

Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Development Plan

Late Regulation 16 Representations: By Contributor

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 028	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 029	<i>(Resident)</i>	H1 – 4.2	<p>Just a very minor update for the Examiner please re: the Clifford Chambers and Milcote NP. At para 4.2 and the table on page 15, with the third 'new' house approved at 'Willowmere', The Close (LPA reference 19/03539/FUL) and a new dwelling approved within the grounds of</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>the ‘island’ and its undergrowth too are regarded by the community both important part of the village landscape and a natural barrier against the noise and pollution from traffic from the busy B4632 road, as well as providing effective carbon capture and habitat for fauna and flora.</p> <p>With Stratford District Council declaring a climate emergency in July 2019, the safeguarding of our local green spaces, protecting residents from air pollution and against flooding, as well as well protecting the local biodiversity must be, surely, our priority and duty.</p> <p>The National Planning Policy Framework clearly states that “development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk” (p.45 2019). Episodes of pluvial and fluvial flooding are forecast to increase in the decades to come. The NP document proposes an appropriate, sustainable reserve housing site, identified by the Parish Council and the wider community, which does not flood and which, if developed, would not impact negatively on the social cohesion of the village.</p> <p>I therefore support the identified NP Reserve Housing Site which the NP proposes, and which is endorsed and supported by the local residents.</p> <p>But above all I support the right of local communities to be able shape the future development of their area.</p>
CC 031	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>We write to confirm our support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan (NP), and the democratic process that has been undertaken to reach this stage of your examination.</p> <p>We particularly support the reserve site indicated in the NP, as elected during the process which is unlikely to need any additional access from the B4632, beyond that which already exists for Stour Field Close. We have misgivings and therefore objections to the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan (sites A, B & C) for various reasons, some of which are listed here;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the ever present risk and annual history of flooding caused by ‘runoff’ from Martin’s Hill, affecting sites A & B.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>- Access to sites A & B will be at the point of a deceptively dangerous bend on a busy major road. Originally the A46, the B4632 as you will be aware, has a poor track record for road safety...</p> <p>Despite changes to Long Marston storage facilities, this route is still routinely used by HGV's, rarely sticking to their 40mph speed limit. The additional houses at Meon Vale have significantly increased the domestic traffic, which is constant and invariably fast despite a 50mph speed limit. 19 years ago I survived a collision and severe head injury, having been struck by a speeding van on the bend near to sites A & B as I was exiting our driveway. It is too dangerous to add another access to the B4632 at this point.</p>
CC 032	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am sending this email to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with local knowledge, facts and historical information relating to the village, not least the flooding and road safety. For those reasons I would object most strongly to any of the proposed sites suggested by SDC in their site allocations plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written in to our village plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the Neighbourhood Plan, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope that you reject this proposal and see this as a move to develop a special site used regularly by so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the LGS from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place is in contradiction of what the residents wish to retain. This is not only an excellent place for local wildlife, flora and fauna but is also protection against the air and pollution which is ever increasing. I believe this should retain its designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.
CC 033	<i>(Resident)</i>	H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>As residents of Clifford Chambers, it has come to our attention that a number of planning proposals are likely to be submitted by Spitfire Homes which are contrary to the views put forward in our local neighbourhood plan. A plan which residents and the parish council have spent a lot of time establishing.</p> <p>We would like to express our personal views on these proposals:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposed areas identified in the council plan have previously been rejected by the village, and we have identified alternative suitable areas for housing in our neighbourhood plan. 2. The proposed site behind The Nashes in Clifford Chambers floods regularly. We appreciate that the developers think they can deal with this but given their track record for dealing with flooding, for example their recent site in Brailes, and our recent experiences, we do not believe that this is achievable. The field at the rear of The Nashes has flooded 3 times this winter, please see attached date stamped photos, which show the extent of the flooding and the length of time which elapsed was without any retreat in the water levels. 3. The proposal for up to 150 houses in this area represents a ludicrous and unnecessary overdevelopment of this village. There are large sites just a couple of miles down the road from Clifford Chambers where vast numbers of houses have been or are proposed to be built. Clifford Chambers is a historic village which is based around a single road and is fortunate to enjoy a very tight knit community. Building 150 houses will double the size of the village and destroy the unique character of this charming environment. 4. The proposed site will not be connected to the main village in any way, as access to the new properties will be directly off the Campden Road and as such will create a split community.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>This is totally out of keeping with our current village structure.</p> <p>5. The proposed entrance to the new development, off the Campden Road, is an accident waiting to happen. This is already a fast and dangerous road, which is designated a 'dangerous route to school' and as such school children are provided with a free bus pass in order to attend local schools less than 3 miles from their homes, which is the usual requirement for a free bus pass. The implementation of a roundabout at the entrance of the village will not slow traffic down sufficiently at the proposed site entrance.</p> <p>6. The traffic situation in the Clifford Chambers area has already become a major concern in the 12 years that we have lived here. Recent developments at Meon Vale and future development at Long Marston Airfield will make the B4632 excessively busy. Further development in Clifford Chambers will add to this, and the village will eventually become a suburb of Stratford. A roundabout has been proposed for access to Clifford Chambers, but this also will mean the removal of a valuable hedgerow which provides a screen for the village from the busy road. This must be reconsidered as it will have a detrimental effect on the houses immediately close to it, but also on the whole village, as the benefits from the sound screening improve life for all residents.</p> <p>7. The village is fortunate in having no street lighting resulting in a dark night sky which makes it a very desirable place to live for residents. A new development of any size will undoubtedly require street lighting which again will adversely alter the traditional nature of this village.</p> <p>8. There are suggestions that the allotments will be developed. Many of our villagers get a lot of satisfaction and maintain healthy lives by growing their own produce on these allotments and removing this resource would be detrimental to their wellbeing.</p> <p>We fully recognise the importance of creating housing to fulfil the needs of the population, but these proposals seem to be ill-judged and will create opposition from a village which is trying to help the local authorities produce a sensible and sustainable plan for future development.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 034	(Resident)	General	I am writing to provide my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process by which it was brought to this stage.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I completely support the Reserve Site within the Plan. This was chosen democratically and with the benefit of input from those with local knowledge of key concerns such as flooding and road safety. I would therefore object strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I understand there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given Local Green Space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. This site provides a huge benefit to the local community, not only to those with allotments but all who benefit from the presence of this wonderful, tranquil green space at the heart of our village. I therefore ask, that you please reject this call and see this as a move to develop this important site.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place goes against the wishes of us residents who believe it should retain its status. This land provides a noise barrier from the B4632 and clearly separates the village from the main road. This separation is important to maintaining a small village feel.</p> <p>Having lived in the village for the last five years, I have come to value the important characteristics that make this such a special place to live and that I believe are important for building the community feel. Large, community green spaces are so important as is the lack of large scale new development in a single area – sympathetic infill and small developments being preferred to maintain the character of the village.</p> <p>The high attendance at meetings during the creation of the Neighbourhood Plan, I think speaks volumes for the engagement that has gone into its creation and the passion the community have for both preserving what makes the village unique but also targeting development in such a way as to best move it forward into the future. I hope that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 035	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am sending this email to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with local knowledge, facts and historical information relating to the village, not least the flooding and road safety. For those reasons I would object most strongly to any of the proposed sites suggested by SDC in their site allocations plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written in to our village plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the Neighbourhood Plan, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope that you reject this proposal and see this as a move to develop a special site used regularly by so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the LGS from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place is in contradiction of what the residents wish to retain. This is not only an excellent place for local wildlife, flora and fauna but is also protection against the air and pollution which is ever increasing. I believe this should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.</p>
