage feature, which appears to be a SuDS retention pond, outside the north west boundary of the site. This has likely been added for the development to the north west of the site, to attenuate runoff from rainfall events. There is an unnamed watercourse approximately 200m south west from the site, which flows towards the River Itchen.			
		Proportion of site at risk			
		FZ3b	FZ3a	FZ2	FZ1
		0%	0%	0%	100%
		Highest zone of risk (Risk of Flooding from Rivers and Sea)			
		Very Low			
Sources of flood risk		The % Flood Zones quoted show the % of the site at flood riften that particular Flood Zone/event, including the percenta of the site at flood risk at a higher risk zone, e.g. FZ2 including the FZ3 %. FZ1 is the remaining area outside FZ2 (FZ2 + FZ = 100%)			ng the percentage e.g. FZ2 includes
		Available data:			
	Fluvial				mapping has been
		used in this assessment. Where there is no detailed hydra model available, the Flood Zones are based on 2D general modelling.			
		Flood characteristics:			
			e site is not shown to be currently at risk of flooding from		
		fluvial sources. The site is approximately 100m from Flood Zones associated with the unnamed watercourse which flows			
		into the River Itchen. The Flood Zones here also			also look to be 2D
			generalised modelling and appear very wide/ conservative for the size of the small channel, and therefore it is likely if this was		
		modelled in detail using survey, that the flood extents would be refined  Proportion of site at risk (RoFfSW)			



				1.0°	
	Site Code	SOU.15[SOU.B]			
	Address	Southam			
	Area	5.66 hectares	5.66 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential			
		30-year	100-year	1,000-year	
		26%	39%	60%	
			Max depths (m)		
		0.3-0.9	0.3-0.9	0.3-0.9	
			Max velocity (m/s	s)	
		>0.25	>0.25	>0.25	
		The % SW extents quoted show water risk from that particular e of the site at flood risk at a hi includes the 30-year %)		vent, including the percentage	
	Surface Water	Description of surface water flow paths:  The site is at significant risk from surface water flooding and I in the middle of a valley/ topographic flow route for overlar flows. A significant surface water flow path bisects the significant flowing from east to west. During the 30-year event, depth largely remain below 0.3m while velocities are greater through 1.25m/s. There is a small area of flooding to slightly high depths (between 0.3m and 0.9m) along the western boundar Although depths and velocities remain similar, the extensional flowing from the housing development to the nowest of the site and down along the western boundary. Durithe 1,000-year event, a significant area of the site is cover by surface water flooding, with much of this area reach depths between 0.3m and 0.9m. Only the top north-easted corner and southern thirs of the site are free of surface water flood risk.			
	Reservoir	The site is not sho available online m		servoir flooding from the	



Site Code		SOU.15[SOU.B]		
	Address	Southam		
	Area	5.66 hectares		
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield		
	Proposed land use	Residential		
		The EA's Areas Susceptible to Groundwater Flooding dataset, provided as 1km grid squares, shows the susceptibility of an area to groundwater flood emergence. The following comment can be made about groundwater flood risk:		
	Groundwater	The entire site is not shown to be within an area susceptible to groundwater flood emergence.		
		JBA's Groundwater Mapping dataset also shows that the entire site has been designated as no risk.  This assessment does not negate the requirement that an appropriate assessment of the groundwater regime should be carried out at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) stage.		
	Flood history	The site is not shown to be within the reaches of the EA's Historic Flood Map.  Warwickshire County Council may hold additional records which are not available at this time. These records detail historical flood incidents from all sources, whereas the EA dataset only records incidents of fluvial, tidal or coastal flooding. The Lead Local Authority should be contacted to obtain further details.		
Flood risk Defences management		The site is not protected by any formal flood defences.		
infrastructure	Residual risk	The site is considered to not be at a residual risk from flood ris management infrastructure.		
Emergency planning	Flood warning	The site is not within any EA Flood Warning or Alert areas, but the unnamed watercourse to the south west is covered by the River Leam and River Itchen Flood Alert Area (033WAF203).		



