

APPENDIX 1:

Details of pre-plan consultations, including questionnaires, open days and responses

- Neighbourhood Plan Committee - Terms of Reference
- Snipe Magazine articles / publicity
- Consultations – Questionnaires:
 - Residential
 - Questionnaire
 - Covering letter
 - Summary of responses and analysis
 - Businesses
 - Questionnaire
 - Covering letter
 - List of businesses consulted
 - Summary of responses and analysis
 - Organisations & Clubs
 - Questionnaire
 - Covering letter
 - List of organisations and clubs consulted
 - Summary of responses and analysis
- Consultations Open Days:
 - Publicity /posters
 - Presentation material
 - Summary of feedback, responses and analysis

Terms of Reference for the Neighbourhood Plan Committee

It is the wish of the Parish Council that a Neighbourhood Plan should be prepared for the Neighbourhood Area defined by the boundary of Snitterfield Parish.

A Neighbourhood Plan Committee is to be set up to formulate the Neighbourhood Plan on behalf of the Parish Council

1. Role of the Neighbourhood Plan Committee:

- 1.1. The Committee will be responsible for the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan.
- 1.2. The Committee will produce the Project Plan which will outline the stages and tasks to be undertaken, together with the timings for their completion and the expected deliverables. It will undertake a regular review of the Project Plan.
- 1.3. There will be an ongoing analysis of the knowledge, skills and resources required to progress the Project Plan; separate workgroups may be set up by the Committee as appropriate to meet the objectives of the Project Plan.
- 1.4. The Committee will ensure the involvement of the wider community throughout the preparation of the Draft Neighbourhood Plan, in identifying the issues, and developing objectives and options.
- 1.5. The Committee will arrange the consultation with the wider community on the Draft Neighbourhood Plan
- 1.6. The Committee will provide regular reports to the Parish Council, on the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan and extent of community involvement.
- 1.7. The Parish Council will approve and submit all the required formal Neighbourhood Plan applications or proposals to the Local Authority.

2. Committee Membership and Administration:

- 2.1. The Committee will have a minimum of six members, and at least two will be Parish Councillors, but the total number of members will be decided by the Committee and can be reviewed by it at any time
- 2.2. The Chairman and Secretary will be elected by the Committee from within its members.

Meetings:

- 2.3. The Committee will determine an appropriate schedule for its meetings; Minutes will be produced and made available for viewing by the public.
- 2.4. If either the Chairman or Secretary is absent from a meeting, the members present will elect one of their number to stand in as appropriate.
- 2.5. A quorum will not be less than half the number of Committee members.

Finance:

- 2.6. The Committee will keep budget and financial records for all expenditure and income in respect of the Neighbourhood Plan Project

2.7. All applications for funding, or expenditure will follow the normal Parish Council authorisation procedures.

The Terms of Reference for the Neighbourhood Plan Committee can be subject to review by the Parish Council at any time, including at the request of the Committee.

Sit Back or Speak Out?

We hear a lot about the Government's plans for the "Big Society and Localism" but what does it mean to you and me?

I thought the idea of localism was to let the people decide upon the future of their community. However, I feel we may have been


with the loss of a pub, a post office and medical facilities.

Snitterfield residents contributed to the Village Design Statement in 2000 and Parish Plan in 2006, to be used as a "supplementary planning guidance note" for the local authority, but I feel

sure our views are known to the local authorities by preparing a new Neighbourhood Framework Plan.

Over the coming 15 years we will see another increase in the number of dwellings in the village. Some of these will be near to you! Just think about the impact of another 60 to 70 houses on the infrastructure of the Parish – increased flood risk, sewer limitations, schooling, increased traffic, parking problems and so on. The Parish Council has already received a presentation from a developer wishing to build nine to ten houses on greenbelt land in the village. I think this is the start of many development schemes within our village.

Map showing Snitterfield's conservation area (in blue), first published in the Village Design Statement of 2000



The Parish Council has had a presentation from a developer who wishes to build nine to ten houses on greenbelt land

The Parish needs you to be involved in controlling new expansion. The task is enormous. A committee has been set up to work on the Snitterfield Neighbourhood Framework Plan and questionnaires will be sent out to residents early this year to enable you to be part of the plan's drafting and adoption. We also urgently need volunteers to distribute and collect the questionnaires, so please contact me if you can help.

It is your village so fight for it!
Gerry Stammers
geraldstammers@btinternet.com

misled. A thousand people signed petitions and over 200 wrote letters of objection to inappropriate local developments, but the local authority disregarded these views and carried on making decisions about our parish to suit their overall objectives set out in the Stratford-on-Avon District Local Plan.


few of the recommendations in these publications have been achieved. Now we are faced with a new 15-year plan in the form of the Stratford-on-Avon Local Development Framework (2011-2026), which replaces the current Local Plan. It is, therefore, vital that we update our Parish Plan to make

During the last 15 years our village has grown by over ten per cent, with 50 new dwellings

This Local Plan sets out the Council's policies and proposals for the development and use of land for the period up to 2011. It is used as a basis for considering all planning applications, including those for new housing, industrial and commercial development. During the last 15 years of the Plan (1996-2011) our village has grown by over ten per cent, with 50 new dwellings in the village itself. We have also seen our services and local facilities decline

Of course it's not you!

- Car zooms down the hill into the village, past the shop, oblivious to kids on bikes, parked cars, and speed humps – of course it's not you.
 - Car parked on the curb blocking pedestrians – of course it's not you.
 - Car parked ludicrously close to the crossroads near the school – of course it's not you.
 - Car parked on the double yellow lines in front of the nursery – of course it's not you.
 - Car that blocks private drives – of course it's not you.
 - Car that turns around on private drives – of course it's not you.
- Let's hope it's not you or someone you love who gets hit by an inconsiderate driver.



Snitterfield resident

If you have something to get off your chest regarding the village please email (marked Sniper's Corner) to info@sallyhopkinson.co.uk. Names and addresses must be included but will be withheld from publication upon request.

What's On

Snitterfield WI

Snitterfield WI is celebrating its 80th birthday this year and we're going to put on an Arts and Crafts Exhibition in the Village Hall on Sunday 1 September, 10.30-4pm. It will have demonstrations, things for the kids to do and, of course, tea and cakes.

Snitterfield Garden Club

7 May 'Secrets of Good Garden Photography' by James Kerr. An evening presentation in the Village Hall by a well-known professional photographer whose work is illustrated in high class books.

4 June An evening visit to Chivel Farm at Heythrop. This is a most beautiful garden, well worth a visit.

19 June Trip to two glorious Northampton gardens at Coton Manor and Cottesbrooke Hall, leaving at 9.30am, returning for 6.30pm. Cost £15 for coach and entrance to Coton Manor plus extra for Cottesbrooke, depending on RHS or HHA membership.

2 July Celebration Supper at the Village Hall, celebrating 20 years of Garden Club. Background music by Retrojazz. Tickets £12.50, bring your own wine. Non members are welcome to any of these meetings £4 or visits (see cost).

Parish Council Meetings

On the second Monday in the month, unless changed by the Council. See noticeboard on Smith's Lane.

Snitterfield Actioning Climate Change

SACC meetings first Thursday each month in Village Hall, 8.00pm. Check www.snitterfieldacc.org for changes

Pilates Classes


If you are interested in joining a new Pilates Class at Snitterfield Village Hall on Fridays 9.30am to 10.30am please contact Helen on 01584 628264 or email: helen@eggington.com.

Available for hire
SNITTERFIELD Village Hall

Situated in the heart of the village, the hall is the ideal place to hold meetings, events, parties and family gatherings.

The facilities include: main hall, meeting room, kitchen, toilets, electric piano, stage and microphone.

For information, rates and booking please telephone 07501416221/ 01789 730514 email smcoombes@btinternet.com



Correction

A letter printed in Issue 31 paying tribute to Gerry Stammers, who recently stood down from the Parish Council, stated incorrectly that he had served on the council for 15 years. We have been asked to point out that apart from two years off, he has, in fact, served for 24 years. Our apologies Gerry and thank you for all your hard work in the village.



As part of our Eco Schools programme and to raise funds, Snitterfield School now has a clothes recycling bin. It will take clean, wearable clothing and shoes (attached in pairs), handbags and belts, sheets, curtains and towels. Please place items in supermarket type bags with the handles tied in the bin at school. The school also has a scheme to recycle printer cartridges, DVDs and computer games in original boxes.


Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan Questionnaire

Hopefully you will have received a neighbourhood plan questionnaire through your letterbox by now. It is important for the Parish Council to understand everyone's wishes for the future of our neighbourhood. This is your opportunity to express your point of view about what is important to you and what facilities and developments you would like to see in our area.

The deadline for the return of completed questionnaires is 14 April.

Collection boxes are located at the village stores, sports club, St. James Church and Snitterfield Primary School. If you require any help completing the questionnaire or have any queries do not hesitate to contact me, or any of the other project group members.

Ole Schmidt-Hansen
Tel: 731246



RAG-TAG TODDLER GROUP

Monday morning in the Village Hall 10.00-11.45am. Open to all parents and carers with children 0-4 years. Wide range of toys and a friendly welcome. Do come along and meet us or, for more information, please contact Hilary Schmidt-Hansen. Tel: 731246



Moving Pictures

Cinema in the Village Hall

If you would like to join our email circulation list to suggest films you would like to see, please contact Hilary and Ole Schmidt-Hansen, Tel: 731246. email: hilary@thegables.com

Next cinema dates: 21 April: Hotel Transylvania 3pm, Great Expectations 7pm. 19 May, films TBC.

Pamper Evening Proceeds to Snitterfield Nursery 'Go on, treat yourself'




Tickets £2 at the door

Come and indulge yourself with one of the many beauty treatments available, shop at the fabulous stalls, or just enjoy drinks and a natter with friends. Stands include jewellery, handbags, cards and luxury gifts. Wine, Pimms, tea, coffee and delicious cakes.

Wednesday 15 May at Snitterfield Village Hall from 7pm - 9:30pm
 Info: Snitterfield Nursery Tel: 01789 731974

The Great Big Snitterfield Cookbook



The primary school has been a hive of activity putting together *The Great Big Snitterfield Cookbook*.

The children in class 4 wrote to village organisations asking for recipes and received fantastic replies from Bal at the shop, SACC, The WI and The Snitterfield Arms to name just a few. We also asked the children and got even more delicious offerings.

Fancy some Butternut Squash Lasagne or maybe Columba Palumbus? (you'll have to look in the book to find out what that is!). All these and many more are in the book providing, we think, a reflection of our community and the wonderful characters in it.

As this is a festive edition we've asked Eva Key (see photo left) to share her recipe with you.

The Great Big Snitterfield Cookbook would make an excellent Christmas

Alternative Christmas Pud

100g butter/margarine
200g milk chocolate
3 tbsps golden syrup
225g digestive/rich tea/
shortbread biscuits.
225g Maltesers

Melt butter, chocolate and syrup together in a saucepan. Add crushed biscuits and Maltesers. Mix quickly. Pour into small lined pudding moulds. Decorate with white chocolate and holly.

present. They are available from the school or from the pub, where Sara and Kate have kindly agreed to keep some behind the bar.

Sally Ward

Neighbourhood Plan update

Most of you will be aware that the Parish Council (PC) is creating a Neighbourhood Plan to cover the period up to 2028. A Neighbourhood Plan (NP) is a new way of helping local communities to influence planning in the area in which they live and work.

Stratford District Council (SDC) is currently consulting on our NP area application which concludes at the end of November. You may well have seen posters around the village and surrounding areas as well as adverts in the local press and public libraries relating to this. It is also available on SDC's website.

Earlier this year a questionnaire was sent out to all households in the area to ask for views on a number of subjects. The response rate was around 35 per cent, which is regarded as good for this type of survey, and preliminary results were on display at the summer fete. Using this data and feedback, the NP group, working on behalf of the PC, is developing the draft proposal for the plan.

It is proposed to consult with you all in the new year by holding an open day at the village hall, to seek everyone's views on the draft plan.

After this consultation the NP will then be finalised and submitted to SDC for review and independent examination. If the examination is satisfactory then SDC will arrange for a referendum where anyone registered to vote in the NP area can vote to adopt the plan. Please look out for more information in the new year.

Ole Schmidt-Hansen
Chair, NP Group

News from your District Councillor



New homes

The new homes on Bearley Road are due to be completed before Christmas and ready for occupation. The allocations have been administered by Warwickshire Rural Housing Association.

Your District Council continues to look for ways to make life better for all of its residents.

Town car parking

For anyone using the Town's car parks the 'Stratford Card', which can be purchased for £2 at Elizabeth House, allows you to preload credit on to the card. This will then enable use of the Stratford Card in any of the Stratford District Council town centre pay and display car parks and give you a 25 per cent discount.