CC 036	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>I am writing to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage for your examination.</p> <p>Having lived in Clifford Chambers for twenty-four years I have confidence that those responsible for preparing the Neighbourhood Plan have considered the best options for future housing development and the preservation of the character of the village. I also feel that the Plan takes</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>into account areas that are subject to flooding several times a year when considering future housing development.</p> <p>I also consider that the village allotments should be designated as Local Green Space in the Neighbourhood Plan. The allotments serve as a valuable community asset with approximately fifteen allotments cultivated each year that generates a great community spirit.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will accept the wishes of the residents of Clifford Chambers and approve the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan as proposed.</p>
CC 037	(Resident)	General	<p>As residents of Clifford Chambers, we are supportive of the Neighbourhood Plan (NP) as drafted and are comfortable that it has been well prepared and considered, having been through a number of consultations and amendments over a number of years, and that all legal, local and environmental needs have been properly considered. We have actively participated in its preparation and consultation stages, responding twice to formal consultations and attending events and meetings arranged by the NP Steering Group. We commend the Plan to the Examiner, and we know empirically that it has broad support across the village and local community it is meant to serve.</p> <p>We support the identified Housing Reserve Site, shown at Figure 3 within the NP. For a host of proper planning considerations, it is by FAR the better site compared to the alternatives put forwards by others, in terms of its relationship with built form in the village, connectivity, village layout and historical development, character and on technical matters such as flooding. We would hate to see any one of the other sites put forward by others supplant the NP Reserve site, which was also by far the most popular amongst the local community and stands up on objective planning assessment. We are opposed to the other housing sites put forward by others, notably Spitfire (by Framptons) and Bletsoes re: land north side of the Campden Road. This village has the River Stour to the north east, and belts of land on that side of the village that regularly flood as a result of that, plus to the southwest land that regularly floods as a result of surface water, including wholly the land that Spitfire appear to be promoting. Decision makers should reasonably avoid allocating any land for housing that is known to flood, and such land</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>should NOT sit as a housing allocation (reserve or otherwise) in any forward planning document, at whatever spatial level.</p> <p>We support the comments made by the Parish Council in response to the Regulation 16 representations of third parties, which were published on the District Council’s website on 14th May 2020.</p> <p>However, reading for the first time on 14th May the submissions of others we are greatly alarmed at the submissions of the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cala Homes in respect of land, trees and landscaping at the entrance to our village 2. Stansgate for Alscot Estate re: the village allotments 3. Framptons for Spitfire Homes, in respect of land to the South of The Nashes 4. Bletsoes for land to north of the Chipping Campden Road. <p>Local Green Space designations Local Green Space 1 ‘Spinney opposite Orchard Place’</p> <p>This is an important green space and asset for the village, and on a number of levels, as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It acts as a visual and proximity (stand-off) buffer between the village and the Campden Road (the B4362) 2. It is a visual green break between the main road and village, and is essentially the frontage to the village 3. It acts as an acoustic barrier to the same 4. It acts as a buffer to light pollution from passing vehicles 5. It acts as a natural air pollution ‘filter’ from traffic fumes 6. It is important of itself for the flora and fauna it supports

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>It is clear that the Designation here accords with all 3 'tests' to paragraph 100 to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), February 2019. The designated space is close (it's integral in fact) to the community it serves; is demonstrably special to the community, not just the Orchard Place frontages, and that interest is shared by local interest groups such as Wild About Clifford (WAC), a local charity whose stated aim is <i>'to enhance the natural environment of Clifford village for the mutual benefit of people and wildlife'</i>. On the Charity Commission website WAC's aims are, <i>"To promote the conservation, protection and improvement of the physical and natural environment through both education and by promoting biological diversity. Primarily in the village of Clifford Chamber..."</i>. We know that WAC are (and the village) keen to see this designation stand and that it is important to the village as a whole. Lastly, the designation is local in character and could not be seen as an extensive tract of land. It is well-defined with obvious parameters.</p> <p>We support this Local Green Space designation, and can see that there is justification for that to be the case.</p> <p>Local Green Space 4 'The Allotments'</p> <p>The Village Allotments are incredibly important to the local community. And that is the position ordinarily. The recent and ongoing Covid-9 pandemic and lockdown has only shone a light on HOW important they are to the local community. We won't reiterate the recent comments of the Parish Council in full, but highlight that the following is the case, and that cumulatively this demonstrates that the designation of the allotments as a Local Green Space fulfils all 3no. criteria to paragraph 100, NPPF, and is fully justified:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are some 9 plots on the allotments but with sub-division there are c. 14no. plots 2. Approx. 25 + people tend the allotments. 3. The allotments variously provide important exercise, a hobby for some, fresh produce which is shared with a wider group, and flowers for the Church.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>4. The allotments are home to trees the subject of a broad TPO and the allotments perform an important local biodiversity function – being home to a broad spectrum of wildlife including birds (inc. swallows, woodpeckers and house martins), butterflies, bats, moths, hedgehogs, fungi etc.</p> <p>5. The allotments are integral to the community in spatial terms – being within the oak tree line to the southwest of the village, defining the village edge, and are accessed via Dighton Close, the recreation Ground (LGS3) and border the footpath amidst the oak tree line.</p> <p>6. The allotments are evidently ‘special’ to the local community for the above reasons</p> <p>7. From the allotments views are afforded out over the adjacent open countryside towards Martin’s Hill: with these views framed by the avenue of protected oak trees.</p> <p>8. The allotments represent a defined, small area of land within the auspice of the village</p> <p>We support this designation in the NP and would respectfully suggest that the LGS4 designation should clearly stand for the allotments, as all criteria to the NPPF are evidently met.</p> <p>Alternative Housing Reserve Sites</p> <p>Land to the south east and west of the Nashes (‘Spitfire’ site) The village and local community have identified a Reserve Housing Site, as identified within the NP: that is the land adjacent to Stour Field House and bounded by the Campden Road and properties in The Close to the east. That site does not flood; represents a natural completion of the village; will not harm village character or the established settlement pattern; has 2no. frontages, and relates to a site that is discreet and self-contained. We support the identified NP Reserve Housing Site.</p> <p>The site promoted by Spitfire, however, Rep no. CC 024, is known to flood, and regularly. At Annex 1 are the results of an Environment Agency search for the risk of surface water flooding across the village. It shows, clearly, that the site promoted by Spitfire is at HIGH RISK of flooding from surface water. As residents we know that the Environment Agency flood maps are</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>accurate and not guess work, as we see the evidence on the ground. At Annex 2 are 3no. images taken February 2020 showing the generality of the ‘Spitfire’ site (first two images) underwater, and the third image showing the remainder of their 6.5 ha site (presented to the village in August 2017). The first two images show the area at High risk of flooding on EA maps.</p> <p>NPPF, paragraph 155 states that, <i>“Inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk (whether existing or future).”</i> For this reason alone, the site promoted by Spitfire should be rejected, and should not sit as an allocation (reserve or otherwise) within the NP or the Stratford on Avon District Council’s (SDC) emerging Sites Allocation Plan (SAP).