	Site Code	SOU.15[SOU.B]	
Site details	Address	Southam	
	Area	5.66 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Access and egress to the site can be (A423) at two locations along the eather north east corner of the site and east corner. There is negligible risk these; however, surface water flooding 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year flooding covers Banbury Road outside eastern boundary of the site. As deand 0.9m and velocities are great scenarios, access and egress may be It would therefore be preferential to and egress route, in the south east of Low risk of surface water flooding on Consideration is needed for how differences this road where surface water		Access and egress to the site can be gained off Banbury Road (A423) at two locations along the eastern boundary. One is at the north east corner of the site and the other is at the south east corner. There is negligible risk of fluvial flooding affecting these; however, surface water flooding poses a risk. During the 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year events, surface water flooding covers Banbury Road outside the northern half of the eastern boundary of the site. As depths reach between 0.3m and 0.9m and velocities are greater than 0.25m/s for all scenarios, access and egress may be impeded around this area. It would therefore be preferential to use the alternative access and egress route, in the south east corner where there is a Very Low risk of surface water flooding on Banbury Road. Consideration is needed for how different parts of the site would access this road where surface water flow paths bisect the site. However, the road is along the full eastern boundary and the flow path is lateral east to west.	



	Site Code	SOU.15[SOU.B]
	Address	Southam
	Area	5.66 hectares
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield
	Proposed land use	Residential
Climate Change	Implications for the site	<ul> <li>Whilst the site is not currently shown to be at risk of flooding, the site's proximity to Flood Zones associated with the unnamed watercourse to the south west poses potential risk. LiDAR data at the site shows the topography around the watercourse and most of the site is fairly flat, which may indicate that increased flooding resulting from climate change could extend towards and affect the south west side of the site (though this is deemed a low risk as the topography would still reduce slightly from the site towards to the watercourse). It is recommended that developers undertake further modelling at the site-specific FRA stage to determine whether the site may be at risk of flooding in the future. The detailed modelling study should test the 2080s climate change allowances in a hydraulic model, to ascertain the impact at the site.</li> <li>Increased storm intensities due to climate change may increase the extent, depth, velocity, hazard and frequency of both fluvial and surface water flooding.</li> <li>Climate change should also be considered for surface water events; at the site-specific stage, the 100-year +40% event is considered as part of surface water drainage strategies, or surface water modelling.</li> <li>The current day 1,000-year surface water flooding extent provides an indication of the likely increase in extent of the more frequent surface water events. This indicates that much of the site could be at risk and would require a detailed FRA to assess the site layout and design.</li> <li>Developers should consider SuDS strategies to reduce the impacts of climate change from surface water in a detailed site-specific FRA.</li> </ul>



Site Code		SOU.15[SOU.B]	
	Address	Southam	
	Area	5.66 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Requirements for drainage control and impact mitigation	Broad scale assessment of possible SuDS	<ul> <li>Geology at the site consists of:         <ul> <li>Bedrock - Rugby Limestone Member - Mudstone And Limestone, Interbedded.</li> <li>Superficial - None recorded</li> </ul> </li> <li>All forms of source control are likely to be suitable. The site is considered to have very low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, this should be confirmed through additional site investigation work. Below ground development such as basements may still be susceptible to groundwater flooding.</li> <li>Infiltration likely to be suitable. Mapping suggests a low risk of ground water flooding however, site investigations should be carried out to assess potential for drainage by infiltration.</li> <li>Mapping suggests that the site slopes are suitable for all forms of detention.</li> <li>All filtration techniques are likely to be suitable. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>All forms of conveyance are likely to be suitable. Where the slopes are &gt;5% features should follow contours or utilise check dams to slow flows. If the site has contamination issues; a liner will be required.</li> <li>The site is not designated by the Environment Agency as previously being a landfill site.</li> <li>The site is not located within a Groundwater Source Protection Zone and there are no restrictions over the use of infiltration techniques with regard to groundwater quality.</li> </ul>	