There are also 'over 65' parking permits available, allowing free parking in the Recreation Ground and Swans Nest Lane car parks. There is a £10 administration charge. Full details are available at stratford-dc.gov website or call 01789 267575.

Flood relief

I am pleased to report that there has been agreement to give an award of £12,000 to Snitterfield Parish Council to be spent before March 2014 in relation to flood relief projects in the village.

Please contact me for help with any matter relating to the work done by Stratford District Council.

Helen Hayter, District Councillor
email: Helen.Hayter@Stratford-dc.gov.uk
Tel: 01789 731388



News from our District Councillor

It is with great pleasure that I am able to write to you all through the *Snipe* newsletter, having recently been elected as your new Councillor in May.

This year's elections were enormously successful for the Conservative Party, winning 15 of

the 18 seats available, a net gain of six seats overall. Our achievements have been recognised as the most successful local election for the party nationally. I am also pleased to say that Snitterfield had the highest turnout in the district with 49.7 per cent of voters making their way to the ballot boxes.

The council has already put me through my paces with an intensive training programme to bring me fully up to speed with my responsibilities and I have been very active in meeting various residents to help work through any problems they have encountered.

Core Strategy and Neighbourhood Plan

The final public consultation exercise for the Core Strategy has recently finished. This was an opportunity for local residents, business and interested parties to make final comments on the plan that, once adopted, will manage development across the District up to 2031. The final round of public consultation ended on 17th July and enabled interested parties to submit representations for consideration via the public examination process.

Snitterfield Parish Council has already begun work on a Neighbourhood Plan which is a vital piece of documentation that gives powers to the local community to shape new development in accordance with the Core Strategy. I would encourage residents to get involved and have their say about how the parish is developed over the coming years.

Foxhunter Pub

Since the elections I have had a large number of letters about the hoarding outside the old Foxhunter Pub. It is a site at the heart of the village and an area that we all want to see looking fantastic. The owners of the land have a current planning application under consultation, which they

are entitled to. However, the hoarding is in a bad state of repair and through action taken by myself and our County Councillor, Stratford District Council have issued a letter requesting that repairs and improvements are made ASAP. If these are not undertaken, enforcement action will follow.

Stratford Armouries

As most of you will know Stratford Armouries submitted a planning application earlier this year to put 100 static homes on its surrounding land. The purpose of the application is to provide much needed financial support to the Armouries, an important educational museum and heritage asset to Stratford and Warwickshire.

The application was met with objection from Snitterfield Parish Council, two surrounding Parish Councils and members of the village. The case officer recommended refusal and the application was heard at the East Planning Committee on 23rd July. After representation from parties on both sides the committee concluded that the proposal was inappropriate development for the Green Belt and the application was refused.

UBUS

Stratford District Council launched its new community transport operation on 11th July called the UBUS. It will be operating in and around Snitterfield on Mondays, Thursdays and Fridays with bookings being taken daily, up to seven days in advance, via the booking centre (01789 260108 or 01789 264491).

Bookings can now also be made within 24 hours of the required time, making it a more responsive service than was previously on offer. For more information about the UBUS please visit the Stratford District Council website: www.stratford.gov.uk/news/news.cfm/current/1/item/135435

Finally, I very much look forward to continuing the fantastic work of your out-going councillor, Helen Hayter, and I welcome the opportunity to meet with and hear from you all in due course.

Peter Richards

Peter.Richards@stratford-dc.gov.uk

Mobile: 07912 410375



Here's a geeky data update!

A check of public data from the Office for National Statistics shows

that crime over the past several years is generally falling. Drilling into the latest police data for 14 May, there's been one burglary and two antisocial behaviour incidents in Snitterfield. Now around that month last year, we'd had eight vehicle crimes (usually theft from vehicles) and two burglaries.

Is this a real improvement, or was last spring a blip? It's hard to say, certainly most of our flyers and notices have been to remind people to lock their car doors and keep

valuables out of sight. Maybe this is helping a bit. But it's also not easy to translate these figures into precise evidence, as the police have changed the way they count crime about four times over the last 30 years.

There's some very interesting (I think it is) data from UKCrimeStats which rebases incidents against population. To summarise, this confirms that our crime problems over the last two years have been mostly vehicle-based, with burglaries coming second and criminal damage third.

Anyway, the point is - whilst it's worth closing those upstairs windows (or fitting preventers), moving wheelie bins away from low flat roofs, spreading caltrops and fitting tripwires etc [*not officially sanctioned*

by Snipe - Ed!] - we shouldn't be obsessed or fearful about crime. A precautionary approach is needed, but let's be happy also to live in a lovely village with a great community spirit (I present the awesome village fete as evidence of this).

As always, I'm looking for you to join the ourwatch.org.uk scheme and then select Snitterfield as your area. We still have only 25 households signed up, so clearly I need to try harder. I plan to organise some kind of campaign door-to-door to encourage sign ups and extract cash donations, so if you wish to avoid me (or my rough-looking 'deputies') pestering you, the solution is but a few web clicks away.

Greg Bull

greg.bull@oceansblue.co.uk

What's On

Snitterfield Garden Club

2 December, 7pm, Tom Hart Dyke, 'The Modern Plant Hunter/Explorer'. Christmas jolly with seasonal buffet and mulled wine.
6 January, 8pm, Diane Jones, 'The History of Warwickshire Gardens'.
3 February, 8pm, Timothy Walker, 'Two for the Price of One'.
3 March, 8pm, Marcel Floyd, 'Climbers and Clematis'.
7 April, 8pm, Helen Picton, 'A New Way with Alpines'.

Parish Council Meetings

On the second Monday in the month, unless changed by the council. See noticeboard on Smith's Lane.

Snitterfield Actioning Climate Change
 SACC meetings first Thursday each month in Village Hall, 8pm. Check www.snitterfieldacc.org for changes.

Snitterfield WI

Meetings on the second Wednesday of the month at 7.30pm in the Village Hall.

Sportsclub broadband

Free internet access is available at Snitterfield Sports Club, except for a 50p fee for temporary guest status for non members. Opening times of the Club for weekdays are 7pm to 11pm; Saturdays 12 noon to 11pm; Sundays 12.00 noon to 10.30pm.

Meditation and Relaxation Classes for Women who Lead Busy Lives

Classes on alternate Wednesdays, Village Hall, starting 21st January 7.30pm to 8.30pm Contact Sue 07581183458.

Classical Ballet Classes for Adults

Thursdays (term time) Beginners: 9.20am in the Village Hall. Contact Rosie Parker, R.A.D, R.T.S. Email: rosieparker@outlook.com

CHRISTMAS FAYRE

at St. James Church, Snitterfield
 Saturday, December 6th, 2014
 2 til 4pm

Come in for teas, coffee, cakes and mince pies in our beautiful village church then stay to browse books, gifts and cards.

Fun for the children with games, toy tombola, lucky dip and pick-a-cup, and visit our special guest, Father Christmas.

All proceeds to St. James Church & Friends of Snitterfield School

RAG-TAG TODDLER GROUP

Monday morning in the Village Hall 10.00-11.45am. Open to all parents and carers with children 0-4 years. Wide range of toys and a friendly welcome.

Do come along and meet us or, for more information, please contact Hilary Schmidt-Hansen. Tel: 731246

Smith's Lane Phone Box

Work is slowly starting on the rejuvenation of the telephone box in Smith's Lane. The idea is to create an information point and book exchange such as that shown in the photo below of the Bramshaw phone box in the New Forest.



We hope to incorporate as many ideas from residents as is feasible within the small space and if anyone would like to be involved please let us know.

Hilary & Ole Schmidt-Hansen. Tel: 731246 Email: Hilary@thegables.spacomputers.com

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN OPEN DAY

Sunday 7 December
 10am to 4pm at
 Snitterfield Village Hall

Come along to find out about our Neighbourhood Plan, the progress made since July and make your views known

ALL WELCOME
 Refreshments available
 For more information contact
 Ole Schmidt-Hansen
 Tel: 731246

Available for hire

SNITTERFIELD Village Hall

Situated in the heart of the village, the hall is the ideal place to hold meetings, events, parties and family gatherings.

The facilities include: main hall, meeting room, kitchen, toilets, electric piano, stage and microphone.

For information, rates and booking please telephone 07501416221/ 01789 730514 email smcoombes@btinternet.com

Eye Spy: The Victorian lampost is outside 3 The Gables on Smith's Lane



Eco-Schools

As part of our Eco Schools programme and to raise funds, Snitterfield School now has a clothes recycling bin. It will take clean, wearable clothing and shoes (attached in pairs), handbags and belts, sheets, curtains and towels. Please place items in supermarket type bags with the handles tied in the bin at school. The school also has a scheme to recycle printer cartridges, DVDs and computer games in original boxes.

Moving Pictures


Cinema in the Village Hall

- If you would like to join our email circulation list to suggest films you would like to see, please contact Hilary and Ole Schmidt-Hansen, Tel: 731246.
- email: hilary@thegables.spacomputers.com
- Next screening. 21 December: How to Train your Dragon 2 at 3pm and Belle (15) at 8pm. N.B. later time than usual due to village carol service.

When every second counts

After a cardiac arrest, every minute without CPR (cardiopulmonary resuscitation) and defibrillation reduces the victim's chance of survival by ten per cent.

With this in mind the Parish Council has bought a public access defibrillator, with additional funding from Heartbeat UK and the



Snitterfield volunteers in training with WMAS's Stuart Granger

village fete committee. The defibrillator cabinet is located on the wall of the Sports Club on Wolverton Road and available for use by anyone who is present when a heart attack occurs.

Rapid response using this technology can significantly improve

the quality of life of a survivor, as the longer the brain is starved of oxygen, the more damage that can occur. To give a good outcome, help needs to be administered to the patient within four to five minutes.

A defibrillator delivers an electrical current through the chest which aims to shock the heart back into a normal rhythm, allowing it to pump again. Once in position the machine detects the heart's rhythm and won't deliver a shock unless one is needed. West Midlands Ambulance Service has trained,

Rapid response can significantly improve the quality of life of a survivor

free of charge, 12 Snitterfield residents in resuscitation techniques and specifically how to use a defibrillator.

Dr John Fitchford of the parish council explained: 'When 999 is called, the emergency services will give the caller the location of the defibrillator and the code to enter the cabinet. The contact details for volunteers will be listed by the machine'.

The defibrillator is simple and safe; it gives clear voice commands and screen messages that guide the user step-by-step through the process so any member of the public can use it if trained volunteers are not at hand.

Neighbourhood Development Plan meeting packs village hall

A Public Meeting on our Neighbourhood Development Plan took place on 4 March, when over 100 people packed the village hall for an update on progress and the latest information from Stratford District Council.

A presentation was given, outlining the background to the plan, the current understanding on housing development, the method the Neighbourhood Plan Committee has adopted in assessing potential sites and the next steps. Also present was Neil Pearce, who is an independent planning consultant engaged by the committee to provide specialist advice.

The committee is awaiting the interim report from the Inspector's report into the District Council draft Core Strategy and indications are that there may be a change in the way the village is assessed, as it is washed over by the Greenbelt. This may reduce significantly or even remove the number of houses that Snitterfield would have to accommodate. The interim report is due out on 20 March (see Peter Richards page 2). The presentation material and the site assessment matrix and supporting information are available to download from the village website and hard copies are available at the Sports Club and the village hall during Parish Council meetings.

Neighbourhood Plan meetings are open to the public in the same way as Parish Council meetings and are held about once a month. Look for dates on the village website, www.snitterfield.com, or on the noticeboard.

Ole Schmidt-Hansen

An update will be given in the summer edition of Snipe once the interim report is published.

Animal Crackers!

SNITTERFIELD VILLAGE FETE

Sunday 21 June 2015
12 - 4pm
Playing Fields, Wolverton Road

Pythons, Lizards and Tarantulas Radio Warneford Duck Herding Falconry Jousting Horse Classic Cars Dog Show Rare Sheep Miniature Horses Pony Rides Real Ale Pig Roast and Barbeque		Tea Tent Craft Tent and many more! Adults £3 Seniors £2 Family Ticket £7 (2 adults, 3 children) Child (5-16) £1 Under 5s free	
--	--	--	--

For dog show categories see noticeboards or fete website

www.snitterfieldfete.com

News from your District Councillor



Core Strategy

At the start of the year Government Planning Inspector Pete Drew reopened the public examination into the Council's Core Strategy following a number of proposed modifications that had been made in line with his interim conclusions, published 15 March. A great deal of work had been undertaken throughout February, particularly by members of the two Planning Committees (of which I am one), which has enabled the Inspector to report back with a consolidated version of the Core Strategy that brings together all the modifications proposed by the Council during the public examination.

The only exception is a proposed modification to policy CS.18, where the Inspector has expressed concern over the proposed wording. There is an ongoing dialogue between the Inspector and the Council to agree this and to understand the justification for change, all of which will be made public as soon as possible.