</p> <p>The risks are obvious, it would put future residents of the site at risk of flooding, but also risk displacing water on to surrounding properties that currently do not suffer from flooding. At Annex 3 are 2no. images taken from the BBC news website. They both relate to a site in Brailes, also SDC’s area. During the planning process the local community confirmed that the site suffered from flooding. The District Council approved a residential scheme on the site, we assume with appropriate mitigation proposed, and as predicted by the local community the site flooded in November 2019 as the houses neared completion. It was no surprise to the local community.</p> <p>Our community does not wish to see a repeat of this. As per NPPF policy, this site should be rejected on flooding grounds alone, notwithstanding that it also has other equally valid reasons to be rejected as an allocation, including those cited by the Parish Council and listed at Appendix A to their comments on the Regulation 16 representations, with which we concur.</p> <p>Briefly though, the site represents an illogical, contrived development beyond the strong tree line that defines the southern extent of the village: a position that goes back well before the inception of the modern Town and Country Planning Act of the 1940’s. This belt of some 64 oaks and 38 limes defines this strong and clear edge of settlement, and edge which is clearly visible from adjacent and surrounding public footpaths, including those on raised ground near Martin’s Hill that afford elevated views back down towards the village, when the line of trees can</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>resemble a natural, green ‘wall’ akin to that in the ‘Games of Thrones’ television series in terms of its scale and significance. Any transgression of this would be wholly out of character with the village settlement pattern and character, and also introduce harm by way of landscape impact. The site is also clearly visible and exposed from the Campden Road when travelling north with the village on your right and coming down a rise just after the garden centre.</p> <p>The tree belt is sacrosanct to the village and is considered one of its key defining features, within which development in the 1970s (Rainsford Close), 1990’s (Barn Close) and 2000’s (Dighton Close) have all successfully occurred. The village setting and character is hugely defined by the tree belt – any incursion beyond that would be significantly harmful to the same.</p> <p>On the tree belt, this is protected by a TPO of 1972 (Order no. 4/1972) itself now almost half a century old. The Order is attached for information. We suggest, to define the present position on the ground that this TPO belt (group) is clearly plotted on a graphic within the NP. We assume this could be done readily post-Examination. It extends along the entire southern edge of the village from the Manor House in the southeast and up to The Nashes in the northwest.</p> <p>One final point is on the matter of scale. The site promoted by Spitfire is comprised of contrived elements of two large fields, approximately 6.5 and 9 hectares in area respectively. In 2017 Spitfire presented to the village a masterplan covering an area of c. 7.7 ha (please refer to attached document ‘Spitfire Public Meeting Flyer 19 07 2017’). Their intentions are clear. What Spitfire presently propose is of itself unacceptable on a host of planning grounds – including flood risk, landscape and setting harm, character and settlement pattern harm. Even at c. 25 dwellings the initial proposals it is out of scale and proportion in terms of supply, with the village having 20 dwellings approved (and 15 built) within the first 9 years of the plan period (2011 to present) . It would be all but double the largest single development the village has seen in its history. Their current proposal is therefore at odds with the District Council’s policies on fair and reasonable dispersal to protect the character of rural settlements. The village is a Category 4 Local Service Village (LSV4), the lowest rung on the District’s settlement hierarchy, AND it only just makes that definition, scoring at the lowest end of the range. What would surely follow</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>would compound the issues already highlighted but be of an inordinate scale and so incongruous that Clifford Chambers as a settlement and community would lose its character and identity.</p> <p>We strongly object to the proposition of the Spitfire site being a NP reserve (or SAP) housing allocation, on strong planning grounds.</p> <p>Land to the north of Campden Road and adjacent to Rectory Farm Referring to Regulation 16 comment CC012 by Bletsoes, this site is wholly detached from the village, separated by the busy Campden Road. The Rectory Fold development to us is something of an anomaly itself and should not be repeated. They are both detached from the village and lack connectivity. They have no positive relationship with the village's character, setting or settlement pattern.</p> <p>We support the comments of the Parish Council in response to the Regulation 16 response of Bletsoes. The village has identified a sound Housing Reserve Site Allocation, which appears to have all but universal support about the village. We again comment that site, and the NP as a whole to the Examiner.</p> <p>The emerging Sites Allocation Plan (SAP) With reference to the Regulation 16 comments of Framptons (for Spitfire), CC024, and the District Council, CC027, we would confirm that the SAP is at a relatively early stage and is subject to significant objections. Its assessment on matters of landscape was 'light' and 'broad brush' as one would expect from a District-wide document and so it fails to have regard to the ACTUAL setting and character of our village (and others). The District's programme for the SAP is set out clearly in the attached Draft Local Development Scheme of SDC, April 2020, we would refer you to page 8. The draft SAP has now been endorsed by the Leader of the Council with a recommendation to Council that the revised LDS be adopted (source: SDC's website https://www.stratford.gov.uk/planning-building/local-development-scheme.cfm). Any discussion on the SAP is extremely premature, with stakeholder engagement and scoping consultation scheduled for later 2020; formal consultation a year from now (May 2021);</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>submission late 2021, and examination in 2022. This is notwithstanding that the current Covid-19 position will likely push this all back. The SAP therefore, MUST reasonably have little weight attached to it.</p> <p>With apologies for length, but the Regulation 16 comments of others only went live and in the public domain on 14th May 2020, and raised significant concern across the village community. We trust that you can have regard to this letter and annexes plus attachments to the email. In the true spirit of Localism, we hope that you consider it entirely correct for the community to find its voice, in support of its own NP.</p> <p>So in summary,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We support the NP 2. We support the NP reserve site 3. We are strongly opposed to the alternative reserve sites as proposed by third parties based upon material planning grounds 4. Implore you to consider the views of the local community who have only been made aware of the comments and proposals of the 'corporate' players mid-May 5. There should reasonably be little regard to the emerging SAP
CC 038	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>We have just received the general report outlining various intentions submitted by developers, which utterly disregard our Neighbourhood Plan with callous mockery. This Neighbourhood plan was composed by an extraordinarily competent and well informed committee, who had great experience and knowledge of the history, geography and social needs of our community, and who worked for years to achieve just the right balance for our village. Each and everyone of us was consulted many times, to get our consensus on the Plan. We are unanimous in believing this Plan would be accepted and respected. It is the Communal wish.</p> <p>How then can such sabotage be considered? The developers have submitted totally inappropriate plans for our village, disregarding many important details of the original proposal.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		<p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p>	<p>The ALLOTMENTS? is someone seriously intending to wipe those out?</p> <p>THE FLOODING? Not only are the fields mentioned prone to flooding, but they are also the route of fast flowing flood water from the hill above. Surely the history of The Somerset Levels, as well as more recently, the Brilles debacle are proof that you cannot hold back flood waters. No argument by developers that you can do so, is realistic or believable,</p> <p>The destroying of WILD AREAS in the village? Environmentally and aesthetically, ill considered.</p> <p>And ...most importantly..., The ROAD? Safety doesn't seem to be influencing anyone considering the enormous development planned by Spitfire. The B4632 is totally congested already, (perhaps not during Covid 19, but don't let that fool anyone.) Normally this road is under real pressure, and is seriously dangerous already. The entrance/exit of the site is scheduled to be sited on a most dangerous bend in this road. Please do not allow this travesty.</p> <p>We are extremely confident that you will safeguard these aspects and respect the wishes of the whole community to maintain the safety and traditional advantages of this small rural village.</p>
CC 039	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to confirm my support for Clifford Chambers & Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site that was chosen democratically by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding & road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written in to our plan.</p> <p>I note there is a proposal from Stangate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as a designation. I hope you reject this call as it is an extremely important site for the villagers and also the threat of development on the field across from it due to severe flooding problems.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees & undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna and protection against air & noise pollution. This road is dangerous due to the already large amount of constant traffic disregarding the fact it is a B road not designed to take the amount of usage it has. The constant noise pollution affects much further in to the village, not just Orchard Place. It certainly CANNOT take a ribbon development of housing along it without serious repercussions.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village by accepting the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 040	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>I am writing to confirm my full support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan. As you will know, the plan was formulated for and by the village as a whole and a great deal of thought, time and energy went into the making of this plan. It now seems to me that the wishes of the residents are in danger of being completely ignored by various bodies. With that in mind I have shown below two quotes that I would like to draw to your attention.</p> <p>From Prince Charles in 1992 :--</p> <p>'Misuse of land is threatening local climate, water flow and ecological stability over large areas and wasting irreplaceable assets of soil'.</p> <p>Theresa May, then Prime Minister, January 2018 :--</p> <p>'The environment is personal to each of us, but also something we collectively hold in trust for the next generation'.</p>