	Site Code	SOU.15[SOU.B]	
	Address	Southam	
Cita dataila	Area	5.66 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		The Local Authority have carried out the Sequential Test in line with national guidance. The Sequential Test must be passed before the Exception Test is applied. Residential development is classified as 'More Vulnerable'.	
NPPF and planning implications	Exception Test requirements	As the entire site is shown to be in Flood Zone 1, the Exception Test is not required for the site. However, as the site is at significant risk of surface water flooding, developers may need to undertake a site-specific flood risk assessment including surface water modelling to demonstrate that the change in land use does not increase the risk of surface water on the site and to nearby properties. Furthermore, caution should be applied for development in the south west of the site due to the proximity of Flood Zones associated with the unnamed watercourse.	
		If detailed modelling of the unnamed watercourse shows the site to be in Flood Zone 3, the Exception test will need to be applied if More Vulnerable and Essential Infrastructure development is located in FZ3a and for Highly Vulnerable development located in FZ2.	
		Development will not be permitted for the following scenarios:  • Highly Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3a and FZ3b.  • More Vulnerable and Less Vulnerable Infrastructure within FZ3b.	

## Requirements and guidance for site-specific Flood Risk Assessment

#### Flood Risk Assessment:

- At the planning application stage, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment will be required for this site as development is in close proximity to a watercourse and may be subject to other sources of flooding and the development may introduce a more vulnerable use. It will also be required where development sites:
  - are 1 hectare or more in size;
  - contain land which has been identified by the EA as having critical drainage problems; or
  - contain land identified in the strategic flood risk assessment as being at increased flood risk in future
- A detailed hydraulic model may be required at FRA stage, to confirm flood risk, flow paths and climate change extents from the watercourse to the south west of the site, using channel topographic survey.
- Consultation with the Local Authority, Local Lead Flood Authority and the Environment Agency should be undertaken at an early stage.
- All sources of flooding, particularly the risk of fluvial, surface water and groundwater flooding, should be considered as part of a site-specific FRA.
- Flood risk needs to be considered for the lifetime of the development, accounting for climate change.
- The development should be designed using a sequential approach. Development should be steered away from areas of greatest surface water flood risk, through the middle of the site, preserving this space as green infrastructure. Development must be in line with Table 3: flood risk vulnerability and Flood Zone compatibility of the NPPG.
- Any FRA should be carried out in line with the National Planning Policy Framework; Flood Risk and Coastal Change Planning Practice Guidance, Stratford on Avon District Council's Local Plan policies, the LLFA's (Warwickshire County Council) <u>Surface Water</u> <u>Management Plan</u> and <u>Stratford on Avon District Core</u> <u>Strategy 2011 to 2031</u>.
- If a detailed model shows the western boundary to be in Flood Zone 3, development in FZ3b should be avoided unless appropriate use can be demonstrated in line with NPPF.

## Guidance for site design and making development safe:

- The developer will need to show, through an FRA, that future users of the development will not be placed in danger from flood hazards throughout its lifetime. Whilst the site is not currently at risk of fluvial flooding, no assessment of future flood risk with regard to climate change has been made. It is for the applicant to show that the development meets the objectives of the NPPF's policy on flood risk. For example, how the operation of any mitigation measures can be safeguarded and maintained effectively through the lifetime of the development. (Para 048 Flood Risk and Coastal Change PPG).
- Safe access and egress will need to be demonstrated in the 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial and rainfall events, using the depth, velocity and hazard outputs. Raising of access routes must not impact on surface water flow routes. Consideration should be given to the