The council has agreed to undertake what should be its final six-week consultation on the Inspector's preliminary indications as to the main modifications that he considers are required in order to make the plan sound and capable of adoption. This consultation will be between 31 March and 12 May. In his most recent update, the Inspector has indicated that he is minded to find the plan sound, subject to the consultation on the final modifications.

Taking into account the need to consider any responses to the consultation, Pete Drew is targeting June 2016 to publish his final report. This would allow the Council to adopt the Core Strategy shortly thereafter.

2016/17 Financial Budget

At the recent full council meeting on 29 February members were presented with and voted on the financial budget for the year ahead. For a number of years members have successfully managed to reduce the cost of services provided to residents whilst at the same time maintaining the standard of that service to the highest level. In the last six years we have successfully managed to freeze council tax rates and in 2012/13 members even managed to reduce the level of tax paid by residents by one per cent. Reductions in Government funding have meant that this year an increase is unavoidable. As a result members have agreed to a £5 increase for Band D properties. That represents an increase of 3.9 per cent on your local authority council tax, which is in line with figures recommended by Central Government.

In order to maintain this low level of tax, a number of other savings have been required, particularly with further cuts in funding that are likely in the next few years. The most significant saving has been achieved through a restructuring of the senior management team that will provide an annual saving of over £100,000. This restructure will take effect from 31 March 2016.

Further budget announcements include:

- Continued support towards the Community Transport Scheme
- Capital to support the next phase of the broadband BDUK programme
- Funding to meet the demands of the Disabled Facilities Grants
- Funding to upgrade IT equipment and services
- Undertake further strategic budget review to investigate further savings
- Support to both the Coventry and Warwickshire LEP and the West Midlands Combined Authority

Peter Richards
 Peter.Richards@stratford-dc.gov.uk
 Mobile: 07912 410375


Cake, coffee and chat at the new Community Café

After its launch in February a new initiative in the village continues in April when Snitterfield Village Hall hosts the next Community Café between 2 and 4pm on Sunday 10 April. A range of stalls will be offering eggs, bread, vegetable boxes, cake, Fair Trade produce, Woodland Kitchen foods, cards, artwork, pottery and jewellery. Ten per cent of sales revenue is donated to SACC to help reduce the village's carbon footprint.

The Community Cafe is a resource intended to encourage community interaction over a cuppa and cake, and as an ongoing pleasure for the village. It promotes cooperative sales of local goods and displays information leaflets. Anyone can have a table for sales or display, without commitment for a donation of just ten per cent of your sales. The Community Cafe is sponsored and supported by SACC and normally takes place on the first Sunday of the month (it is a week later in April only).

Just call in if you'd like to arrange this at next month's café!

See poster on page 8 for more details.



Neighbourhood Development Plan Update

Work on the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan has been continuing and is now nearing completion. By necessity it is a long and complex task as, once adopted, it will become a legally binding document and will be used by Stratford District Council (SDC) in determining planning applications. It will also set out a vision for the future of the village and neighbourhood.

During April we anticipate that the draft plan will be submitted to SDC for an initial review. Following that, towards the end of April or early May, there will be an Open Day in the Village Hall to launch the formal six-week public consultation period with residents and statutory bodies. This is your opportunity to review the draft plan and make comments or suggestions about its content. Keep an eye open for posters advertising the Open Day and consultation period.

After the consultation period, feedback will be reviewed and updates will be made as appropriate. The plan will then be formally submitted to SDC who will appoint an independent inspector to review the plan to check that it meets the relevant national and European obligations and is in conformity with the SDC Core Strategy. The inspector may recommend certain amendments to be made, but if he/she is satisfied with the plan it will proceed to a referendum which will be arranged by SDC. Anybody registered to vote in the Neighbourhood Plan area will be entitled to vote. A simple majority of over 50 per cent of those voting in favour of the plan is sufficient for the plan to be adopted.

We hope to see you at the open day.

Ole Schmidt-Hansen,
 Chair, Neighbourhood Development Plan Group.

Channeling Efforts: continued from page 1

personnel at the Environment Agency, which altered their approach from 'it cannot be done' to 'how can it be done'. Finally, the long anticipated collapse of part of the culvert opened up additional sources of funding from the Environment Agency and Warwickshire Highways when the safety risks to people and property, including from the possible cave-in of Smith's Lane, were fully recognised. Health and Safety has its uses.

The flexibility and imagination of both the Environment Agency and Warwickshire County Council during the final stages of the project has to be commended. We can only say 'Thank You'.

Will this cure the problem? – no. No affordable scheme can guarantee that flooding will never occur. The culvert bypass will, however, reduce the risk from about one in 15 years to an estimated one in 70 years. There will be a significant amount of disruption as the work goes on, but the outcome has to be worthwhile. A success indeed!

On behalf of the residents, the Parish Council would like to take this opportunity to formally thank Peter and Sylvia Morlidge for their dogged pursuit of flood alleviation measures within Snitterfield. Their perseverance over the course of the last nine years has immeasurably decreased the flood risk to the village.

Claudine Pearson



Photo supplied by Peter Richards

From right: Paul Blanchard, Snitterfield Parish Councillor; Cllr Peter Richards, Stratford District Councillor for Snitterfield ward; Nadhim Zahawi, MP for Stratford upon Avon, with members of the Environment Agency and Warwickshire County Council

News from your District Councillor



Core Strategy

At long last we now have a fully adopted and legally compliant Core Strategy for the Stratford upon Avon District.

Our Planning Inspector, Pete Drew, gave the green light to the District Council at the end of June and at an extraordinary meeting of the Council on 11 July. The plan was adopted with a vote of 30 for and two against. The adopted plan is available to view online and shapes the way development will happen across the district until 2031.

For Snitterfield we now know for certain that the village will be required to take a maximum of 59 homes over the plan period, with all of those homes coming from limited infill within the Green Belt or by development with an identified need through the Neighbourhood Plan and Parish Council.

It is now up to members of the village to ensure we have a sound Neighbourhood Plan to help protect and shape the growth of the village in the years to come.

Business Support

In an effort to breathe life back into the towns, the District Council has launched a free business support mechanism. It includes free planning advice to potential occupants of empty shops, rate relief and reoccupation relief in the towns and a hardship relief fund.

In conjunction with the newly opened, state of the art Venture House, the Council is also working with the Coventry and Warwickshire Local Enterprise Partnership and offering access to free business support in the form of mentoring, coaching, business planning, access to finance, exporting and apprenticeships.

For more information please visit Venture House in Stratford or contact me.

Refugees

On 22 June the council was pleased to announce that it would be homing three to five Syrian refugee families in the

centre of Stratford. Through a combination of District Council work and work from the Home Office, it has been agreed that the families will be put into private rented accommodation, paid for by central government, in an area of the town that will allow the families to integrate and have full access to schools, jobs, health services and other facilities.


Peter Richards
Peter.Richards@stratford-dc.gov.uk
Mobile: 07912 410375

Bus route change for the village

At the meeting of the Parish Council on 13 June it was suggested that a change be made to the present bus route and I am pleased to report that the bus company, Diamond, has accepted the change. The new bus route will be in place from Monday 5 September 2016. New timetables will be available shortly.

At present the 229 bus travels along Ingon Lane, White Horse Hill, and Smith's Lane before going on to Bearley and takes the same route through the village when going in the opposite direction. It was considered that it would be more beneficial if the bus were to go from Ingon Lane, past the war memorial to Park Lane, and along Church Road before turning onto Smith's Lane.

This extra 'loop' around the village will enable those living at the Park Lane end of the village, who have difficulty walking, to embark and disembark the bus nearer to their homes.



Nicola Everall
Parish Clerk



***Creating a
brighter future***

**Help to plan the future of your
village. Let us know your views
by completing this form.**

Questionnaire 2013

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan

SNITTERFIELD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE

In line with best practice, Snitterfield Parish Council is currently bringing our 2006 Parish Plan up to date and taking the opportunity to create a Neighbourhood Plan.

A Neighbourhood Plan is a new way of helping local communities to influence the planning in the area in which they live and work.

It can be used to:

- Develop a shared vision for our neighbourhood
- Choose where new homes, shops, offices and other developments should be built
- Identify and protect important local green spaces
- Influence what new buildings should look like

This Neighbourhood Plan will become a Development Plan Document used by Stratford District Council Planning Department up to 2028 and as such would form part of the Local Plan.

Vision

Our vision for the Parish of Snitterfield is to ensure the growth of our community over the next 15 years is in line with the needs and expectations of our residents and to protect the unique rural character of the Parish and our village, whilst improving the environment and facilities.

This Neighbourhood Plan will ensure that your views are represented at Stratford District Council and Warwickshire County Council and enable your elected Parish Council to ensure that the neighbourhood is developed as the residents wish.

To enable the community to thrive and grow in line with the wishes of the residents, we hope you will help us to identify your needs by completing this questionnaire. The results of the questionnaire will be used to inform our new Local Neighbourhood Plan 2012 - 2028.

Please complete this questionnaire by ticking the appropriate box and adding any comments in the spaces provided.

Thank you for your support.

Dr John Fitchford

Chairman of the Parish Council

Local Facilities

Local facilities relate to the provision of shops, schools and amenities.

Do we have sufficient facilities in the Parish to meet your needs and those of your family?	Yes	No	Don't know	Facilities used
Local shops				
Village Hall				
Schooling				
Places of worship				
Healthcare				
Dental care				
Sports facilities				
Public Houses				
Hotels, Bed & Breakfast				
Play areas for children				
Leisure spaces/playing fields				
Community groups & meeting places for all ages i.e. elderly, young families, teenagers/ youth groups etc				

If you have any views on other facilities you feel the Parish needs, please comment below.

Infrastructure, Transport & Roads

The major routes passing through the Parish include the A46, A3400 and the A439.

Snitterfield village itself lies at the centre of a number of minor roads connecting neighbouring villages and these main roads. In addition to the traffic generated by the residents and visitors to the village, a substantial amount of traffic passes through and around the village. Safety is an obvious concern.

Transport and Roads Please answer the following questions:	Yes	No	Don't know
Do we need additional or improved traffic calming?			
If yes, please identify the location			
Do any junctions need improvement within the Parish?			
If yes, please identify which junctions			
Do we need more street lighting?			
If yes, please identify where the lighting is needed			
Are there adequate areas for parking in the village?			
Do you have any comments on parking?			
Are the street footpaths adequate for your needs?			
If not, please put your comments here			
Should we introduce new speed limits in the village or Parish?			
Lower or higher? Please comment			
Do you cycle regularly in and around the village?			
Is there adequate provision for cyclists?			
If you have any comments regarding cycling, please write them here			

Transport and Roads (continued)	Yes	No	Don't know
Do you use the local bus service regularly?			
If so, indicate the time of use here			
Is the bus service adequate for your needs?			
If not, please comment			
Do you use a wheelchair or mobility scooter in the village?			
If yes, is there adequate provision and access for wheelchairs or mobility scooters?			
If you have any comments regarding wheelchairs or mobility scooters, please write them here			

If you have any comments regarding Infrastructure, Transport and Roads , please write them here

The Environment & Development

The desire of the Parish Council is to protect and enhance the local area, ensuring that any development within the village is sustainable and with minimal impact on the environment.

For this ethos to be pursued, projects and monitoring need to be undertaken in key areas i.e.

- To reduce consumption of natural resources e.g. energy efficient homes, reduced car usage, improved waste management and saving water are just a few suggestions to help reduce our carbon footprint.
- To set limits on the environmental impact of any new developments, such as ensuring no increase in water run-off into the Bell Brook which may increase the flood risk.
- To protect and enhance bio-diversity, including growing more produce in allotments or gardens, buying our food locally and planting trees and bird/insect-friendly plants.
- To encourage the use of environmentally friendly products in gardens and public places.

Conservation areas and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)

The existing character of the village is largely determined by open spaces with a conservation area within it (illustrated on the back of this questionnaire). The village is within the Green Belt and Snitterfield Bushes (Bearley Woods) is designated an SSSI.

Should the Parish Council develop a policy on key environmental issues within the parish, such as:	Yes	No	Don't Know
Conservation areas			
Protection of open spaces (in and out of the Village)			
Protection of the Green Belt areas			
Water courses i.e The Bell Brook, Bearley Brook.			
Hedgerows & trees			
Wildlife and their habitats			
Bridleways & Public Footpaths			
Energy conservation			
Reduction in car usage			
Allotments			
The use of environmentally friendly products in public spaces			

If you have any comments on the environment, please write them here

Energy Efficiency

The UK Government is under obligation to support the Kyoto Protocol (to cut greenhouse gas emissions by 12.5% below 1990 levels by 2008-2012) and the Climate Change Act 2008 requirement (to cut emissions of greenhouse emissions by 80% below 1990 levels by 2050).