CC 041	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		<p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 042	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 043	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p>	<p>We write to confirm our support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan (NP), and the democratic process that has been undertaken to reach this stage of your examination.</p> <p>We particularly support the reserve site indicated in the NP, as elected during the process which is unlikely to need any additional access from the B4632, beyond that which already exists for Stour Field Close. We have misgivings and therefore objections to the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan (sites A, B & C) for various reasons, some of which are listed here;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the ever present risk and annual history of flooding caused by ‘run off’ from Martin’s Hill, affecting sites A & B. - Access to sites A & B will be at the point of a deceptively dangerous bend on a busy major road. Originally the A46, the B4632 as you will be aware, has a poor track record for road safety... Despite changes to Long Marston storage facilities, this route is still routinely used by HGV’s rarely sticking to their 40mph speed limit. The additional houses at Meon Vale has significantly increased the domestic traffic, which is constant and invariably fast despite a 50mph speed limit. 19 years ago I survived a collision and severe head injury, having been struck by a speeding van on the bend near to sites A & B as I was exiting our driveway. It is too dangerous to add another access to the B4632 at this point. - Site C, the field by Rectory Farm. Further development of this field will turn what is traditionally a cul-de-sac village with historically one or two properties on the other side of the old A46... into a village bisected by a busy and dangerous road... The recent development of Rectory Fold has clearly done this already to a degree, but it is a small development and so the numbers having to negotiate the B4632 to access village amenities is relatively small. Further housing here will

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>create a moderate-large development and expose more residents to the risks this road already presents. The proposed reserve site suggests only a small section of the field being developed, sadly if this happens, even if caveats/constraints are applied, it is unlikely to prevent future planners/builders further developing this area.</p> <p>With regard to the village allotments, there is much in the media around mental health offering knowledge about the benefits of this type of activity on mental wellbeing. From this perspective alone it must be beneficial to both village life and wider society to maintain the status quo here without risk to its future use.</p> <p>The removal of the island of trees in front of Orchard place and siting of a substantial roundabout would remove any barrier from the B4632 for a substantial number of properties. Likewise, this would not necessarily reduce vehicle speed, certainly of southbound traffic along the B4632, driver perception of a wider road at this point will just encourage drivers to use this stretch to pick up speed.</p> <p>Although there are no policy references or surveys to support these views, the views are given after having lived here for 20 years, experiencing the affect that both flooding and road safety has had on villagers during that time. Professional planners will use many references to support their proposals, but their interest is purely commercial. The benefits of the reserve site as outlined in the NP are that it uses existing access to the B4632 and future residents would not have to negotiate this dangerous road to access village amenities.</p>
CC 044	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>We write to confirm our support to the Clifford Chambers & Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>We have lived in the village for over 40 years and appreciate that some development will take place and indeed has already taken place over the years. The Reserve Site within the plan was carefully chosen as part of a democratic process and with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village not least of all flooding, road safety and respect for the landscape and environment. With these factors in mind we wish to strongly object to any further or alternative sites that may</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>be proposed by SDC in their Site Allocation Plan and subsequently promoted by developers. Such sites should not be written into the plan.</p> <p>The village has an area used for generations as allotments, they are an important asset and well used by the villagers. We are now encouraged to be more self-sufficient and so the loss of the allotments would be a tragedy. The plan quite correctly allocates this area as local green space (LGS). It must not be developed.</p>
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>At the entrance to the village there is a well-established mature belt of trees which protects the houses from the noise and air pollution from the busy main road (B4632), it is also a safety barrier for children living in that area and also using the bus stop for school and other buses. It has been allocated as local green space (LGS). It is important that this area is not removed for any road improvements and it should retain its designation.</p> <p>We hope that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as currently proposed.</p>
CC 045	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>We are writing to confirm our support to the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan that you are examining.</p>
		H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>We consider that the contents and chosen sites within the Plan fully represents the views of the residents of the Village. The Plan was developed following due process and based on local knowledge, environmental and Road Safety issues.</p>
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>The LGS designations within the report are extremely important to both the village and the residents and need to be fully safeguarded with any proposals to change the designations are rejected. We would also object to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocation Plan and subsequently promoted by developers being written into our Plan.</p> <p>We are hopeful that you will give our Plan due consideration and preserve the historical, recreational and beauty of this unique village.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 046	(Resident)	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>You will no doubt have received a considerable number of letters over the last week or so relating to the Clifford Chambers & Milcote Neighbourhood Pan, and I think that will emphasise the strong depth of feeling regarding some of the plans that have recently come to light.</p> <p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I fully support the Reserve Site within the NP that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. The notion that a planning authority would even consider building on an area known to flood so badly, coupled with a plethora of other valid reasons why this site is unsuitable for development, is absolutely absurd. • I strongly object to the sites proposed in SDC's SAP, for the reasons stated above. • Given the evidence which I have examined following the BBC reported flooding in Lower Brailes, Warwickshire, I am dubious about Spitfire's claims and indeed their ability to mitigate flooding issues. • I strongly object to the proposal by Stansgate consultants that the village allotments be rejected LGS designation and implore you, for the reasons stated above, to approve LGS designation for the allotments. • I strongly object to any proposals that the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place be rejected LGS designation and implore you, for the reasons stated above, to approve LGS designation for the 'island'. <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and support the Localism Act 2011 agenda envisioned by the government when strongly encouraging the concept of communities shaping their own plans for their neighbourhood. Sanctioning the NP as proposed would clearly demonstrate intent on your part to achieve both of the above objectives, whilst still championing the need to allow appropriate development within the District.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 047	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces NE4 – Maintaining ‘Dark Skies’	<p>We wish to confirm support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan (NP) and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>We fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of relevant historical matters, not least flooding and road safety. Hence we would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan. We also consider these sites could unnecessarily extend the village footprint, with a loss of cohesion and the strong sense of community which is so valuable.</p> <p>We note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments given local green space (LGS) within the NP should have this status removed. We hope you reject this call and see this as a move to permit development of what is a special site for so many villagers. The island of trees and undergrowth in front of Orchard Place is a vital barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna. It protects against air and traffic noise pollution. It is a significant feature for Orchard Place residents, indeed for the whole village and should retain its LGS designation as provided by the NP.</p> <p>Finally, we would endorse the NPs commitment to avoiding light pollution by a dark skies policy with no street lighting.</p> <p>We trust that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village by accepting the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 048	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and remind you of the long democratic process that was undertaken by the residents in order to future proof our village, protect our life’s work and the environment in which we live.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>have several famous ecologists and biologists in Clifford who have helped to preserve some of these special sites.</p> <p>The site that was selected for the Reserve allocation was the only one suitable taking into consideration the areas of the village that can be flooded, and the ever increasing dangerous volume of traffic on the B4632 road where huge juggernauts speed up to Long Marston. I have to object to the new sites proposed by Stratford District council in their Site Allocations Plan and which I now understand are at risk of development. None of these sites have been thought through or even suggested to villagers after months of work on this plan.</p>