- siting of access points with respect to areas of surface water flood risk.
- Resilience measures will be required if buildings are situated in the flood risk area. Raising Finished Floor Levels above the design event may remove the need for resilience measures.
- The risk from surface water flow routes should be quantified as part of a site-specific FRA, including a drainage strategy, to ensure that runoff from the development is not increased by placing development across any ephemeral surface water flow routes. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond the current greenfield rates.
- On site attenuation schemes would need to be tested against the watercourse to ensure flows are not exacerbated downstream within the catchment.
- All development should adopt exemplar source control SuDS techniques to reduce the risk of frequent low impact flooding due to post-development runoff. Assessment for runoff should include allowance for climate change effects.
- Developers should refer to Warwickshire County Council's 'Surface Water Management Plan', Stratford on Avon District's 'Core Strategy 2011 to 2031' and the Level 1 SFRA for information on SuDS.
- SuDS should be designed to deliver multiple benefits including water quality, biodiversity, amenity, green infrastructure etc. Example features include swales, attenuation features, green roofs, rainwater capture and reuse and permeable paving.
- Efforts should be made to limit runoff to greenfield rates and discharge rates from the site should not increase downstream flood risk.
- New development must seek opportunities to reduce overall level of flood risk at the site, for example by:
  - Reducing volume and rate of runoff
  - Relocating development to zones with lower flood risk
  - Creating space for flooding.
- Green infrastructure should be considered within the mitigation measures for surface water runoff from potential development and consider using Flood Zones 2 and 3 as public open space.

## Key messages

The site is likely to be suitable for development subject to:

- Development is steered to the north and south of the site, avoiding where possible the central surface water flow path, so as not to displace flows in this conveyance route. A carefully considered and integrated flood resilient and sustainable drainage design is put forward. A drainage strategy should help inform site layout and design to ensure there is no increase in runoff beyond current greenfield rates.
- A site-specific Flood Risk Assessment demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of surface water flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.



	Site Code	SOU.15[SOU.B]	
	Address	Southam	
	Area	5.66 hectares	
Site details	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
		<ul> <li>Safe access and egress need to be considered as the road near to the access points is affected by surface water flooding in the 30-year, 100-year and 1,000-year events. The site is also bisected by a surface water flow path and so consideration is needed for all parts of the site to gain safe access.</li> <li>If flood mitigation measures are implemented then they are tested to ensure that they will not displace water elsewhere (for example, if land is raised to permit development on one area, compensatory flood storage will be required in another).</li> <li>Space for green infrastructure should be considered in the areas of highest flood risk.</li> <li>A site-specific FRA demonstrates that the site is not at an increased risk of flooding in the future as a result of climate change, and that the development of the site does not increase the risk of surface water flooding on the site and to neighbouring properties.</li> <li>Refer to the detailed 'guidance for developers' section for further information on the measures that are appropriate for this site.</li> </ul>	
Manning Information			

## **Mapping Information**

The key datasets used to make planning recommendations regarding this site were the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning and the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map. More details regarding data used for this assessment can be found below.

Flood Zones	Flood Zones 2 and 3 have been taken from the Environment Agency's Flood Map for Planning; this is based on 2D generalised modelling in this area as there is no detailed hydraulic model available. It is recommended that a more detailed hydraulic model of the unnamed watercourse is constructed at the site-specific Flood Risk Assessment stage, to confirm flood risk.
Climate change	Climate change was based on Flood Zone 2 and the surface water 1,000-year flood extent to serve as an indication of possible extents. It is recommended that the latest EA's climate change allowances are modelled in a detailed hydraulic model as part of a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment.



	Site Code	SOU.15[SOU.B]	
Site details	Address	Southam	
	Area	5.66 hectares	
	Current land use	Greenfield	
	Proposed land use	Residential	
Fluvial depth, velocity and hazard mapping		There is no available fluvial modelling data; therefore, the Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping has been used as this represents the floodplains of small watercourses. This should be explored further at site-specific stage.	
Surface Water		The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water has been used to define areas at risk from surface water flooding.	
Surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping		The surface water depth, velocity and hazard mapping for the 1 in 100-year event (considered to be medium risk) is taken from Environment Agency's Risk of Flooding from Surface Water.	