In turn, our local councils are encouraged to work towards these energy reduction targets and support their local communities in the reduction of greenhouse emissions.

In Snitterfield the Parish Council encouraged the establishment of the village environmental group – Snitterfield Actioning Climate Change (SACC) – whose aim is to reduce the carbon footprint of Snitterfield through education and practical measures.

Energy saving / carbon footprint reduction	Yes	No	Don't Know
Do you support the Government's energy conservation targets as stated above?			
Do you have or are you considering installing energy saving devices in your property such as low energy light bulbs, loft or cavity wall insulation, double glazing, heat pumps, wind turbines and solar panels?			
Would you like any advice on energy efficiency in your home or work place?			
Comments: Please detail any energy saving devices			

Building Development

Housing

The consultation undertaken last year on the Stratford District Local Development Plan proposed a policy of "dispersal" of developments into Local Service Villages, such as Snitterfield. The possible number of dwellings is not yet certain for Snitterfield, but between 40 and 80 over the next 15 years has been suggested. The proposal included a limit to the number of dwellings in each separate development and in our case this would be 8-10 dwellings as a maximum. These numbers are an estimate based on Stratford District Council's housing requirements up to 2028.

Commercial

There are many individual business premises within the Parish including, for example, those at the Black Hill Industrial Estate and Glebe Farm on the Wolverton Road.

Which of the following types of developments should we plan for the Parish	Yes	No	Don't Know
Affordable / shared ownership housing			
2 bedroom homes			
3 bedroom homes			
4 bedroom homes			
Bungalows			
Flats/apartments			
Properties suitable for the elderly			
Controlled extensions to houses (Stratford District Council Green Belt policy guideline is currently a limit of up to 30% increase by volume)			
Commercial developments e.g. workshops; offices etc			

If yes, please tell us what type of commercial development

Please comment here on future building development in Snitterfield

Communications	Yes	No	Don't Know
Do you have access to the internet at home?			
If you use Broadband, are you satisfied with Broadband speeds within the Parish?			
If not, please explain			
If you use the internet, do you visit the village website?			
Please let us know what you use the website for and if it meets your needs			
Are you satisfied with the mobile phone coverage in the Parish?			
If not, please explain			

Other comments on Communications

This section is for you to identify important issues that you want your Neighbourhood Plan to address

Please let us know the strengths and positive features of our neighbourhood: the things you value and would like to keep

Please tell us the negative features of our neighbourhood: the things you don't like and would like to change or improve

Please tell us about the things that our neighbourhood doesn't have which you would like

To help us meet your needs, please let us know about your family circumstances:

How many people live in your household? (please tick the appropriate box)

1	2	3	4	5	6	7+

What age groups do your family members / household fall into and how many in each group?

0 - 10 years	11 - 18 years	19 - 25 years	26 - 35 years	36 - 45 years	46 - 55 years	56 - 65 years	66 - 75 years	76 - 85 years	Over 85 years

Employment. How many members of your household fall into each category. (Individuals may be counted in more than one category)	Number
Employed	
Work within the Parish	
Working from home	
Self-employed	
Retired	
In full-time education	
In part-time education	

Employment. If members of your household work outside the Parish, how many miles do they travel to work? Please put the number of people who fall into each mileage range.

Up to 5 miles	5-10 miles	10-15 miles	15-20 miles	20-25 miles	25-30 miles	30-35 miles	35-40 miles	Over 40 miles	Distance varies

Disabilities and Special Needs	Yes	No	Don't Know
Do any of your household members have any disabilities or special needs?			
If so, do the village facilities cater for them?			
If no, please explain how they might be improved			

Is there anything else you would like to comment on?

Your response is strictly confidential but you may put your postcode in the box provided if you wish.

Postcode	
----------	--

Collection boxes for completed questionnaires will be situated in the following locations in the village:

- Village Stores
- Sports Club
- St James' Church
- Snitterfield Primary School

Alternatively, please contact one of the Project Group below to arrange collection.

The deadline for the return of the completed questionnaires is 14 April 2013.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.



The Neighbourhood Plan Project Group

Dr John Fitchford

Tel: 731357

Email: rjfitford23@btinternet.com

Gerry Stammers

Tel: 731364

Email: geraldstammers@btinternet.com

Philip Briggs

Tel: 731833

Email: pbriggs@auriga-estates.com

Cathy Kimberley

Tel: 730350

Email: cathy.kimberley@virgin.net

Caroline Noble

Tel: 731458

Email: caroline_noble821@hotmail.com

Graham Barker

Tel: 730235

Email: grahambarker989@btinternet.com

Meryl Rice

Tel: 730572

Email: f.rice55@btinternet.com

David Robotham

Tel: 731321

Email: drobotham4@hotmail.co.uk

Ole Schmidt-Hansen

Tel: 731246

Email: schmidt-hansen@thegables.spacomputers.com

Duncan Parker

Tel: 731348

Email: duncan.parker@letia.com


Trudy Hudson

Tel: 730778


Email: thudson.12@btinternet.com

trudy.hudson@priorymed.nhs.uk

Do we have sufficient facilities in the Parish to meet your needs and those of your family?



Infrastructure, Transport and Roads



Traffic Calming

- White horse Hill/Smiths Lane (30)
- Wolverton Road (including Sports Club and Bell Lane junction) (28)
- The Green (14)
- Church Road (11)
- Pigeon Green (7)
- Gospel Oak (6)
- Norton Lindsey Rd (5)

Parking Issues

- Shop (12)
- Vehicles parking on pavements (11)
- The Green (9)
- At the School (7)

Speed Limit

- *Many responded here*
- No respondent suggested increase in limit.
- Roads where reduced limit suggested (in order):
- Gospel Oak, The Green, Smiths Lane, White Horse Hill, Ingon Lane, A46, A439, Wolverton Road, Church Road, Heath End, School Road, Park Lane

Bus

- The 9.57 bus does not link to X20
- 30 mins to go 3 miles
- No transport to Warwick, Leamington Spa
- Hospital visits to Warwick v. Difficult
- People would use more if more frequent
- X20 bus – no bus link for school children at Henley
- Bus timings needs to enable workers to get to Stratford
- Touchwood bus – not enough time at Touchwood

Junctions for improvement

- Kings Lane/A46 (E) (46)
- Kings Lane/A46/Gospel Oak (33)
- Church Rd/Smiths Lane (28)
- School Rd/Smiths Lane /Green (20)
- Ingon Lane/Warwick Road (11)
- Sandbarn Lane/Warwick Rd (10)
- Bell Lane/Church Road (5)

Footpaths

- Too narrow, also often mucky (20)
- Hedges impacting
- Lack of footpaths – White Horse Hill (7), A46 (2), A439 (4), Kings Lane (3), Pigeon Green/Park Lane (5)
- Footpaths sloping to road – eg by Dentist

Other Road Issues


- Birmingham Road, Stratford congestion
- Parking on Sandbarn Lane (from Mercedes)
- Speed on A46
- Lack of crossing points on A46 (pedestrians, cyclists)
- Request to extend resurfacing on A46 – reduce road noise
- Lack of cycle paths
- Gritting needed on school bus route – Park Lane, Church Road
- Flooding on Norton Lindsey Road

Other issues

- Ingon Lane – water running down
- State of verges – heavy vehicle impact
- Weight limit for vehicles? (similar to Ullenhall)
- Dangerous bend on Gospel Oak
- Road narrowing seen as better than speed bumps
- Speed bumps seen as ineffective
- State of Bearley Road


The Environment & Development

Should the Parish Council develop a policy on key issues within the parish, such as:




Building Development


Which of the following types of developments should we plan for the Parish?



Age Distribution



Employment Distribution



Strengths and positive features of our
neighbourhood

Shop	Pub
Village Hall	WI
School & nursery	Farm Shop
Sports facilities	Website
Gardening Club	SNIFE
Parish Council	SACC
Village Cinema	Fete

Supportive District & County councillors
Many clubs and societies.

Friendly village, strong community spirit
Dark skies
Good location
Well maintained

Negative features of our
neighbourhood

Speed of traffic
Parking on pavements
Flooding risk
Noise from A46
Concern re motorised gliders
Poor, badly maintained footpaths
Lorries through the village
Dog fouling
Parking at shop
Inappropriate development
Gypsy site
Poor equipment at playground
Poor public transport
No doctor surgery
Lack of things for young people
Urbanisation of the Green
Lack of Police visibility
Parking at school

DISTRIBUTION OF COMMUNITY GROUPS QUESTIONNAIRE

GROUP/ORGANISATION	CONTACTED	EMAIL	POST	DELIVERED BY HAND
Garden Club				✓
Rag-Tag Toddler Group				✓
Nursery School	✓			✓
Primary School	✓			✓
Methodist Church	✓			✓
St James Church – and The Link (PCC)	✓	✓		
Gliding Club		✓		
Thursday Club			✓	
The Sports Club – sent on by Julian Straker to: Tennis Junior Football Cricket Bowls Shooting	✓	✓		
Bearley and Snitterfield Scout Group	✓	✓		
Snipe	✓	✓		
SACC				✓
Snitterfield Womens Institute				✓
Friends of the Village Hall	✓			✓
Village Hall Management Committee	✓			✓
Friends of Snitterfield School	✓	✓		
Village Fete	✓			✓
Neighbourhood Watch	✓	✓		
Playground Committee	✓	✓		
Snitterfield Residents Against Flooding	✓	✓		
Snitterfield Rural Cinema				✓
Whist Drive	✓		✓	
Speakers Club	✓	✓		
Light and Life Mission	✓	✓		

SNITTERFIELD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN COMMUNITY GROUP QUESTIONNAIRE

Snitterfield Parish Council is currently bringing our Parish Plan of 2006 up to date and creating a Neighbourhood Plan.

A Neighbourhood Plan is a new way of helping local communities to influence the planning in the area in which they live and work.

It can be used to:

- Develop a shared vision for our neighbourhood
- Choose where new homes, shops, offices and other developments should be built
- Identify and protect important local green spaces
- Influence what new buildings should look like

This Neighbourhood Plan will become a Development Plan Document used by Stratford District Council Planning Department and as such would form part of the District Local Plan 2011-2031.

To enable the community to thrive and grow in line with its wishes, we hope you will help us to identify needs or views that your organisation, or group, has by completing this short questionnaire. These results, together with other feedback from residents and businesses, will be used to inform our new local Neighbourhood Plan.

Please complete this questionnaire, adding any comments in the spaces provided, and return it by either:

- using the stamped addressed envelope enclosed,
- posting it through the letterbox of Ole Schmidt Hansen, _____
_____,
- or the questionnaire can be collected from you by arrangement (tel: 01789 _____)

The deadline for the return of the completed questionnaire is 14th November 2014

**If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact:
Ole Schmidt-Hansen on 01789 _____.**

Thank you for your support.

**Guy Norris
Chairman of the Parish Council**

SNITTERFIELD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN COMMUNITY GROUPS QUESTIONNAIRE

What is the name of your Group / Organisation?

How many members does your group have?

What is the age range of the members? (Tick as many boxes as appropriate)

0 - 5 years	6 - 11 years	12 - 18 years	19 - 30 years	31 - 50 years	51 - 70 years	70 + years
<input type="checkbox"/>						

How many members of your group are resident in Snitterfield?

Which venues do you use in the neighbourhood for your meetings?

Snitterfield Village Hall		
Snitterfield Sports & Social Club		
St. James Church		
Methodist Chapel		
Snitterfield Arms		
Scout Hut		
Other – please state		

How often does your group meet?

Weekly		
Monthly		
Quarterly		
Annually		
Other – please state		

Please describe your group's activities:

--

Do any of your members have any disabilities/additional needs?

Yes	
No	

Do the current facilities used for your meeting meet your needs?

Yes	
No	

If 'No' please explain in the box below:

--

What else would you like to have access to for your group/organization?