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>Like every householder in this village we should be allowed to keep the green spaces, trees and flora and fauna not only for the wildlife but to help mitigate the increasing noise pollution caused by thousands of vehicles using the B4632 road as a result of the hundreds of houses built at Meon Vale.</p> <p>I trust that you will listen to the villagers and not be unfairly influenced by the size and might of developers like Spitfire.</p>
CC 050	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p>
		H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety.</p> <p>For these same reasons I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by the SDC in their site allocation plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>At this stage this is not a Neighbourhood plan it is a local plan for Clifford Chambers and Milcote written by Stratford-on-Avon District Council.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note there is a proposal from Stansgate that the Village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as LGS designation.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It retains this designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 051	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage for your examination.</p> <p>Having lived in Clifford Chambers for twenty-four years I have confidence that those responsible for preparing the Neighbourhood Plan have considered the best options for future housing development and the preservation of the character of the village. I also feel that the Plan takes into account areas that are subject to flooding several times a year when considering future housing development.</p> <p>I also consider that the village allotments should be designated as Local Green Space in the Neighbourhood Plan. The allotments serve as a valuable community asset with approximately fifteen allotments cultivated each year that generates a great community spirit.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will accept the wishes of the residents of Clifford Chambers and approve the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan as proposed.</p>
CC 052	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>First we would like to apologise for the late submission of this email but hope you will take it into account. We write to express our concerns about aspects of the Neighbourhood Site Allocations Plan for Clifford Chambers and particularly The Nashes site which has been identified as the 'Reserve Site' .</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>Michael Drayton, close friend of William Shakespeare, visited Lady Rainsford at Clifford Manor and he would have no doubt been aware that her husband Henry was being treated by Dr John Hall, Shakespeare’s son in law. He could not have been aware that one day residents of this historical village would fear losing the very nature of the village they cherished.</p> <p>When villagers became aware that a local landowner and builder were working together to create a substantial housing development on a field known as Nashes, the meeting called to discuss the development filled the Village Hall to overflowing. The atmosphere was electric and a mood of annoyance, disbelief and uncertainty pervaded.</p> <p>Along the way several meetings chaired by the Parish Council chairman subsequently produced a comprehensive document outlining the suggested Neighbourhood Plan taking into account planning concerns. The village was required to submit suggestions for possible building plots. The option for ‘no build’ was not allowed. Nobody objected to small ‘infills’ in large gardens or small plots of land. It was somewhat surprising when we learned the larger Nashes site had been identified as the Reserve Site. We are not sure how this decision gained fruition.</p> <p>We believe this site is unsuitable for the following reasons: -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 The impact on a small historical village is disproportionate. 2 The site has suffered from severe flooding over a long period of time. Latest flooding 2020. Photographs of swans swimming on the field are available. The long term weather forecast is for increased rain and flooding which will exacerbate the problem. The land is relatively low lying with a steep hill behind it and has been in consistent agricultural use 3 The area supports a wide range of wild life. We have seen bats, deer and foxes. A bat group in the village reports on bat sightings and produces bat boxes to enhance survival of this protected species 4 The site would result in the emergence of a large number of vehicles onto an already busy and quite dangerous road not helped by a deceptive bend on the village approach. We have personally dealt with severe accidents there.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>5 In order to gain road access to the land a road, close to a dangerous bend would need to be driven across another field to get to the Nashes site. This would border residents immediately adjacent to it.</p> <p>6 There is a huge building development just up the road at Long Marston and another development would be overkill for this area.</p> <p>7 Building has already taken place in line with local government requirements. If more building is deemed necessary, there are more suitable plots that allow the nature of the village to be less affected.</p> <p>It has recently been brought to our attention that the village allotments could possibly be subject to change of status and that the island trees in front of Orchard Place could be subject to removal. We are surprised if this is the case and wish to show our strong objection to such actions. It will cause unnecessary upset to villagers who gain much useful pleasure from their allotment. The island trees serve as a barrier against noise pollution and act as a small wildlife reservation.</p> <p>Shakespeare would have regarded Clifford as a ‘blessed plot’, a ‘jewel’ set, not in a sea but in rural verdant English countryside. It adjoins the town of Shakespeare’s birth. Tourism is a major player here and preservation of the surrounding countryside is part of what visitors from all over the world wish to experience.</p> <p>We ask you to consider the circumstances that Clifford presents, both practical and emotive. If more building is deemed necessary, it be done with minimum impact on those who live here and those who wish to visit. There are more suitable sites. We also believe that a totally independent enquiry is appropriate re flooding concerns.</p>
CC 053	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>I have been actively involved in the NP process since moving to Clifford Chambers in 2016 and have responded to both the NP and Stratford District Council (SDC) Site Allocations Plan consultations within the respective timeframes.</p> <p>I get it. I get that the country (and therefore the District) needs to facilitate development to keep up with the constant demand for properties.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		<p>H2 – Local Housing Need / LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>Am I being ‘Nimby’ (Not in my back yard) with my concerns? Undoubtedly, but wouldn’t you be? We’re all human. Beyond that Nimbyism though, there’s a true question of appropriateness here, and a real opportunity for our historic village to play its part in helping SDC meet it’s ‘targets’, beyond that already contributed (by having adding c.20 houses to a small village in the past 9 years) without ruining the character and quiet enjoyment of a charming village.</p> <p>All it takes is a sensible approach and adopting the NP as proposed. Specifically; approving the proposed Reserve Site and designating the well-used and well-maintained allotments and the island at the front of Orchard Place as Local Green Spaces (LGS).</p> <p>It would be sacrilegious to put the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place at risk of being removed, from a wildlife, flora and fauna and protection against air and noise pollution perspective. It would, in fact, be utterly crazy and seriously impact the physical and mental well-being of those living in Orchard Place and beyond, not to mention a huge detrimental impact to property prices within that part of the village. Similarly, not designating the allotments as a LGS would be a flagrant step in favor of leaving them wide open to being built on in the future.</p> <p>We all know that developers will go to great lengths to show plans to mitigate issues such as flooding (particularly of relevance in this village given past and recent history) and road safety, but we also know that best laid claims are not guaranteed – just take the example of the Spitfire development in Brailes as one of many recent examples. Why risk that when there’s an obvious, appropriate, democratically selected and supported alternative??</p> <p>I understand that a significant number of residents have bombarded you with similarly toned letters over the past few days. I apologise for the inevitable impact this has had on your workload. Rest assured that it is as frustrating to me as it no doubt is to you that it has taken until now to galvanize some residents to sharing their views. I believe there are a number of reasons for this:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The threat of significant development and approach of developers has been continually played down and some details allegedly and actively omitted/not shared in a timely manner by the Parish Council.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>2. The process by which to make representations during the consultation period(s), not least the 'form', is arduous at best and simply off-putting at worst. Some residents simply 'gave up' on trying to understand how to answer the difficultly worded questions and enormity of the task. Poor excuse, but true I'm afraid.