--

This section is for you to identify important issues that your group would like the Neighbourhood Plan to address

Please let us know the strengths and positive features of our neighbourhood – the things your group values and would like to keep

Please tell us the negative features of our neighbourhood – the things your group doesn't like and would like to change or improve

Please tell us about the things that our neighbourhood doesn't have which your group would like

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Community Group Questionnaire

November 2014

Community Group	Members	Venue used							Disabilities?	Meet needs?	What else needed?	Positives	Negatives	Would like	Other comments
		Village Hall	Sports Club	St James Church	Methodist Church	Snitterfield Arms	Scout Hut	Other							
Garden Club	134	X							N	Y	AV kit Disabled toilet	VH in centre, parking OK	Poor lighting Narrow pathways / leaves		
Rag-Tag Toddlers	10 - 15 adults	X							N	Y	New store cupboard	VH good venue Community spirit Facilities	Play areas need updating Narrow pavements	Encourage walking Post office Drs Health visitor Midwifery service	
Primary School	18 Adults, 95 children							X	Y	N	Bigger playground	Contact with village. Eg Fete	Road safety by school	Help making area around school safer	
Methodist Church	18				X				Y	Y			Traffic calming: Bearley Rd to shop & Pigeon Green to Church Rd		
St James the Great	37			X					Y	Y	Extra funding Parking	Parish church Openness to and acceptance of church by community	Poor parking availability		
Thursday Club	21	X	X	X		X			Y	N Disabled toilet Wheelchair entrance	Disabled toilet Wheelchair entrance	Enjoy VH: location, easy access Bus service Pub Shop School	No Drs, NHS dentist, Nursing home, bungalows for downsizing, unclean pavements Flood worries	No Drs, NHS dentist, Nursing home, bungalows for downsizing, more attention to pavements	NP should have section for care of older persons and children
Tennis Club	120		X												
Snipe Magazine	6							X	N	Y	Post Office	Shop Pub Community spirit	Lack of (younger) volunteers Too much traffic	More active contributors	
Womens Institute	26	X								Y		Size of village Facilities	Traffic speed No Drs	Drs Better bus service	
Friends of the Village Hall	73							X	N			VH as active centre			
VH Management Committee	9	X							N	Y	Easy access toilet needed	VH important as focus for activities	VH requires improvements, but much done	Improved parking	
Neighbourhood Watch								X							
Playground Committee	12					X			N	Y		Community & people Pub, as place to meet Snipe Shop School Sports Club & fields	Website useful, but can attract negativity	Better / newer play equip	
Residents against Flooding	15					X			N		Common facilities for printing, laminating, copying etc Access to flood emergency pack	Attractive buildings & greenery. Good facilities and community	Risk of flooding. Worry over development / insurance / maintenance. Dilution of conservation area Deterioration of bus service	Flood protection Emergency action plan and pack for flooding	
Rural Cinema	25 -30	X							N	Y	More members / larger audiences	VH great space Shop School Community itself	Negativity on website	Post office	
Whist Drive	24	X							N	Y		VH availability	Improved heating Excessive charges		
Total		7	2	2	1	3	0	4	N - 9 Y - 4	Y - 10 N - 2					

Positives (> 1 respondent)		
	Village Hall facility	(7 comments)
	Community spirit / support	(6 comments)
	Facilities	(2 comments)
	Pub	(3 comments)
	Shop	(4 comments)
	School	(3 comments)

Negatives (> 1 respondent)		
	Pavements (narrow or unclean)	(3 comments)
	Road safety / speed	(4 comments)
	No Drs surgery	(2 comments)
	Website negativity	(2 comments)
	Risk of flooding	(2 comments)

Would like (> 1 respondent)		
	Drs surgery / health facility	(3 comments)
	Post Office	(2 comments)

	Address	Address Pst Cde
1	Shop: Snitterfield Stores	
2	The Armouries	CV37 0JA
3	Stratford Oaks Golf Club	CV37 0JH
4	Bellbrook Farm Campsite	CV37 0LP
5	High Close Farm Caravan Park	CV37 0PH
6	David Kind Electronics	CV37 0PL
7	The Imaging WareHouse	CV37 0PT
8	Incomed Ltd	CV37 0PT
9	Bin Box Ltd	CV37 0PT
10	T G Holdcroft Ltd (t/a B Sports and Prestige Cars)	CV37 0PT
11	Stewarts MOT and Repair Centre Ltd	CV37 0PT
12	The Loft House	CV37 0PY
13	Stratford Manor Hotel	LS27 0RY
14	Glued Ltd	CV37 0QB
15	Ingon Manor Golf and Country Club	CV9 1LQ
16	Freeman Farm Shop	CV37 0NS
17	Holiday Let at Hollow Meadow Farm	CV37 0QA
18	Guest Wilson Ltd (Accountancy/Tax Consultancy)	CV37 0HB
19	Cable and Splcing Services Ltd	CV37 0HB
20	Cameron Soft Furnishings and interiors	CV37 0HB
21	Avon Country Storage, Glebe Farm, Unit 12	CV37 0HB
22	Helitechnique International Ltd	CV37 0HB
23	PRP Vehicle Services, Glebe Farm, Unit 15B	CV37 0HB
24	Hamilton Contract Services Ltd	CV37 0HB
25	Grantcf Ltd	CV37 0LS
26	ICM Ltd (Interface Contract Management Ltd)	CV37 0EZ
27	Benchmark Grounds Maintenance Ltd	CV37 0JE
28	Nuthurst Farm (formerly Broad Leaf Stud)	CV37 0JE
29	The Surgery	CV37 0LF
30	Ingon Tarmac	CV37 0PE
31	Aspi Specialist Cars	B74 2QU
32	Structured Training Ltd (Predaptive is part of Structured Training)	CV37 0TR
33	Mercedes	
34	Ashcroft Stud	
35	Stratford on Avon Garden Centre	
36	A T 4 x 4	
37	Gardenia Soft Furnishings of Snitterfield	
38	TBH Holdings	CV37 0PT
39	Welcombe Hills Vineyard	
40	The Snitterfield Arms	CV37 0JH

SNITTERFIELD NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN QUESTIONNAIRE

April 2014

In line with best practice, Snitterfield Parish Council is currently bringing our 2006 Parish Plan up to date and taking the opportunity to create a Neighbourhood Plan.

A Neighbourhood Plan is a new way of helping local communities to influence planning in the area in which they live and work.

It can be used to:

- Develop a shared vision for our neighbourhood
- Choose where new homes, shops, businesses and other developments should be built
- Identify and protect important local green spaces
- Influence what new buildings should look like

This Neighbourhood Plan will become a Development Plan document used by Stratford District Council Planning Department and as such would form part of the District Local Plan 2011 - 2031.

To enable the community to thrive and grow in line with its wishes, we hope you will help us to identify your business needs by completing this questionnaire. The results will be used to inform our new local Neighbourhood Plan.

Please complete this questionnaire, adding any comments in the spaces provided, and return it using the prepaid envelope provided. The deadline for the return of the completed questionnaires is 14th May 2014.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact Councillors Paul Blanchard or Cathy Kimberley for further information; their contact numbers are given below.

Thank you for your support.

Guy Norris
Chairman of the Parish Council.

Paul Blanchard: 01789 _____
Cathy Kimberley: 01789 _____

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan

Business Survey 2014

The questionnaire should be answered in relation to the specific premises to which it has been delivered. The responses will be treated confidentially. The deadline for completion is Wednesday 14th May.

Q1 Please give your local postcode:

Q2 What is the status of your company at this location?

- Independent with no other branches.....
- Head Office.....
- Branch or subsidiary of a larger group.....
- Public Sector organisation.....
- Other.....

If other, please specify:

Q3 What is the main business activity at this location?

(Tick one box only)

- Manufacturing & Engineering.....
- Retail.....
- Storage/distribution.....
- Hotel/Accommodation provider.....
- Eating establishment.....
- Business & Professional services.....
- Construction, Building Technologies, Built Environment.....
- Creative, Cultural & Digital.....
- Land based.....
- Public services.....
- Retail and Wholesale.....
- Science and Technology.....
- Tourism, Leisure & Hospitality.....
- Transport.....
- Other – please specify.....

Q4 How many people are currently employed at this location?

	1-5	6-20	21-50	over 50
Full-time	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Part-time	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q5 Approximately what percentage of your staff live.. (figures should total 100%)

In the Snitterfield area.....

Elsewhere in Stratford District.....

Outside Stratford District.....

Q6 How long has your company been operating from this address?

Less than 1 year.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	6-10 years.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
1-2 years.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	More than 10 years.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
3-5 years.....	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Q7 Where did your company move from? (Tick one box only)

Always been at this location.....

Elsewhere in Stratford District.....

Outside the District.....

If moved, where from?

Q8 What are the main reasons why your company is located here?

(Tick all that apply)

Availability of suitable workforce.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	Availability of local housing.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nature of local economy.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	Availability of local facilities.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proximity of suppliers.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	Access to main road network.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
Proximity to customers.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	Availability of broadband.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
Quality of the environment.....	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other.....	<input type="checkbox"/>
Nature of the site/premises.....	<input type="checkbox"/>		

If other reason, please specify in the box below

Q9 Are your premises suitable for current or likely future needs?
Yes..... No.....

Q10 What are the main issues faced by your company at this location?

(Tick up to three boxes)

- | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Rising costs..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Planning constraints..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Currency exchange rates..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Investment constraints..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Market demand/competition..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | High labour costs..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Legislation/red tape..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Need for improved marketing..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Staff recruitment/retention..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Access to appropriate advice..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Shortage of skilled staff..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Road/traffic congestion..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Lack of suitable premises..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Infrastructure problems..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost of suitable premises..... | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other, please specify below..... | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Q11 If your company intends to relocate, to where does it wish to move?

(Tick one box only)

- Within the same area/village.....
- Elsewhere in Stratford District, please specify.....
- Outside the District, please specify.....

If elsewhere please specify:

Q12 Please write in the box below any comments you wish to make on your current location and its impact on your business

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan

Business Survey May 2014

Forty local businesses surveyed – 52% response rate

Main issues identified (in order):

- **Planning constraints (Green Belt)**
- **Lack of high-speed broadband (resolved for most locations)**
- **Poor public transport (linked to recruitment problems)**

Reasons for location (in order):

- **Access to main road network**
- **Quality of the environment**
- **Proximity to customers**
- **Nature of the local economy**
- **Availability of broadband**

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN OPEN DAY

Saturday 12th July

From 10am to 4pm at Snitterfield Village Hall

Come along to find out about our
Neighbourhood Plan, the progress made to date
and make your views known

ALL WELCOME

Refreshments available

For more information Contact Ole Schmidt-Hansen 731246

**Snitterfield
Neighbourhood Plan**

OPEN DAY

Saturday 12th July

**From 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. at
Snitterfield Village Hall**

**Come along to find out about our Neighbourhood
Plan, the progress made to date and make your
views known**

ALL WELCOME

Refreshments available

**For more information Contact:
Ole Schmidt-Hansen _____**

What is a Neighbourhood Plan?

A Neighbourhood Plan is a way of helping local communities to influence future development in the area in which they live and work. It can be used to develop a shared vision for the neighbourhood, choose where new homes, shops and businesses and other developments should be built, identify and protect important green spaces, and influence what new buildings should look like. It is primarily about the use and development of land and buildings.

What is the status of a Neighbourhood Plan?

A formally adopted Neighbourhood Plan carries more weight in the decision making process than Village Design Statements and Parish Plans. There would be a legal requirement for the District Council to take it into consideration when determining planning applications.

What about new homes?

The UK Government has set out a requirement for the country to deliver more new homes, it's widely reported that currently there's a significant shortfall in delivery. Various methods of satisfying these demands are available to local authorities. Stratford upon Avon District Council has set out its Core Strategy recently and during it's formation it declared that all villages meeting certain sustainability criteria will be required to accommodate new homes.

Why new homes in our village?

The Council has determined Snitterfield as a Local Service Village and so we will be obliged to accommodate new homes. It's the view of the District & Parish Councils that the village itself would be well served if it were to be influential in the process of selecting where these new developments may go.

The Neighbourhood Plan is the vehicle for influencing development and is a material planning consideration once it's been adopted.

If we don't decide ourselves, then the District Council, or worse, a developer, will press the point and gain a permission to satisfy our village's requirement for the new homes proposed in the Core Strategy.

Simply saying NOT HERE is NOT AN OPTION

Have your say

Come along and help influence the future of the Neighbourhood!

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN OPEN DAY

Sunday 7th December

From 10am to 4pm at Snitterfield Village Hall

Come along to find out about our
Neighbourhood Plan, the progress made since July
and make your views known

ALL WELCOME
Refreshments available

For more information Contact Ole Schmidt-Hansen 731246

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan

OPEN DAY

Sunday 7th December

**From 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. at
Snitterfield Village Hall**

**Come along to find out about our Neighbourhood
Plan, the progress made since July
and make your views known**

ALL WELCOME

Refreshments available

**For more information Contact:
Ole Schmidt-Hansen _____**

What is a Neighbourhood Plan?

A Neighbourhood Plan is a way of helping local communities to influence future development in the area in which they live and work. It can be used to develop a shared vision for the neighbourhood, choose where new homes, shops and businesses and other developments should be built, identify and protect important green spaces, and influence what new buildings should look like. It is primarily about the use and development of land and buildings.

What is the status of a Neighbourhood Plan?

A formally adopted Neighbourhood Plan carries more weight in the decision making process than Village Design Statements and Parish Plans. There would be a legal requirement for the District Council to take it into consideration when determining planning applications.

Why new homes in our village?

Snitterfield will be a 'Local Service Village' in the new Stratford District Council Core Strategy and so we will be obliged to accommodate new homes.