</p> <p>3. The representations have only just (last week) become public and visibility of proposals by some third parties, particular those of Spitfire and Stansgate Consultants, has meant that only now is the true intention and proposals of third parties understood. Residents have therefore reacted accordingly and timely to the latest information.</p> <p>In summary:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I fully support the Reserve Site within the NP that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. The notion that a planning authority would even consider building on an area known to flood so badly, coupled with a plethora of other valid reasons why this site is unsuitable for development, is absolutely absurd. • I strongly object to the sites proposed in SDC's SAP, for the reasons stated above. • Given the evidence presented (see attachments), I am more than skeptical about Spitfire's claims and ability to mitigate flooding issues. • I strongly object to the proposal by Stansgate consultants that the village allotments be rejected LGS designation and implore you, for the reasons stated above, to approve LGS designation for the allotments. • I strongly object to any proposals that the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place be rejected LGS designation and implore you, for the reasons stated above, to approve LGS designation for the 'island'. <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and support the Localism Act 2011 agenda envisioned by the government when strongly encouraging the concept of communities shaping their own plans for their neighbourhood. Sanctioning the NP as proposed would clearly</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			demonstrate intent on your part to achieve both of the above objectives, whilst still championing the need to allow appropriate development within the District.
CC 054	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by the SDC in their site allocation plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note there is a proposal from Stansgate that the Village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It retains this designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 055	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the reserve site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by the in the knowledge of historical matters relating to the village not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object very strongly to any of the site proposed by SDC in their site allocations plan and subsequently promote by developers being written into our plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given the local green space status with in the Neighbourhood plan be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the LGS from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of orchard place again flies in the face of the villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna protection against air and noise pollution.it should retain its designation.</p> <p>I hope you will safe guard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scientific aspects of the village and doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 056	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p>	<p>We are writing to support the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan as it has been submitted to you. As village residents we were fully involved in the local meetings that led up to the development and submission of the plan and the thinking behind the proposals.</p> <p>In particular, we object strongly to not giving the allotments Local Green Space status. In view of the COVID 19 epidemic, green spaces and gardening have become vital means for maintaining mental health and any development on the allotments would be potentially disastrous to many in the village.</p> <p>We fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan; it was chosen democratically by those with knowledge of historical matters within the village, especially flooding and road safety. For these same reasons we strongly object to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocation Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>We further object to the proposal to remove the Local Green Space status from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place. This serves as a haven for wildlife, both flora and fauna, protects against air and noise pollution, and acts as a barrier from the B4632. It should retain its designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		NE4 – Maintaining ‘Dark Skies’	We also object to any proposal to install street lights in the village. As an amateur astronomer whose viewing station overlooks the village, any increase in light pollution would be very detrimental. Dark skies are important!
CC 057	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety.</p> <p>For these same reasons I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by the SDC in their site allocation plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note there is a proposal from Stansgate that the Village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as LGS designation.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It retains this designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC058	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>It is excellent that our Community’s NP has reached the examination stage after so much work by the NP team - years of hard work - and I am pleased that even in these strange times we find ourselves, you are able to undertake this examination.</p> <p>I am writing to you to confirm my support, given readily throughout the process, to the content of the final NP document. The document you have has been democratically reached: it is a shining example of the concept of ‘localism’ that was sought by our politicians when they came up with the idea that communities should be allowed to present their own plans for their neighbourhood.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>pollution and is a haven for wildlife, flora and fauna. To remove it would be perverse and I hope you reject this call to remove its status and ensure it retains its LGS designation.</p> <p>I do hope you are able to accept our Neighbourhood Plan as proposed.</p>
CC 059	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need /LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>The neighbourhood plan for Clifford Chambers and Milcote is the fruit of work by a steering committee set up by the Parish Council in August 2015. Observing provisions in the Localism Act 2011 the Plan has been informed every step of the way by the wishes of the inhabitants of Clifford Chambers and Milcote. Much was already known about the considered preferences of residents from a Parish Appraisal in 2002, and one of the first steps undertaken by the NP committee was a Housing Needs survey in 2016. A Neighbourhood Development Plan Questionnaire followed in 2017.</p> <p>Covering the period from 2011 – 2031, the NP’s intention is to value, protect and promote this unique and historical village by respecting both the built and natural heritage. An essential element in fulfilling this is to protect important landmarks, particularly Martin’s Hill and the rising ground to the northwest of the village towards Milcote and Stratford. Any proposal to develop the fields running parallel to the village street along what is known as The Nashes, would destroy that skyline from houses in the village. This would become doubly under threat were the allotments not to be granted Local Green Space status.</p> <p>The historic separate identity of the settlements in our surrounding district is essential and the village should not be allowed to “sprawl” by encouraging ribbon development along the Welford Lane. Existing development respects the footprint of previous old buildings and should stop there.</p> <p>The removal of the trees and bushes would take away protection to houses on what is at present a busy main road and, unless an entirely new route is designated for the B4632 (as should be the case), is likely to become even busier. The village community takes active steps to protect wildlife and within the village has enshrined these concerns with wildlife corridors for hedgehogs, foxes and so on. Removing this small coppice would be disastrous to this.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I therefore confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
CC 060	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by the SDC in their site allocation plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note there is a proposal from Stansgate that the Village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It retains this designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 061	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by the SDC in their site allocation plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note there is a proposal from Stansgate that the Village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers and for future generations to enjoy such as my young family.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It retain this designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 062	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by the SDC in their site allocation plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note there is a proposal from Stansgate that the Village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It retains this designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 063	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by the SDC in their site allocation plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note there is a proposal from Stansgate that the Village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, to be removed as LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It retains this designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 064	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers. It is very much the lungs of the village and a very important social hub across all villagers. To do so would be taking the heart out of the village.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 065	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution.</p> <p>Please accept the proposed Neighbourhood plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 066	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their site allocations plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	The Proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place goes against the wishes of the immediate residents of Orchard Place who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation. I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the Neighbourhood Plan as proposed.
CC 067	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their site allocations plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this Call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers. The Proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place goes against the wishes of the immediate residents of Orchard Place who

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.
CC 068	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p> <p>Finally, I believe it's very important to keep Clifford Chambers with its close proximity to Stratford upon Avon as a typical Warwickshire village with it's all important water meadows that have protected Clifford Chambers from serious flooding in the past.</p> <p>Developers have short memories and tell lies but I am sure you know this from past experiences.</p>
CC 069	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 070	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 071	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I am a long-term resident of the village and a major investor in Stratford and Warwickshire, where I choose to headquarter my International Group which is the largest employer in the region. I have a concern and regard for the maintenance and preservation of our county and district and have in the past served as Chair of the Local Enterprise Partnership for Coventry & Warwickshire, and engage in extensive charitable support to numerous institutions and causes in our area.</p> <p>Clifford Chambers is a classic case for a village which deserves thought and care to conserve its setting and place our county. A fair and reasonable contribution to housing plans is a factor but should not be at the expense of the village. I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan which was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters related to the village, with particular regard to flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed to Stratford District Council in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers and landowners being written into our plan.</p> <p>A likely future pending application is to develop part of the grounds of the Grade II Star listed former Vicarage adjacent to our Norman Church. The proposal is to construct up to 9 small residences on a tight plot. This area is surrounded and adjacent to no less than four Grade II Star listed buildings, and together with the Church and adjacent courtyard of small dwellings provide a perfect setting for a period village. There is no merit in this proposal, which incidentally also sits inside a flood plain which has been ultra-active this year and presents a danger to the village</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>through introducing additional parked traffic with difficulty for emergency vehicle access to the far end of the village. This application should be firmly denied.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the local green space (LGS) from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place is against the wishes of the villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 as a haven for wildlife, flora and fauna, and for protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain this designation.</p>
CC 072	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>We are writing to you to confirm our support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>We fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. With regard to the flooding aspect we can speak from experience. For these reasons we would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>We note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS Designation. We do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers and in these present times have given a lot of villagers a safe place to get their exercise and peace of mind.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution as this road is significantly busier with all the development at Long Marston. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>We are hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 073	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 074	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 075	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the clifford chambers and milcote neighbourhood plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the reserve site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, be removed as. LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the local green space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front orchard place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the b4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 076	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I write as a resident of Clifford Chambers for 36 years, a long-time former Parish Councillor for Clifford Chambers and Milcote and the Parish Council's Chairman for seven years. It was under my leadership that the Neighbourhood Plan was initiated. It exemplified exactly what central government intended under the Localism Act 2011 - local democracy at its best with a legally underwritten voice given to local people - and the plan as it now exists is the result of countless hours of meetings, discussions and public consultations over several years. It expresses most clearly the needs and wishes of the residents of this village.</p> <p>However, I am now deeply troubled by recent developments, most especially the proposals by developers and Stratford District Council (SDC) that nakedly and unashamedly seek to overturn or ignore the village's democratically developed aspirations. SDC's response smacks unpleasantly of a 'big brother' / 'we know what is best for you' attitude at its most insidious.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site identified within the Plan. Like the other Plan components, it was chosen democratically by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least of the areas susceptible to flooding and aspects of road safety. For these same reasons I object most strongly to the notion that any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, should be written into our Plan. These are not the wishes of the residents and it would fly in the face of the democratic process to have such matters imposed upon them.</p> <p>I note with great concern that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments which are given local green space (LGS) status within the NP, should be removed as a LGS designation. I urge you to reject this call and to recognise the allotments site designation as a LGS in order to safeguard this special place for the continued use of many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the LGS status from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of the wishes of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 as a haven for wildlife, flora and fauna, and most importantly to provide protection against air and noise pollution. It should most definitely retain its designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I hope therefore that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of our village, recognise and respect the NP as embodying the deeply committed views and wishes of the residents and in doing so accept the plan as proposed.
CC 077	(Resident)	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>We moved to Clifford Chambers just over two years ago and became aware of the tremendous work being done to democratically produce a document which retains the essence of this village while recognising no-one can be blind to future economic and social needs. The CCMNP produced has our full support.</p> <p>The Reserve Site detailed in the Plan was chosen by an open, democratic process aligned to logical analysis of its position and characteristics. It is actually the land immediately adjacent to our new build property and, should the need ever arise, seems an eminently suitable location for a small development in keeping with this village. The fact that the SDC Site Allocations Plan totally ignores the CCMNP seems undemocratic and wrong in the extreme. The sites being proposed are the wrong ones for all the reasons the Parish Council has outlined. We do not support the SDC Site Allocations Plan proposals.</p> <p>We understand Stansgate Planning propose the village allotments be removed from Local Green Space status. We cannot support this notion. The allotments are a hub of activity for many residents, never more so than in the present situation. They are well used and maintained.</p> <p>Similarly, we cannot support the proposal to remove the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place from Local Green Space designation. This island provides an essential barrier for residents from what is becoming an increasingly noisy, busy and presumably polluting road.</p> <p>I urge all those involved to accept the democratic process used to create the CCMNP and ensure the historic, recreational and scenic aspects of the village are retained while still providing suitable growth potential.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 078	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am a resident of Clifford Chambers and have supported of our NDP from its inception.</p> <p>As the examiner I am keen to stress to you my support for the reserve site as chosen democratically by our community and would ask you to honour our wishes. I would object vociferously to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocation Plan that already has Spitfire developments salivating over in anticipation.</p> <p>The same could be said of the allotments that as a community we have wished designated LGS status and is now threatened by Stansgate.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the green space on the main road (B4632) that protects the village from noise and air pollution is equally abhorrent to the wishes as expressed in our NDP.</p> <p>I hope you will accept these wishes and the sound reasons behind them, historic, scenic and of significant health benefits to our community as you carry out your task</p>
CC 079	<i>(Resident)</i>	H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am afraid I missed the consultation period earlier this year so I am taking this opportunity to say that I support our neighbourhood plan and also the reserve site within the plan. This was chosen by village residents taking into account major flooding concerns and road safety. I would object strongly to other sites proposed by SDC and promoted by developers being written into our plan. National planning policy guidance says it seeks to encourage villages to grow and thrive in order to enhance and maintain the vitality of rural communities which is all very well except that all developers are interested in is making money.</p> <p>I would also hope that the village allotments are protected from development with a Local Green Space designation along with the island of trees at the front of Orchard Place. I hope you will help us the important and valuable aspects of the village and accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 080	Wild About Clifford	General	Wild About Clifford (WAC) is a charity based in Clifford Chambers. WAC's main purpose is to promote for the benefit of the public the conservation, protection and improvement of the