If we don't decide ourselves where this development will go, then the District Council, or a developer making a planning application, will be making that decision for us.

The next step in the preparation of our Neighbourhood Plan

On Sunday 7th December there will be an Open Day when there will be an exhibition of the progress made so far on the preparation of our Neighbourhood Plan.

This will be the second Open Day. The first was held in July, and all those who attended then were asked to help identify their preferred sites for potential new housing development in Snitterfield and to let the Neighbourhood Plan team have their views.

The identified sites have now been assessed, taking into account several important criteria, in order to determine their suitability and potential impact on the village if development were to go ahead.

Come and see the results on Sunday, find out how the assessments have been made, and have your say!

The Neighbourhood Plan team will endeavour to answer all your questions, and will welcome your comments and feedback on the information displayed at the Open Day.

Come along and help influence the future of your
Neighbourhood!

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN PUBLIC MEETING

Wednesday 4th March

Starting at 7:30am in Snitterfield Village Hall

There will be a short presentation about progress on our Neighbourhood Plan and latest information on the Stratford-upon-Avon Core Strategy.

ALL WELCOME

For more information Contact Ole Schmidt-Hansen 731246

**Snitterfield Neighbourhood
Development Plan**

Consultation Evening

4th March 2015

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Vision for Snitterfield - Draft

Snitterfield aspires to thrive as a vibrant and distinctive village, to continue to respect and reflect the views of its community, to evolve and expand whilst retaining its unique and distinctive character, and to provide an outstanding quality of life for current and future generations of residents.

As residents and with our Parish Council, we will all support this vision by:

- Encouraging a thriving and prosperous community that delivers a high quality of life for all its residents
- Recognising and protecting the character and history of the village and its surroundings
- Supporting measured, proportionate, timely and sustainable development to meet local requirements
- Promoting a flourishing local economy
- Endorsing policies that have a positive effect on the environment, including those that remove or minimise flood risk, mitigate climate change, reduce our carbon footprint and minimise the impact of increased traffic
- Maintaining a high quality natural environment that preserves and enhances biodiversity

What is a Neighbourhood Development Plan?

A Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) is a new way of helping local communities to influence the planning of the area in which they live and work. It can be used to:

- Develop a shared vision for our neighbourhood
- Choose where new homes, shops, offices and other development should be built
- Identify and protect important local green spaces
- Influence what new buildings should look like

Neighbourhood Development Plans offers people a powerful new opportunity to plan the future of our community

The NDP being drafted will cover the period 2011 to 2031

What a Neighbourhood Development Plan can and can't do

A Neighbourhood Development Plan can...

- Decide where and what type of development should happen in the neighbourhood.
- Promote more development than is set out in the Local Plan.
- Include policies, for example regarding design standards, that take precedence over existing policies in the Local Plan for the neighbourhood – provided that the Neighbourhood Plan policies do not conflict with the strategic policies in the Local Plan

What a Neighbourhood Development Plan can and can't do

A Neighbourhood Development Plan cannot...

- Conflict with the strategic policies in the Local Plan by the local planning authority.
- Be used to prevent development that is included in the Local Plan.
- Be prepared by a body other than a Parish Council.

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan Committee

- Established early in 2012
- Operates on behalf of PC
- Volunteers were invited via Snipe / Link / Noticeboards plus specialist skills eg ecology / environment
- Early in 2014 the NDP engaged Neil Pearce – independent planning consultant
- Secured funding from both SDC and Locality for in excess of £8k to cover consultant costs, cost of independent reports and NDP costs (eg printing, Open day costs, Local searches)
- Had our Neighbourhood Plan area adopted
- Meet once or twice a month, depending on activities
- Commissioned Strategic Environmental Assessment from independent consultants (scoping report currently out for consultation)

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Committee members

- Ole Schmidt-Hansen (Chair)
- Cathy Kimberley (Secretary, PC)
- Graham Barker
- Paul Blanchard (PC)
- Mark Donald
- John Fitchford (PC)
- Jenny Jones
- Claes Martenson
- Caroline Noble
- Duncan Parker
- Neil Pearce – Independent Planning Consultant
- Meryl Rice
- David Robotham
- Gerry Stammers

- PC = Parish Councillor

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

NDPs – Status Nationally

- Over 1,400 communities have begun the neighbourhood planning process through the submission of an area designation application
- To date, there have been 52 successful referendums country-wide
- Many more plans are at, or nearing examination stage
- 6.1 million people in England live in a designated neighbourhood area
- £4.8 million has been awarded to communities country-wide through support grants
- The top 5 authorities for area designations in the country are:
 - Herefordshire (88)
 - Cornwall (49)
 - Leeds (33)
 - Wiltshire (29)
 - Stratford-on-Avon District (26) with 2 more out to consultation currently

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Plans – Status Locally

- Within Stratford-on-Avon District, we currently have:
 - 26 neighbourhood plan areas designated
 - 2 further area applications currently out to consultation
 - These cover: the main town, 7 out of 8 Main Rural Centres and 22 out of 44 Local Service Villages (LSVs)
- The breakdown of communities involved in preparing a plan is:
 - All 5 Category 1 LSVs
 - 6 out of 9 Category 2 LSVs
 - 5 out of 11 Category 3 LSVs – includes Snitterfield
 - 6 out of 19 Category 4 LSVs

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Housing Development

- Snitterfield is designated a Local Service Village (LSV)
- LSV's are categorised 1-4
- Snitterfield is LSV category 3
- This means, currently, that Snitterfield is required to provide between 26 and 50 new dwelling in the plan period (from 2011 to 2031)
- Since 2011, 20 houses have been built, or had planning permission granted

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Housing numbers

- In the plan period from 2011, 20 dwellings have been built or have been granted planning permission:
 - Park View, Bell Lane (x1)
 - Brook Cottage, School Lane (x1)
 - The Foxhunter (x2)
 - Pigeon Green (x2)
 - Shaw Close, Bearley Road (x10)
 - White Horse Hill (x1, outline permission)
 - The Mullions (x1)
 - Field Place, Church Road (x1)
 - The Saddlers, Park Lane (x1)

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Stratford-upon-Avon Core Strategy

- Not finalised – expected summer 2015
 - Under review with inspector during January
 - Interim Inspector's report due Friday 20th March
 - Includes proposed changes to LSV in Greenbelt
 - Snitterfield NDP cannot be adopted before the core strategy as it cannot conflict with Core Strategy
-
- Good news for Snitterfield : housing burden may be reduced
 - This would be through infill / brownfield development only within built up boundary
 - Would preserve the Greenbelt designation
-
- Advised to maintain a twin track approach for time being until changes ratified later in the year

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Identifying potential sites

- Pin exercise from July Open Day
- All sites considered irrespective of Green Belt / built area
- Engaged with agencies:
 - Stratford District Council
 - Environment Agency
 - Severn Trent Water
 - Highways
 - Warwickshire County Council

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Matrix

- Needed objective way of analysing all sites
 - 17 Categories selected under 4 headings:
 - Setting, Environment & Biodiversity, Accessibility, Community Impact
 - Weightings applied to categories (L, M, H)
-
- Taken on board comments and re-reviewed and identified some anomalies
 - Some changes have resulted
 - Living document, always subject to changes and updates

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan Matrix

- Sites assessment MATRIX

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policies – Draft Headings

Structure and Policy Content

Section 1 Introduction - A Vision for Snitterfield

-----Section 2 Housing

- Promoting New High Quality Housing in Appropriate Locations
- Promoting High Quality Housing that Meets the Needs of the Neighbourhood Area

Section 3 Employment

- Promoting New High Quality Employment Opportunities in Appropriate Locations and Encouraging the Retention of Existing Employers in the Neighbourhood Area

Section 4 Village Centre

- Promoting the Vitality and Viability of the Village Centre
- Improving Access and Movement within the Parish

Section 5 Built Environment and Design

- Promoting High Quality Sustainable Design
- Preserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment
- Promoting Housing Renewal and Regeneration

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policies – Cont'd

Section 6 Natural Environment

- Preserving and Enhancing Local Biodiversity

Section 7 Infrastructure

- Reducing Congestion in the Village
- Infrastructure to minimise flood risk
- Improving Public Transport Opportunities
- Promoting Access to Learning Opportunities

Section 8 Community, Leisure and Wellbeing

- Promoting a strong community
- Promoting an active community
- Promoting a healthy community

Section 9 Site Specific Briefs (e.g.)

- Snitterfield sites for housing- one policy for each selected site
- Airfield
- Blackhill Industrial Estate

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Next steps

- Share matrix and other information via website
- Hard copies to be made available – Sports Club & Village Hall
- Meetings open to public along lines of PC
 - First 15 minutes for public participation / questions
 - Main body of meeting w/o public participation
 - Q&A at end
- Continue to take feedback
- Draft policies
- Finalise matrix and site rankings ahead of final decision on housing numbers from SDC
- Further Open Days to follow ahead of drafting NDP

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

Next steps

- Draft NDP
 - Consult with neighbourhood via Open Day
 - Submit Draft Plan to SDC for review & validation
 - Independent examination
 - Update draft plan, if required
 - Referendum
 - Adoption if successful
-
- Target to have Draft Plan ready to submit end Qtr2 / Qtr3 2015
 - Time between submission and adoption typically 20 – 25 weeks

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Development Plan

= Sites Matrix

To access a copy of the Sites Matrix referred to in the presentation and for further background information please refer to the Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan website at the following address:

<http://www.snitterfieldneighbourhoodplan.org.uk/evidence/housing-development>

Update on the Stratford upon Avon District Council's Core Strategy

Inspectors Interim Report – following Examination.

As many will have heard, our Neighbourhood Plan must not conflict with the District Council's Core Strategy, which is presently proceeding through stages towards Adoption. Consequently, it's extremely important to understand the implications of what's contained in the Core Strategy and how this will filter down to Snitterfield's community.

The Government Inspector has this week released his interim report following his recent examination of the Core Strategy. We should stress this is an Interim report and not his final report but does give the District Council and us a steer as to what he will expect to see in the final submission of the Core Strategy in order to find it sound and allow adoption.

The District Council's own high level summary is contained at the end of this document and the full Report is also available alongside this document on the village website.

A brief summary has been prepared by the Team in order to focus in on the Housing related issue that impacts Snitterfield:

Essentially the highlights are that:

Stratford District is being asked to increase housing numbers in the Strategy to meet expected growth of employment and accommodate the requisite new workers.

The report does suggest, however, that the housing numbers to be accommodated by Local Service Villages is already sufficient and that any additional volume of housing should not be directed toward them, which is good news for villages such as ours.

Significantly for Snitterfield, the report went on to say (p56) that the Inspector supported the revised approach to LSV's in the Green Belt, put forward by the District Council when he raised concerns during the Examination.

That alternative approach proposes that development will take place without removing LSV's from the Green belt, and it now gives a total dwelling target for each category of LSV, to be shared and achieved across all villages within each category as a whole.

In simple terms, this means that LSV's located in the Green Belt would be required to provide development, but ONLY where wholly in compliance with Core Strategy Policy CS10 & Para 89 of the National Planning Policy Framework which lists the types of development regarded as not inappropriate in the Green Belt such as limited infilling, replacement dwellings etc. (Exact definition can be found in the NPPF.) If this means that Snitterfield can only deliver a lesser number of homes by infill and brownfield land development, then other villages in the same LSV category may likely have to take in more development.

It is now unlikely that Snitterfield would need to see any development outside the established built up boundary and any development within the village would need to ensure it respects the openness of the Green Belt setting.

The Inspectors actual comment, on p56, item 200 reads:

As I suggested at the Hearing there is a problem with the approach to LSVs in the CS insofar as the methodology fails to take account of Green Belt. In my view the original range of dwelling numbers for each category was unsatisfactory when viewed in this light because, without any evidence of the capacity for each village to accommodate a minimum number of dwellings, this could have required Green Belt releases. With no attempt to demonstrate exceptional circumstances I am unclear how I could have found such an approach to be sound. However the Council has recognised the shortcomings of this aspect of its approach and has put forward a revised form of words as a main modification, which in my view gives rise to greater flexibility. It would ensure there would be no conflict with Green Belt

For Interest, the Council's High Level summary accompanying the release of the Report says:

- The Council has been asked to increase the housing requirement to ensure that the projected job growth is matched by housing growth sufficient to accommodate new workers.
- The Sustainability Appraisal is found to contain a limited number of flaws that make it necessary to revisit and/or extend its coverage to secure legal compliance.
- There are considered to be no exceptional circumstances that would justify the release of green belt land on the edge of Stratford-upon-Avon to provide a new employment site and the Council is asked to assess other alternatives.
- Other employment land allocations at Alcester, Stratford-upon-Avon, Winyates Triangle/Gorcott Hill (Redditch), and Gaydon (JLR and Aston Martin) are endorsed.
- Judgement has been reserved on the strategic housing allocation at Gaydon/Lighthorne Heath pending completion of the additional SA work as recommended by the Inspector.