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		<p data-bbox="551 571 869 603">H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p data-bbox="551 738 869 810">LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p data-bbox="880 284 1989 347">physical and natural environment by promoting biological diversity primarily in the village of Clifford Chambers, alongside education in the same.</p> <p data-bbox="880 395 1989 531">I am writing to you, in my capacity as Chair of the Trustees, on behalf of our 50 members and over 80 followers and volunteers, to confirm WAC’s support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p data-bbox="880 571 2033 707">I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p data-bbox="880 746 2022 882">The local green spaces (LGS) that have been identified in the Plan are crucial to the physical and mental health of villagers and to the wildlife in the village. In the NP consultation these factors were rated by the vast majority as ‘very important’ and more important than virtually everything else in village life.</p> <p data-bbox="880 930 2033 1209">I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a site special for so many villagers. It is also a premier site for wildlife in the village, a habitat and food source for numerous mammals (including badgers, foxes, hedgehogs, wood mice, field voles and five species of bats), birds (including sparrow hawks, house martins, swallows, swifts, red kites, green and spotted woodpeckers and barn owls) and butterflies and moths including up to 70 species of the latter. Some of the trees are over 100 years old and cannot be replaced.</p> <p data-bbox="880 1257 2022 1393">The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational, biodiverse and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 081	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the Plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For these same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>The local green spaces (LGS) that have been identified in the Plan are crucial to the physical and mental health of villagers and to the wildlife in the village. In the NP consultation these factors were rated by the vast majority as ‘very important’ and more important than virtually everything else in village life.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a site special for so many villagers. At least 40 villagers benefit directly from working the allotments and enjoying the fruits of their labour and many, many more benefit from the views from within and across them. It is also a premier site for wildlife in the village, a habitat and food source for numerous mammals (including badgers, foxes, hedgehogs, wood mice, field voles and five species of bats), birds (including sparrow hawks, house martins, swallows, swifts, red kites, green and spotted woodpeckers and barn owls) and butterflies and moths including up to 70 species of the latter. Some of the trees are over 100 years old and cannot be replaced.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain this barrier from the</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>B4632 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational, biodiverse and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 082	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>In addition, I understand that there has been a proposal to introduce street lighting, which is strongly against the wishes of all villagers.</p> <p>Lastly, I have heard that a developer has revealed their intention to submit plans to build a large development adjacent to The Nashes. This proposal will be strongly opposed by all Clifford Chambers residents and I hope you will support our village in this matter.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 083	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 084	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 085	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 086	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers. The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation. I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 087	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 088	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 089	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 090	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 091	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 092	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>Please, please, please don't allow any developers to ruin our beautiful village. It has taken 100s of years to get it the way it is. It could so easily be wrecked by development</p>
CC 093	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 094	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 095	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 096	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 097	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 098	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 099	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 100	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 101	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 102	<i>(Resident)</i>	General	I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 103	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
CC 104	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 105	<i>(Resident)</i>	General H2 – Local Housing Need	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	<p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p> <p>I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.</p>
CC 106	<i>(Resident)</i>	<p>General</p> <p>H2 – Local Housing Need</p> <p>LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces</p>	<p>I am writing to you to confirm my support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination.</p> <p>I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan that was chosen democratically and by those with knowledge of historical matters relating to the village, not least flooding and road safety. For the same reasons I would object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and subsequently promoted by developers, being written into our Plan.</p> <p>I note that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotments, given local green space status within the NP, be removed as a LGS designation. I do hope you reject this call and see this as a move to develop a special site for so many villagers.</p> <p>The proposal to remove the Local Green Space from the island of trees and undergrowth at the front of Orchard Place again flies in the face of villagers who wish to retain the barrier from the B4633 for wildlife, flora and fauna, and protection against air and noise pollution. It should retain its designation.</p>

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			I am hopeful that you will safeguard the preservation of the most important historical, recreational and scenic aspects of the village and in doing so accept the NP as proposed.
CC 107	(Resident)	General	I write to you to confirm my absolute support for the Clifford Chambers and Milcote Neighbourhood Plan and the fair, due and lawful process that was undertaken to bring it to the stage of your examination, and I ask you to accept it as proposed.
		H2 – Local Housing Need	I fully support the Reserve Site within the plan, which was chosen democratically by the village residents who have first-hand knowledge of historical matters relating to our village, particularly in regard to the matters of flooding and road safety. It is for these reasons, and indeed a host of others, that I object most strongly to any of the sites proposed by SDC in their Site Allocations Plan and the subsequent promotion by developers being written into our Plan.
		LC2 – Designated Local Green Spaces	I note specifically that there is a proposal from Stansgate that the village allotment site, which has been given local green space (LGS) within the NP, has the LGS designation removed. I sincerely hope that you see this as nothing more than yet another excuse to allow eventual development on what is a site of significant importance, and has been for a very long time, for many villages not only for the organic produce grown, but for their physical and mental wellbeing, too. Again, the proposal to remove LGS designation from the trees and natural greenery to the front (NW) of the properties in Orchard Place is a blow to villagers whose chose, once again democratically and lawfully, to retain this land not only as a place for wildlife, flora and fauna but as a barrier from the B4633 which has over the years become a thunderous torrent of never ending fast moving traffic, a high percentage of which is formed of HGVs. Believe me when I say that if you lived in Orchard Place, you would be fighting with everything you had to retain this LGS!
		General	I first moved into this village some thirty years ago, and after a little time away, have lived and raised my family here for twenty two years, and I am still considered one of the newcomers! Some have lived in this village all their lives, as their families have done so for generations. Some

Rep.No.	Name	Policy/Section	Representation
			<p>were born here, married in the church here, spent their whole lives here and are buried in the churchyard. Although many say it, this really is a special village and a special community. It is one filled with local history and buildings of national heritage and architectural interest – including grade one and two “star” listed buildings.</p> <p>Over the years the village has received a barrage of proposals for large scale change and development and yet we still continue to fight to preserve our little piece of England. We are not weary and we will continue to fight with everything we have!</p> <p>With respect Ms Brooke-Smith, this is a weighty matter that lies in your hands and your decisions could alter this village and those who live within it forever and beyond all recognition. I would kindly ask you to take your “work hat” off, and consider what is at stake beyond mere material planning issues. I would ask you to visit our village, look at the historical buildings, speak with the people who live here, see the children playing freely – whose parents are safe in the knowledge that they are being looked out for, experience the quietness of a village with no through road, and experience that village on a clear night where the absence of street lights allows for a magical night sky. Look at this village through the eyes of those who are fortunate enough to call this place home. Only when you have done this will you be able to make a fair and balanced decision.</p> <p>I am putting my trust in you to preserve and safeguard everything this unique village offers the residents, the local area, the nation and future generations.</p>