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan Open Day, 12th July 2014

Please fill in this form with any comments, suggestions or ideas you have about the Neighbourhood Plan and what you have seen today. Many thanks for attending today.

In order for us to follow up on any points or issues you raise, please fill in your contact details.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone no: _____

Email: _____

Do you:

Live in the village?

Live in the Neighbourhood Plan Area?

Work in the Neighbourhood Plan area?

Are you a visitor?

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan Open Day, 12th July 2014

Please fill in this form with any comments, suggestions or ideas you have about the Neighbourhood Plan and what you have seen today. Many thanks for attending today.

In order for us to follow up on any points or issues you raise, please fill in your contact details.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Telephone no: _____

Email: _____

Do you:

Live in the village?

Live in the Neighbourhood Plan Area?

Work in the Neighbourhood Plan area?

Are you a visitor?

**Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan
Open Day 12th July 2014 Data**





No.	Development Size	Infill or Self Contained?	Location	Should not be	Complimentary of NP Group/Process?	Comments
1	Small developments	Infill	Central Snitterfield	Bearley Road	Y	Affordable house have caused problems for residents. Spread development through village
2			Sports Club	Bearley Road		
3	Small developments		Bearley Road	The Gallops / horse field		Expand existing sites: Shaw Close, Hurdlers Lane
4				The Green		Not the Green due to culvert issues
5				Land between Green & Kings Lane		Flooding issues along Green. Consider contaminated field, if can be de-contaminated.
6			Park Lane	Bearley Road		Spread development equally around village. Park Lane & Kings Lane have good access
7					Y	Traffic through village is a concern. Traffic flow & junction, plus Village Hall development mentioned in previous Village Plan have not been actioned
8			By A46	Bearley Road		Increased traffic through village a concern if develop Bearley Road area
9	Small developments	Infill or self contained				
10	Small developments				Y	
11						
12				Sports Club		Need traffic calming with priority bollards
13	Small developments	Infill or self contained	Pigeon Green		Y	Samll clusters <10, rather than large development. EG 6 sites with less than 10 houses, ignore "windfall" sites
14	1-5	Infill or self contained	Central Snitterfield	Bearley Road		Build small plots of 5+ houses in various locations
15					Y	Have Eco requirement for new houses eg Solar panels
16					Y	Concern on ability of school to take more pupils. Traffic increase a concern
17				Sports Club		Specify green housing with zero carbon footprint
18						Road access to any new devopment critical
19			By A46	Central Snitterfield	Y	Infrastructure a problem: footpaths too narrow. Avoid more traffic through village by building close to A46
20				Central Snitterfield		Build affordable houses for locals. Not executive style. Do NOT infill open spaces
21						Build properties for older people to down size to
22						Resolve eyesore of foxhunter. Create employment opportunities. Better phone & TV reception via repeater station
23	Small developments	Self Contained		Central Snitterfield	Y	Robbon development
24	Small developments		Bearley Road	Central Snitterfield		No infill in village centre to retain character
25	Small developments				Y	Not large development
26	Small developments	Self Contained			Y	Move school to top field and redevelop site or small developments scattered around village
27					Y	Trim hedges for pedestrians and cyclists
28	20 +					Prefer 1 or 2 large development rather than lots of smaller ones. Keep character of village core
29	Small developments	Infill or self contained				Careful development to benefit local economy
30						
31	1-5	Infill or self contained				Smaller development of cottage character style. Not a "big company"
32	Small developments					Need good infrastructure. Traffic issue, avoid rat run
33						Would large development be flood neutral
34	Small developments	Self Contained	Elsewhere outside centre		Y	Support employment opportuniies. Development over A46 towards Blackhill or Kings Lane
35	Small developments		Pigeon Green	Bearley Road	Y	Not developments >10 house in any one place. Build to South or east favoured. Support local buils eco housing. Other areas inparish, eg Blackhill or Kings Lane. Not domestic development eg offices, small businesses
36						Build where easy access is to village facilities. Reduce number of parked cars. Pavement concern
37						Does Park Farm development count?
38			Pigeon Green	Land between Green & Kings Lane		Traffic concern on Green. Develop on outskirts of village
39			Sports Club	The Green		Traffic increase is a concern. Develop on outskirts to reduce traffic through village
40					Y	
41					Y	
42						Ensure adequate infrastructure
43						Sustainable
44						Include Business development. Mix of housing, both low caost and executive style
45			Sports Club			Create community centre. Consider movin school
46	11-15					20+ would be an issue to find suitable sites
47						Flood concern: do not build on land for absorbing rainfall
48						Access and traffic issues
49						Sustainable, easy access, good cake
50	1-5	Infill or self contained		Bearley Road		Not large scale
51	1-5				Y	Traffic / Infrastrusture concern. Gentle organic growth; infrastructure cannot cope
52	1-5		The Gallops / horse field			10+ house on small play area on Green
53			Elsewhere outside centre	Central Snitterfield		Parking concern, flooding concern
54			Elsewhere outside centre	The Green		Parking concern (The Green)
55					Y	
56	Small developments		By A46	Central Snitterfield		Traffic / Infrastrusture concern, school capacity, flooding concern. Build towards A46
57				The Green		
58				By A46		Not suitable by A46 due to noise, access, drainage & Severn Trent
59						Keep village character
60			Park Lane			Back of Park Lane, Bearley Road garages
61				Bell Lane		Need traffic calming
62			Park Lane	Bell Lane		Back of Park Lane, Bearley Road garages; Pigeon Green
63				Bell Lane	Y	
64						Interesting wildlife
65					Y	
66						Foxhunter site eyesore
67					Y	
68					Y	Concern on traffic, flooding
69	Small developments	Infill or self contained				Spread large number ofnew build around village, not new "estate"
70						Concern on traffic
71	Small developments					Keep social housing separate
72	1-5	Infill			Y	Small developments <20 only after infill, organic / gradual growth
73					Y	Careful planning, sensitive growth
74			Bearley Road			Keep numbers to minimum
75						Designs to compliment existing; discrete location; keep rural feel
76						Consider special needs; include community health centre
77			Sports Club			
78	Small developments					Council housing; small houses; affordable; possibly flats

**Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan
Open Day 12th July 2014 Data**

79			Sports Club			
80	Small developments				Y	
81					Y	Road safety concern
82						Road safety concern
83	Small developments				Y	Keep character of village
84						Avoid greenbelt and flood areas; concern on increase of traffic / parking issues; no or narrow pavement a concern
85					Y	
86	Small developments					Architecturally sensitive development
87	Small developments		The Green			Concern on increase of traffic / parking issues
88			Elsewhere outside centre	The Green		Don't spoil beauty of village
89	Small developments			The Green		Concern on increase of traffic
90					Y	Concern on increase of traffic / parking issues, especially the Green
91			Bell Lane	The Gallops / horse field	Y	
92						Would like Post Office and Surgery
93				Bearley Road		Need traffic calming
94	Small developments					Retirement home
95						Stop large lorries
96	1-5					Retirement home; speed limit all along the Green
97			The Gallops / horse field	Bearley Road		Need bus service to Warwick; speeding on Bearley Road an issue
98				Sports Club		Not enough public transport; speeding on Wlverton Road an issue
99	Small developments					Not a large scale development; keep green spaces and views
100			Sports Club		Y	
101	Small developments					Parking a problem
102					Y	Keep number as low as possible; need facilities to grow too
103			Central Snitterfield			Sort out Foxhunter; at least 10 affordable houses
104	Small developments					Demolish large houses to build more small houses
105	Small developments	Infill				Small developments spread through village. Ensure infrastructure can cope
106						No footpath on Kings Lane.
107						Informative. Could have been better publicised (lives on Gospel Oak Lane
108	6-10	Self Contained				Small developments spread through village. Range of designs. Linear development. Include hard paths away from roads. Ensure infrastructure can cope
109						Very confusing. Parking is already a problem
110						Every development should pay towards infrastructure (school, health, recreation, business). Needs to be sustainable.

Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan Open Day 12th July 2014

Response sheet data analysis



Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan
Open Day Responses, 7th December 2014

Response form	Agree with process?			Comments	Broadly agree with top 10 ranking?			Comments	Live in village?	Live in NP area?	Work in NP area?	Visitor?
	Yes	No	Blank		Yes	No	Blank					
1		X		Don't need a road behind our house for 5 houses when there are other areas more suitable		X		Is choice based on "not in my back yard"?				
2		X		For sites 3 & 6 Site entrance on Kings Lane dangerous	X			Feel pedestrians should be safe - Kings Lane entrance not safe	Y	Y	Y	N
3	X				X			It all makes sense Fits with general consensus				
4	X			Generally happy			X	Not happy about dev't on Sports Club	Y			
5			X	Complete farce. Everyone has xxxx and sticked pins in areas furthest from where they lived ie the usual Bearley Rd area			X	To say that putting a wall of houses across the view in Woodward Court is not detrimental is utter nonsense - this must rate as the best view in the village.....We expect better	Y	Y	N	N
6			X				X	View across field is wonderful. Had 12 months of dirt etc building houses. Let some of the bigger properties and high ups take their quota. Don't ruin our countryside when there is a lot of scrub land and less beautiful areas. Very disgusted about these proposals.	Y	Y	N	N
7	X			Apart from demolishing village hall. It is essential as it is the core of the village	X			Apart from development of Sports field	Y	Y		
8	X				X			However sports club site could mean loss of green space on the playing field if current facilities are relocated	Y			
9	X						X	Think it is a shame to select sports field. Site should be saved for sport. Village Hall as it is should be saved; just so important (central) to village	Y			
10	X				X				Y			
11		X		Because of complexity of process. Don't understand it	X			Concerned about where sports club would relocate to		Y		
12	X			Committee has worked very hard	X			Retain and improve the Village Hall	Y	Y	N	N
13	X			Well done. Tough job	X			White Horse Hill development: support ambition, but not site or scale	Y	Y		
14			X				X	Put pressure on owners of Foxhunter to develop site				
15		X					X	Appleton House: partial development of site in top ranking seems wrong. Should be either 1) development on roadside or 2) development of whole site. Partial development will lead to full development	Y	Y	N	N
16	X			Good community involvement	X			But depends on how many houses per site	Y			
17	X			Process has been both thorough and metodical. Than you for work put in	X			Site 19 is suitable if access issue is resolved (not off White Horse Hill) and commercial premises removed	Y			
18	X			A great deal of work has been done by the Plan Committee - well done.	X			Do not think site 19 is suitable with planned access and should not have commercial premises	Y	Y		
19	X				X			But site 10 not suitable due to density	Y	Y	N	N
20	X				X				Y	Y		
21	X				X			Generally agree apart from the larger sites 6A & 6B which would provide too many homes	Y	Y	N	N
22	X				X			There is a quality to keeping the Village Hall even though inefficient. It's the human element	Y	Y	Y	
23		X		Appears not to place a greater priority on those sites that can be achieved via infill to save / minimise encroachment into green belt			X	Land to rear of Appleton House should have higher ranking. Opportunity to provide some of housing req't within village envelope to save impact and encroachment into green belt. Compliance with NPPF Sites ranked 1,2,3 are all examples of encroachment into greenbelt. Site 3 detached, not adjoining village. Methodology suspect Have landowners been approached.				
24		X		Not enough information distribute to homeowners prior to ranking			X	Accessibilty not given enough weoight in selection	Y			
25	X			Have not had chance to study this before but generally agree. Can see much hard work has been done	X			Don't like size of sites 6A & 6B. Far too large and forse traffic problems Great concern about Site 2 due to traffic, water runoff, overlooks other homes, likelihood of large dev't. Felling trees is wildlife crime - bat roosts removed	Y			
26	X				X				Y	Y	N	N
27	X				X			Any dev't should include bungalows, esp for older people. Add a seat near phone box, so can see bus coming.	Y	Y	N	N
28	X				X				Y			
29	X				X			Consider needs of people growing up in village and wanting to remian. Need an affordable mix, not an increase in larger family homes	Y			
30	X				X			Site 3 rank 9 should not be include as provides wildlife habitat (eg deer). Would make life difficult for neighbouring houses. Access road is small provate road	Y			
31	X			Generally very fair	X			Generally but Jagi green / Shelby Lane (site 3 rank9) should not be favoured due to wildlife. Access road private and access to main road difficult	Y	Y	N	N
32	X			Ranking reflects views of the village	X					Y		
33	X				X			Except site 2 ranked 10. developing whole site with houses in a close would keep new buildings within village boudary instead of encroaching into green areas surrounding village. Could keep houses in character through planning process. Would impact fewer residents	Y			
34	X				X				Y	Y		
35	X			Process good. Don't agree with some factors			X	View into village seems less important than view from village	Y			
36			X	Do not recommend rank 10 (Appleton House) due to traffic choas near triangle. No 9 (Jago green) bad at already congested point on road			X	Bearley Road best site as already has dev't. Do NOT move Village Hall to Sports Club; current location convenient for all. Don't want to encourage use of cars	Y	Y		
37	X			Please work to lowering speed limits into and out of village	X				Y	Y	N	
38	X			Very happy to support proposals	X			Also very much like idea to stage developments over years to 2031		Y		
39	X				X			Site 6 Village Hall vitally important. Sports Club has dev't potential, but should produce feasibility study to prove dev't of current site is not viable before housing dev't is considered	Y	Y	N	N
40	X						X	Sites 1 & 2 fine. 3 seems to involve high access difficulties	Y	Y	N	N
41	X				X				Y			
42	X				X				Y			
43	X			Happy with the way committee are ranking sites and protecting look and feel of village. Concern about water runoff from new dev't on existing properties	X				Y			
44	X				X			Broadly agree	Y			
45	X			Good process. Ranking agreed with different criteria	X				Y			
46	X			Huge amount of work gone in. Well done. Large Sport club dev't would mean all money raised would be reinvested in village	X				Y			
47	X				X			Housing needs to attract young families to support scholl numbers within the village and reduce impact of pupils being driven in from neighbouring villages (parking, traffic). School / education assessment needed.	Y	Y		
48	X				X			Prefer to have 1 or 2 larger sites	Y	Y	N	N
49	X				X			Need to consider pedestrian crossing point en route to school (Bell Lane / School Lane)	Y	Y	N	N
50		X		Leave village as it is			X		Y			
51	X				X				Y			

**Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan
Open Day Responses, 7th December 2014**

		X					Sites on Kings Lane A46 side have less impact on day to day life of village. Need for more housing but unacceptable that Bearley end takes all dev't. Traffic past school at peak times is dangerous. Access by Hurdlers / Shaw Close / Highfield Close is danerous already	Y				
52						X	All top ranked sites are Bearley end of village so traffic must go through village to access town					
53	X					X	Processes are clearly arranged. Congratulations on work and deddication	Y				
54	X					X		Y	N			
55	X					X	Impact on school analysis would be useful. Traffic around school at school times already congested	Y	Y	N	N	
56	X				X		Many thanks for hard work	Y				
57	X				X			Y				
58	X				X		If the agreed sites are developed will lower sites be developed anyway?	Y	Y	Y		
59		X				X	3 & 6 would be ideal as would prevent more through traffic	Y	Y			
60	X				X		Excellent work	Y				
61	X				X		Thank you for your work on this	Y				
62	X					X	But the ranking will be influenced by those doing the ranking and their influences / bias. These should be declared. Should state	Y	Y			
63		X				X		Don't agree with rank 1 site at rear of Highfield due to access and parking being restricted. Parking area used by Bearley Rd residents who do not have parking.	Y			
64		X				X	On the whole yes. What is the process to challenge?	Y				
65	X					X	You have worked extremely hard	Y				
66	X				X		Very thorough. We must put a stop to large scale housing dev'ts eg Cala homes & White Horse Hill	Y	Y	N	N	
67	X				X			Y	Y			
68			X			X	Concerned about impact of flooding with sites 6B & 6C	Y	Y	Y		
69	X				X		Places with dogy access	Y				
70			X			X	Would rather spread houses amongst	Y	Y	N	N	
71	X				X		Village should be preserved as a village and not turned into small town. Small dev'ts on outskirts good idea to keep character	Y	Y			
72	X				X			Y				
73	X				X		Proposal of new sports hall building seems ideal for generations to come. Will give back to the community	Y	Y	N	N	
74	X				X		Focus is on village, with no information on sites outside immediate village area	N		Y		
75	X					X	Agree with process but not some of outcomes. How can we challenge committee as to whether an impact has been correctly evaluated? Appreciate that a lot of work & effort has gone into this by a few individuals - Thank you	Y				
76	X				X			Y				
77	X				X			Y				
78	X				X			Y				
79	X				X			Y				
80	X				X			Needs to be considerate small dev't that does not impact on overall make up of village	Y	Y		
81	X				X			Y	Y			
82	X				X		Yes with 1-3, No with 4. Would support option 2 for Sports Club and new village hall	Y				
83	X				X		I favour Sports club Dev't. Best location for 20 houses with least impact	Y	Y	N	N	
84	X				X		Some confusion on the numbering		Y			
85	X				X		I think the ranking is a fair way to assess the potential planning areas for growth of the village	Y	Y			
86	X				X		Balance between consultation and "diktat" seems well considered. Thank you for consltation day	N	Y	N	N	
87	X				X		Thank you for excellent work	N	Y	N	N	
89			X			X	Need to see further details of weightings of each criteria to understand how rankings arrived at. Copies of ranking & supporting commentaries needed to have time to assess	Y				
90	X				X			Y				
91	X					X	Site 3 & 6 look highly dangerous access. Would be highly visible on approach to village from West & North	Y	Y	N	N	
92	X					X	Don't think there is enough consideration to flood impact	Y				
93	X				X		Thank you. I appreciate the amount of work involved					
94			X			X	Pin exercise could only lead to local bias away from pin holders location. Evaluation process looks to be carried out in a professional and rational manner	Y	Y	N	N	
95		X				X	Under Community Impact there is no criteria on gain / loss of amenity	Y				
96	X				X		Do not re-develop village hall	Y	Y	N	N	
97	X				X		Generally in agreement	Y		N	N	
98	X				X		Do we really need so many new houses in Snitterfield - greed is a terrible thing	Y	Y			
99			X			X	Seems a lot of work has been done and cannot be digested by a cursory look	Y				
100	X				X			Y	Y	N	N	
101	X				X			Y				
102	X				X		Broadly yes - would object more to the field behind Jago Green being built upon	Y				

**Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan
Open Day Responses, 7th December 2014**

103		X	Feel that housing must be kept in small pockets; if not end up with a housing estate	X		Ref 6B & 6C.: feel that although SDC & Highways can alter splsys, Kings Lane has 60mph limit and access would be very dangerous	Y		N	
104	X				X	Site 5: agree smaller dev't only to retain facilities Site 13: Bell Lane would destroy open spaces. Bottom end floods	Y			
105			X		X	Site 26 (Hurdlers Lane) - problems of visual impact on current residents & in/out of the village have not been adequately considered. Extra traffic would generally go through the village. In winter field often floods and acts as holding pool for Bell Brook. Sites 6B & 6C would not have same visual impact and traffic would naturally flow to the A46 away from village. Sports Club re-development should be encouraged as a benefit to whole village.				
106	X				X	Well done on all the hard work put into the plan and presentation	Y	Y	Y	N
107	X				X		Y			
108	X				X	Appleton House: land to be developed is higher than 2 bell Lane. Land slopes towards garden which slopes towards house. Possibility of flooding		Y	Y	
109	X				X		Y	Y	N	N
110	X		But feel option 1 is a village cop out to keep development away from where they live. Infill centrally. There are larger spaces available	X		If large numbers are needed find suitable sites! Leave the countryside alone; no more roads	Y	Y	Y	
112		X	When buying house in Hurdlers Lane, believed it was opposite Greenbelt land. Appreciate that new houses are needed and think others are better options for the community		X	Option 2 looks highly favourable - expanding the village hall and putting more back into the community	Y			
113	X				X		Y			
114	X				X	It is to be hoped that final proposals will allow for amenity land and sufficient access for pedestrians, pushchairs and wheel chair users	Y			
115		X	Ranking sites is the best way of deciding. The criteria, however, have not been explained and the allocation of the rank is very subjective		X	No, I feel that it is very bias towards areas of the village that have already had a lot of recent building	Y			
116		X	For site 4 it stated that there would be minimal effect on aesthetics of the environment which is ridiculous		X	Most of the sites at the newer end of the village which has already seen a lot of building in recent years	Y	Y	N	N
117		X	Greenbelt land opposite Hurdlers Lane should not be included		X	There are a lot better sites that can cope with the traffic and accommodate housing	Y			
118		X	Not enough information		X	Not enough information	Y	Y		
119		X	Already swerage and flooding issues in areas proposed; more houses will make this worse and added traffic		X	The ranking system of sites has not been explained and all other issues have not been addressed	Y	Y	Y	N
120		X			X		Y	Y	Y	
121		X	All meetings should be open. Everyone should be consulted		X	Bearley Rd has had fair share - build behind new ones but not opposite	Y			
122		X	How can they say it won't affect the views of the village when Hurdlers Lane is one of the finest views into the village from Bearly direction		X	All builds over the last several years have been Hurdlers Lane side of village. Increasing further traffic through the village and especially the school. Issues with sewerage in Hurdlers will get worse PC need to be fair and build on opposite side of village. What happened to fairness and equal rights!	Y			
123		X	Sites selected all seem to be at the Bearley end of the village causing all the traffic to go through the centra of the village and spoiling the view of residents at this end		X	Developments do not seem to be selected in prime locations such as by memorial or supporting an ammended White Horse Hill proposal. Free land should not be main reason for selection		Y		
124		X	Process used to rank sites is flawed as it does not take account of issues such as where recent developments - particularly of social & lower cost housing - have been located in village. Display Matrix and maps Have concerns about make up of committee and how they are interpreting criteria. An unelected body not representing views of all parts of the village				Y	Y		
125		X	Poorly presented and explained with info overload leading to confusion, apprehension and general dissatisfaction with process		X	Left wondering if "vested interests" have manipulated exclusion of the more obvious areas of land off Park Lane	Y	Y		
126		X	We in Woodward Court have made sufficient contribution with the development of Shaw Close		X	Development should be spread over more area in the village	Y			
127		X	Open Day plans and info too much. Complicated, not adequately explained. Not able to form opinion		X	More detailed information requ'd. Concerned over encroachment on green belt	Y	Y		N
128		X	People sticking pins in a map...is hardly a basis for a plan. It is easy drive a...agricultural grazing land on the Bearley Road		X	Poor quality infill sites within the village need to be utilised first, preferably near A46 to ease traffic flow through village	Y	Y	N	N
129		X	Like to see plan again		X		Y			
130		X	Did not understand which plan to look at, nor explanations - too much info		X	Can't agree with confusing plans	Y	Y	N	N
131		X	No idea of ranking sites		X	After building of Shaw Close don't want upheavel of more building. Issue during Shaw Close building work...	Y	Y	N	N
132		X	No idea of ranking sites as haven't been told. July meeting fiasco		X	??	Y	Y		
133		X	Info on ranking should be open and above board. No one can give explanation as to how ranking is made up		X	Perfect building area: land parallel to by pass and top of White Horse Hill. Better for traffic. Bearley end have had had too much building and views enjoyed	Y	Y	N	N
134		X	Plan is confusing and difficult to understand		X	Proposed sites encroach on GB, spoil visual approach to village. Woodward Court has had fair share of building. Use land behind Park Lane bordering A46	Y	Y		
135		X	(replaces 88)		X	Oppose site 4				
136		X	Not enough information		X	Strongly against site 4 - not suitable because of traffic congestion Had fair share ob building near Woodward Court; noise & disruption; should be fairly located across village	Y	Y		N
137		X	Consideration should be given to the infrastructure of the village and a fair distribution throughout the whole village		X	As left, School, access to A46, safety etc	Y	Y	N	N
138		X	Any building should be on outskirts of the villag, ie A46 side to limit already difficult drainage in centre of village		X	Field at to of Hurdlers Lane is totally unacceptable. This end of Village has had all ne builds over last 20 years	Y	Y	Y	
139		X	Unaware of what process is		X	Need more information	Y			
140		X	No information provided, so can't comment		X	Scoring system needs to be on public view	Y			
141		X			X		Y	Y	Y	N
142		X	Process has not been appropriately explained		X	Not the running order	Y	Y		
143		X			X	Village has had too much development in recent years	Y	Y		
144		X	Should take into consideration recently built homes for fair distribution through village		X	Easy access to A46 needs to be considered. Bearley road busy already w/o adding to traffic flow passing school and shop	Y	Y	Y	
145		X	Do not understand process		X		Y	Y		
146		X	Snitterfield is a beautiful, quaint English village. Why ruin that semi-rural image?		X		Y			
147		X	Lack of understanding and explanation of criteria. No exaplantion of how each criteria was scored or weighted. *** Extra info / comments supplied ****		X	Can't agree without access to data	Y	Y		

**Snitterfield Neighbourhood Plan
Open Day Responses, 7th December 2014**

148													
149													

	Agree with process?		
	Yes	No	Blank
Total	89	45	11
	61.4%	31.0%	7.6%

	Broadly agree with top 10		
	Yes	No	Blank
Total	75	53	16
	52.1%	36.8%	11.1%

